**Original Paper** 

# Care Staff Perspectives on Using Mobile Technology to Support Communication in Long-Term Care: Mixed Methods Study

# Rozanne Wilson, PhD; Jeff Small, PhD

School of Audiology and Speech Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

**Corresponding Author:** Rozanne Wilson, PhD School of Audiology and Speech Sciences Faculty of Medicine The University of British Columbia 2177 Wesbrook Mall Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z3 Canada Phone: 1 6044407540 Email: r.wilson@audiospeech.ubc.ca

# Abstract

**Background:** Long-term care (LTC) homes provide 24-hour care for people living with complex care needs. LTC staff assist older adults living with chronic conditions such as Alzheimer disease, related dementias, and stroke, which can cause communication disorders. In addition to the complex cognitive challenges that can impact communication, further difficulties can arise from cultural-language differences between care staff and residents. Breakdowns in caregiver-resident communication can negatively impact the delivery of person-centered care. Recent advances in mobile technology, specifically mobile devices (tablets and smartphones) and their software apps, offer innovative solutions for supporting everyday communication between care staff and residents. To date, little is known about the care staff's perspectives on the different ways that mobile technology could be used to support communication with residents.

**Objective:** This study aims to identify care staff's perspectives on the different ways of using devices and apps to support everyday communication with adults living in LTC homes and the priority care areas for using mobile technology to support communication with residents.

**Methods:** This descriptive study employed concept mapping methods to explore care staff's perspectives about ways of using mobile technology with residents and to identify the usefulness, practicality, and probable uses of mobile technology to support communication in priority care areas. Concept mapping is an integrated mixed methods approach (qualitative and quantitative) that uses a structured process to identify priority areas for planning and evaluation. In total, 13 care staff from a single LTC home participated in this study. Concept mapping includes 2 main data collection phases: (1) statement generations through brainstorming and (2) statement structuring through sorting and rating. Brainstorming took place in person in a group session, whereas sorting and rating occurred individually after the brainstorming session. Concept mapping data were analyzed using multidimensional scaling and cluster analysis to generate numerous interpretable data maps and displays.

**Results:** Participants generated 67 unique statements during the brainstorming session. Following the sorting and rating of the statements, a concept map analysis was performed. In total, 5 clusters were identified: (1) *connect*, (2) *care management*, (3) *facilitate*, (4) *caregiving*, and (5) *overcoming barriers*. Although all 5 clusters were rated as useful, with a mean score of 4.1 to 4.5 (Likert: 1-5), the care staff rated cluster 2 (*care management*) as highest on usefulness, practicality, and probable use of mobile technology to support communication in LTC.

**Conclusions:** This study provided insight into the viewpoints of care staff regarding the different ways mobile technology could be used to support caregiver-resident communication in LTC. Our findings suggest that care management, facilitating communication, and overcoming barriers are 3 priority target areas for implementing mobile health interventions to promote person-centered care and resident-centered care.

# (JMIR Nursing 2020;3(1):e21881) doi: 10.2196/21881

# **KEYWORDS** mobile apps; mobile phone; caregivers; dementia; communication; patient care

https://nursing.jmir.org/2020/1/e21881/

# Introduction

# **Background and Rationale**

By 2021, it is predicted that the number of adults aged 65 years or older will account for approximately 25% of the Canadian population [1,2]. Moreover, by 2030, the proportion of adults who are aged 85 years or older will account for approximately 20% of all older adults and 3% of the Canadian population. As the population ages, there will be an increase in the number of older adults living with multiple chronic health conditions that contribute to physical, functional, and cognitive decline, resulting in complex care needs that require the services offered in long-term care (LTC). Indeed, most LTC residents are aged older than 85 years, with 80% of residents being functionally dependent on care staff, whereas an estimated 90% of all residents are living with at least some cognitive impairments, including dementia [3].

In LTC homes, care staff are responsible for meeting the complex health care needs of residents. For instance, nursing staff administer medication and coordinate patient care, whereas resident care aides and/or personal support workers engage residents in basic activities of daily living (ADLs; eg, dressing, bathing, eating). The ability of care staff to support the complex needs of residents living with physical and functional limitations is further complicated when a communication disorder (eg, aphasia) or language differences are also present. Communication impairments are associated with various chronic conditions that are prevalent in LTC (eg, dementia, stroke), and breakdowns in communication during interpersonal and task-focused activities [4] can strain the relationship and lead to unmet care needs [5]. Furthermore, care staff-resident communication can be challenged by cultural-language barriers [6-8]. Efforts have been made to support people living with communication barriers in the LTC setting through the development and implementation of evidence-based communication strategies [9-12] and language translation supports [13]. However, these current solutions require training, staffing and time resources, and could be inaccessible when needed, making residents vulnerable to unmet needs and social isolation [14]. With some training, recent advances in mobile technology, which includes mobile devices (tablets/smartphones) and their software applications (apps), have the potential to yield innovative solutions for supporting care staff-resident communication and prevent or overcome communication barriers.

The increased sophistication of mobile technology has permitted the successful merging of multiple features (eg, portability, communication function, on-demand powerful computer technology, and a huge range of app options) into a single device that can be used to provide services that aim to improve health outcomes [15]. The health care industry has been driving the growth of mobile technology due to an increased demand for using technology to support health care practice and delivery, otherwise known as mobile health (mHealth) [16]. This demand has contributed to a large increase in the number of consumer mHealth apps available in the app marketplace [17] for various health categories (eg, diabetes, weight loss). These mHealth apps are designed to run on smartphone or tablet computer operating systems (eg, iOS and/or Android) to support a range of health care practices, including decision support aids, educational information, health monitoring, health promotion, staff-client communication, and care of the elderly [16]. mHealth apps are cost-effective, innovative point-of-care tools that immediately connect health care staff with information, presented in multiple forms (eg, text, images, sound, touch) and can be used to improve communication between health care staff and patients [18]. Although it is important to recognize the limitations of implementing this technology in health care and to ensure that mHealth apps meet a standard for quality and safety [19,20], mHealth apps continue to bring added value to health care practice and delivery, including accessibility, convenience, lower cost of health care delivery, and promotion of healthy choices [20]. Undoubtedly, the continued growth in mHealth will impact the use of this technology by both professionals [18,21,22] and health consumers [23,24].

Importantly, there is a demand for mHealth solutions to support the growing aging population, people living with chronic conditions, and patient-centered care [25-27]. In LTC, immediate access to information and the interactivity of mHealth interventions have the potential to support care staff-resident relationships as well as to improve the quality and quantity of resident care. Although it has been shown that certain health care providers (eg, physicians, nurses) have incorporated mHealth in their professional practice and during health care practice or delivery [18,28,29], there is a gap in our understanding of how LTC staff could adopt mobile technology in their daily care practice. As mobile technology offers many innovative apps for the care of older adults living in LTC homes [30], there is a need to better understand care staff utilization of currently available mainstream communication apps (cApps) to support residents during the completion of daily activities. This includes augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) apps designed for adults living with communication impairments (eg, Proloquo2Go) as well as translation apps (eg, Google Translate). The first step to better understand mHealth utilization in LTC is to examine the experiences and perspectives of care staff about the ways that mobile technology could be used to support care staff-resident communication as well as the priority care areas for using mobile technology to support communication. Ultimately, this knowledge could be used to facilitate meeting residents' physical care and psychosocial needs.

## **Research Aims**

This study aimed to better understand LTC care staff perspectives on using mobile technology to support everyday communication with residents during activities of daily living. The study's objectives were to identify the different ways that care staff would use mobile technology to support communication with residents living in LTC; the level of importance of the different ways of using mobile technology by examining their usefulness, practicality, and probable use; and priority care contexts for using mobile technology to support communication with residents.

# Methods

## **Setting and Participants**

Participants were recruited from a single LTC home in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. A purposive sample of full-time and part-time day and evening care staff who had direct interaction with residents during daily activities (eg, resident care aides, nurses) were included in this study. In addition, administrative staff and casual staff were invited to participate. The research team worked with a staff liaison to coordinate study information sessions for the morning and the evening shift on each care unit (ie, floor). A second information session was scheduled for care staff who were not able to attend the initial information session. A total of 36 care staff attended the information sessions. At the end of each information session, the care staff were asked to review the consent form and ask any questions they may have. All participants provided written consent before participation in this study. This study was approved by the University of British Columbia Research Ethics Board (H15-00270).

#### **Design, Data Collection, and Analysis**

To better understand care staff's perspectives on the use of mobile technology to support everyday communication with residents living in LTC homes, this study used concept mapping, also known as group concept mapping, to engage care staff in the research process. Concept mapping is a mixed methods approach that involves a structured process to integrate qualitative and quantitative data. Although historically used for program planning and evaluation [31], concept mapping has also been used for a wide range of studies, including measurement development [32-35], public health priority setting and program development [36,37], examining patient experience for quality improvement projects [38-40], understanding caregiver perspectives around care issues [41], and developing evidence-based public health care practices [42]. Concept mapping permits a diverse participant group of any size, in a wide range of settings, identifies participants' perspectives, and visually represents their viewpoints about a focused topic on a map [43-45]. In addition, the visual display outputs derived from the concept mapping data show how topic ideas are related to each other and can reveal which ideas are more important, appropriate, or relevant [44]. A recent detailed description of this method can be found in a study by Trochim and Mclinden [45].

This study used 5 phases of the concept mapping method to identify the different ways that mobile technology could be used in LTC to support everyday communication and to better understand actionable areas to target the use of mobile technology during daily activities in LTC: (1) preparation-the prompt; development the focused of (2)idea generation-brainstorming and statement analysis or synthesis; (3) structuring—unstructured statement sorting, followed by rating statements; (4) representation-performing concept mapping analyses, including multidimensional scaling, hierarchical cluster analysis, and bivariate plots; and (5) interpretation-research group examines maps and agrees on the number of clusters as well as their names and descriptions.

```
https://nursing.jmir.org/2020/1/e21881/
```

XSI•F(

These phases are described below. Following the completion of phase 5, the final phase of concept mapping, phase 6 (ie, utilization), was undertaken and involved the reporting and dissemination of the research findings. Concept mapping was employed in this study for the following key reasons: (1) the approach uses a structured process that encourages a participatory method (ie, care staff engagement) to data collection and analysis; (2) the approach generates output that is more comprehensive than interviews [46](3) the method can be tailored to specific needs of the study by offering a level of flexibility to data collection (eg, both web-bas and face-to-face options). For example, having both web-based and face-to-face data collection options can increase the number of care staff participants (eg, casual staff, night staff) by offering a solution for overcoming scheduling and time constraints inherent to the work setting; and (4) the approach is efficient, requiring less time and research-intensive resources during the data collection and data analysis phases than traditional focus group interviews (eg, no transcription and coding involved).

## **Phases 1 and 2: Preparation and Statement Generation**

To identify the different ways that mobile technology could be used to support caregiver-resident communication, a single focused prompt, "A specific way that mobile technology [eg, smartphones, tablets, and their applications (apps)], could be used to help everyday communication between residents and care staff during daily activities is...," was used to generate statements. The statement generation step took place during 2 in-person group sessions. Participants were asked to independently write down their responses to the focused prompt and then share ideas as a group. Statements generated during the group discussion were recorded on a list visually available to all participants. The brainstorming activity ended after the participants indicated that all possible ideas were listed. Within 1 week of the brainstorming activity, the statements were consolidated by removing duplicates and overlapping or similar ideas. Next, participants were invited, via email, to individually complete the statement structuring phase.

#### **Phase 3: Statement Structuring**

To better understand target areas for using mobile technology to support communication in LTC, care staff completed statement sorting and ratings. For unstructured statement sorting, participants were asked to independently group the statements generated in phase 2 into piles based on how similar in meaning the statements were to one another. Care staff were instructed to categorize the statements in a way that made sense to them and to provide a name for each pile. The participants were also informed that each statement must be in a pile, that a statement can belong to only one pile, and that the creation of 10 to 20 piles is typical. Next, regardless of whether they had used mobile technology or not, care staff were asked to rate each statement in terms of 3 dimensions: (1) usefulness, or the degree to which using the app, as stated, would help or enhance everyday communication with residents during their care practice; (2) practicality, or how feasible would it be to use the app, as stated, to support everyday communication during their care practice; and (3) probable use, or how likely it would be that they would use the app, as stated, with residents to support everyday

communication. All statements were rated on a 5-point Likert scale: *1=not at all*; *2=somewhat*; *3=moderately*; *4=very*; and *5=extremely*. Participants had the option to complete the sorting and rating steps on the web using Concept System Global MAX [47] or offline by sorting paper cards and rating sheets. The first author entered the data collected offline into Concept System Global MAX.

## Phases 4 and 5: Representation and Interpretation

Once data from phase 3 were sorted and rated by participants, they underwent analysis to produce a series of concept maps. First, a multidimensional scaling analysis was used, whereby a point map and a point rating map were generated. The point map is relational, with separate points on the map corresponding to each statement with other statements. Points that are closer together indicate that sorters generally grouped these statements into piles. The point rating map represents an overlay of the point map and the average rating for each statement across participants [43]. Second, a hierarchical cluster analysis was conducted, which divides statements on the point map into clusters that represent conceptual groupings of the original set of statements [43]. From this analysis, point cluster maps were generated, which represents the overlap between the point map and the cluster analysis. Cluster maps provide an overall picture that represents the content of the concept being studied. In this instance, the different ways that mobile apps could be used to support everyday communication with residents living in LTC homes. Of note, cluster shape holds meaning, with wider clusters indicating a broader concept and a compact cluster representing a narrower concept [43]. Clusters that are closer to the middle of the map indicate that some statements within the cluster were also sorted with statements included in another cluster, representing a bridging item. During this step, the research team generated and reviewed several point cluster maps to determine the number of clusters that the statements should be grouped into (ie, final cluster solution). Research team consensus was used to decide the final cluster solution as well as to confirm the cluster names and descriptions. All subsequent analyses were based on the final cluster solution. Three cluster rating maps were generated, which represented the average participant ratings for each statement in a cluster, along the dimensions of usefulness, practicality, and probable use in practice. Clusters with higher values contained statements that received higher average ratings from the care staff participants.

Finally, Go-Zone analyses were performed, which visually display the relationship between 2 variables based on pairwise comparisons of cluster ratings: (1) usefulness and practicality, (2) usefulness and probable use, and (3) practicality and probable use. A Go-Zone analysis generates a bivariate graph that displays 4 quadrants that are divided based on the mean rating of each of the 2 variables. The upper right quadrant (quadrant 4) represents statements that are above average on both variables, thus indicating the go-to care zone, or priority (actionable) ways of using mobile apps to support everyday communication with residents living in LTC homes. Conversely, the bottom left area of the graph (quadrant 2) represents statements that are deemed lower on both variables, or the no-go care zone statements, or low priority ways of using mobile apps with residents. Following the analyses, based on the final cluster solution, the research team convened for phase 5 to interpret the findings. All concept mapping data analyses were conducted on the web using Concept System Global MAX.

# Results

# **Participants**

Of the care staff who attended the information sessions (n=36), 16 provided consent and 13 participated in this study. Of those who attended the information sessions but did not participate, 4 indicated that they were not interested during the information session and 16 were lost at follow-up. Although casual staff and administrative staff were invited to participate via a paper information package provided in their staff mailbox, none expressed an interest to participate. All participants completed at least one step (brainstorming: n=11; sorting: n=8; and rating: n=9; Table 1). Five participants completed the sorting and/or rating on the web at their convenience, and 4 participants completed these steps offline during an in-person meeting with the first author (RW). Overall, the majority of participants identified as female (12/13, 92%), ranging in age from 24 to 60 years (mean 45.4 years, SD 13.4), were residential care aides or health care aides (9/13, 69%), indicated English as their primary language (9/13, 69%), and spoke more than one language (9/13, 69%). Participants worked for an average of 12.7 years (SD 10; range 2-35) in the LTC setting.



Table 1. Participant characteristics for each data collection step.

Characteristics	Overall <sup>a</sup> (n=13)	Concept mapping steps	Concept mapping steps		
		Brainstorming (n=11)	Sorting (n=8)	Rating (n=9)	
Age (years)					
Mean (SD)	45.4 (13.4)	43 (13.5)	45.9 (14.5)	45.2 (13.7)	
Range <sup>a</sup>	24-60	24-60	24-60	24-60	
Gender					
Female, n (%)	12 (92)	10 (91)	7 (88)	8 (89)	
English primary language, n (%)	9 (69)	8 (73)	5 (63)	6 (67)	
Number of years working in long-term care					
Mean (SD)	12.7 (10)	12.5 (10.9)	11.2 (8.4)	10.7 (8.1)	
Range <sup>b</sup>	2-35	2-35	2-28	2-28	
Job title, n (%)					
Residential care aide or attendant	7 (54)	7 (64)	3 (38)	4 (44)	
Health care aide or assistant <sup>a</sup>	2 (15)	1 (9)	2 (25)	2 (22)	
Licensed practical nurse	1 (8)	1 (9)	1 (13)	1 (11)	
Activity therapist (eg, art, music)	2 (15)	2 (18)	1 (13)	1 (11)	
Dietician <sup>a</sup>	1 (8)	0 (0)	1 (13)	1 (11)	

<sup>a</sup>One health care aide or assistant and the dietician did not participate in the in-person brainstorming step but did participate in the sorting and rating steps.

<sup>b</sup>One participant did not provide this information.

#### **Generated Statements and Cluster Map Analysis**

A total of 93 statements were generated during the brainstorming activity. The researchers consolidated the statements to 67 unique ways that mobile apps could be used to support communication with residents, which were then used in the sorting and rating steps (Multimedia Appendix 1). Data generated during the sorting and rating informed the concept mapping analysis, and all analyses reported hereafter were based on the final cluster map solution. To determine the final cluster solution, a cluster map analysis was performed, which involved generating a range of possible cluster maps (5-10 possible cluster solutions were examined). The larger cluster maps divided clusters into concepts that were deemed to be similar. The research team selected the five-cluster solution, which included concepts that represented nonoverlapping care categories for using mobile technology to support everyday communication. The five-cluster solution had a stress index value of 0.30, which indicated that the cluster map had a good overall fit with the data points and was within the range of most concept mapping projects [43]. Labels for the five-cluster maps were derived from the categories created by participants, and the descriptions were based on the statements included in each cluster, which pertained to the concept overall. The clusters centered around actionable areas of care that occur within the LTC setting. Care cluster 1: connect was characterized by 12 statements that focused on using apps to build interpersonal relationships

through personalized, meaningful engagement (eg, "Use pictures on the iPad/tablet that are meaningful to the resident [eg, personal history, culture, generational] to stimulate conversation") and to foster trust and connection during leisure or recreational activities ("Use photos on the iPad/tablet to build trust with residents during recreation activities"). Care cluster 2: manage included 13 statements that were around using apps to assess resident needs (eg, health status, behavior, mood, pain, mobility) to provide individualized care (eg, "Use music apps to help residents with their mood and/or emotion"). Care cluster 3: facilitate had the highest number of statements (n=17) that focused on using apps to improve staff-resident communication by using verbal and nonverbal forms of communication to meet residents' individual communication needs (eg, "Use apps with basic sign/symbol functions to communicate with residents"). Care cluster 4: provide was categorized by 14 statements that centered around using apps to support residents during the completion of daily tasks and to encourage residents to participate in their self-care (eg, "Use apps with pictures to show residents what care staff will be doing with them during personal care"). Finally, care cluster 5: overcome contained 11 statements that pertained to the use of apps to offer a way to reduce or remove cultural-language and/or hearing barriers to engaging residents (eg, "Use apps with speech-to-speech translation function to 'talk back' to residents in their language"; Figure 1).

#### Wilson & Small

**Figure 1.** Cluster maps. The five Care Cluster names: (1) Connect (2) Manage (3) Facilitate (4) Provide and (5) Overcome. (a) The point cluster map denotes a 3D nonoverlapping representation of the 5 clusters, determined during the hierarchical cluster analysis process, with their points and statement numbers. Points that are closer together represent statements with a more similar meaning, based on participant sorting. (b) This cluster rating map denotes ratings of the usefulness of each statement, with more layers indicating a cluster with higher average ratings of usefulness for the statements contained within the cluster. Cluster 2 has 5 layers, cluster 3 has 3 layers, and clusters 1, 5, and 4 only have 1 layer. (c) This cluster rating map denotes care staffs' ratings of the practicality of each statement in their care practice. (d) This cluster rating map denotes care staffs' probable use ratings in their care practice for a statement.



# **Statement and Cluster Ratings**

The average statement ratings across the 3 variables ranged from 2.89 ("Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative care") to 4.78 ("Use music apps to help residents with their mood and/or emotion." "Use apps with basic sign/symbol functions to communicate with residents"; Multimedia Appendix 1). No statement was rated, on average, as somewhat or not at all useful, practical, or likely to use. In terms of perceived usefulness, 2 statements had the highest average rating: "Use music apps to help residents with their mood and/or emotion" and "Use apps with basic sign/symbol functions to communicate with residents" (Multimedia Appendix 1). Care staff rated 3 statements as highest on practicality: "Use pictures on the iPad/tablet that are meaningful to the resident [eg, personal history, culture, generational] to stimulate conversation"; "Use apps that can also translate what care staff say into the language that a resident can understand/speak"; and "Use apps with pictures/text with residents who cannot speak but can point to what they want or need." Finally, 2 statements were rated highest on the care staff's probable use in their care practice: "Use apps that include both visual and written forms of communication during activity sessions" and "Use apps with pictures/text with residents who cannot speak but can point to what they want or need."

Overall, the care staff's average ratings for the 5 care clusters ranged from 4.13 (*care cluster 1: connect*) to 4.46 (*care cluster 2: manage*) on usefulness, from 3.72 (*care cluster 1:connect*) to 4.04 (*care cluster 1: overcome*) on practicality, and from

RenderX

3.68 (*care cluster 1:connect*) to 4.03 (*care cluster 1: overcome*) on probable use (Multimedia Appendix 1). The results from the cluster map analyses showed that, relative to other care clusters on the maps, the care staff considered care cluster 2: manage to contain statements with the highest ratings for using mobile apps to support everyday communication with residents (Figure 1). The average statement ratings in this care cluster ranged from 3.56 to 4.78 (Multimedia Appendix 1). For example, the statements with the highest average ratings for usefulness were as follows: "Use music apps to help residents with their mood and/or emotion." (statement number 6: average rating 4.78), "Use apps to ask information about residents' needs and wants." (statement number 20: average rating 4.67), and "Use apps to keep an up-to-date record of a resident's needs." (statement number 31: average rating 4.67; Multimedia Appendix 1). Care staff ratings indicated that using mobile apps to overcome barriers (care cluster 5: overcome) was highly practical and that there was a strong likelihood that they would use mobile apps for this purpose in their care practice. For example, "Using apps that can translate what care staff say into the language that a resident can understand/speak" (statement number 47) was rated, on average, as highly useful (average rating 4.56), practical (average rating 4.56), and likely to use in their care practice (average rating 4.44; Multimedia Appendix 1). Conversely, although care cluster 1: connect, on average, was rated as moderate-to-very important in terms of usefulness, practicality, and probable use, it was the care cluster with the lowest average ratings. For example, the statement "Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)" was rated lower on both a practical (average

rating 3.11) and probable (average rating 3.33) way to support everyday communication in LTC.

# **Go-Zone Analysis**

The Go-Zone analysis generated 3 visual displays that were derived by comparing care staff's ratings on the 3 rating variables: (1) usefulness and practicality; (2) usefulness and probable use; and (3) practicality and probable use (Figure 2). Across the 3 comparisons, a total of 20 actionable statements were in quadrant 4, or the go-to care zone, meaning that all these statements were rated above average on usefulness, practicality, and probable use (Table 2). The majority (13/20, 65%) of the statements found in the go-to care zone were from care cluster 2: care management (n=7) and care cluster 3: facilitate (n=6). Two care clusters contained only one statement that care staff rated as very across all 3 rating comparisons: connect: "Use pictures on the iPad/tablet that are meaningful to the resident (personal history, culture, generational) to stimulate

conversation." and *caregiving*: "Use apps with pictograms to help with directions given to residents." Conversely, quadrant 2, or the no-go care zone included 18 statements that were commonly rated lower across the 3 variable comparisons, with the majority of the statements (11/18, 61%) included in care cluster 1: connect (n=7) and care cluster 4: provide (n=4; Table 3). Finally, paired-sample t tests were conducted to examine any differences between the overall ratings for the clusters on the different rating variables. There were no statistically significant differences between practicality and probable use for any of the care clusters and no differences in any of the rating variables for cluster 5: overcome (all P>.05). However, the ratings were statistically significantly different for clusters 1 to 4 on the rating categories of usefulness and probable use (t statistic, P < .01), indicating that the staff may perceive a statement as useful but less likely to use in the mobile app for this purpose in their care practice.

**Figure 2.** Go-Zone analysis displays comparing statements across the rating criteria. Q4: quadrant 4 (top-right shaded quadrant) of the Go-Zone display represents statements that were rated high on both variables in the comparison (ie, very useful and very likely to use in practice; go-to care zone). Q2: quadrant 2 (bottom-left quadrant) included statements that were deemed lower on both variables (eg, somewhat practical and likely to use). The size and location of the quadrants vary from cluster to cluster because the quadrants are formed by drawing a line at the cluster average of the variable ratings.





**Table 2.** The "Go-to" uses of mobile technology to support everyday communication in long-term care across the fourth quadrant of the 3 Go-Zone graphic displays (n=20).

Care cluster and statement number	High-priority statements	
Care cluster 1: connect		
19	Use pictures on the iPad/tablet that are meaningful to the resident (eg, personal history, culture, genera- tional) to stimulate conversation	
Care cluster 2: manage		
4	Use apps that include a music option for its therapeutic benefits to residents	
6	Use music apps to help residents with their mood and/or emotion	
18	Use art therapy apps with residents who have limited mobility	
20	Use apps to ask information about residents' needs and wants	
27	Use apps to ask the resident how they are feeling	
31	Use apps to keep an up-to-date record of a resident's needs	
63	Use apps to assess if the resident is in pain	
Care cluster 3: facilitate		
5	Use apps with pictures that residents can use to self-express with care staff	
25	Use translation apps to provide instructions on how to do a task so that residents can understand	
29	Use apps that include pictures, text, and speech to communicate with residents	
30	Use photos on the iPad/tablet to support communication with residents living with hearing loss	
48	Use apps with pictures to communicate with residents	
50	Use apps with pictures/text with residents who cannot speak but can point to what they want or need	
Care cluster 4: provide		
14	Use apps with pictograms to help with directions given to residents	
Care cluster 5: overcome		
11	Use translation apps with both text-to-text and text-to-speech functions to communicate with residents who do not speak English	
34	Use apps to translate what residents say in other languages into English (eg, speech-to-speech)	
46	Use apps with speech-to-speech translation function to "talk back" to residents in their language	
47	Use apps that can also translate what care staff say into the language that a resident can understand/speak	
66	Use translation apps to help residents who speak other languages to indicate their needs	

Table 3. Low priority statements identified across the second quadrant of the 3 Go-Zone graph displays (n=18).

Cere cluster 1: connect       Use apps with custom personal videos (eg, family) to connect with residents         13       Use customizable apps to create communication topics that help staff get to know residents         17       Use painting apps to communicate with residents         21       Use photos on the iPad/tablet to build trust with residents during recreation activities         22       Use apps to engage in fun activities with residents (eg, truit about where they used to live)         60       Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residents         61       Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residents to help communication         62       Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residents         63       Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia         74       Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia         75       Use apps to pay single, short instructional hide per soldent sub residents in pallative care         76       Use apps to pay single, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents         73       Use apps to inform residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility         76       Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility         73       Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that ane happening in the facility	Care cluster and statement number	Lower priority ways of using mobile technology to support everyday communication in long-term care		
13Use customizable apps to communication topics that help staff get to know residents17Use painting apps to communicate with residents21Use photos on the iPad/tablet to build trust with residents during recreation activities22Use apps to engage in fun activities with residents (eg, write stories together)59Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)60Use maps to engage in social conversation to get to know residentsCare cluster 2: manage1010Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to inform residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome223Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	Care cluster 1: connect			
17Use painting apps to communicate with residents21Use photos on the iPad/tablet to build trust with residents during recreation activities22Use apps to engage in fun activities with residents (eg, write stories together)59Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)60Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residentsCare cluster 2: manage10Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	2	Use apps with custom personal videos (eg, family) to connect with residents		
21Use photos on the iPad/tablet to build trust with residents during recreation activities22Use apps to engage in fun activities with residents (eg, write stories together)59Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)60Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residentsCare cluster 2: manage10Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provideUse apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use tapps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use tapps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use tapps to invite residents to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues) <td <="" colspan="2" td=""><td>13</td><td>Use customizable apps to create communication topics that help staff get to know residents</td></td>	<td>13</td> <td>Use customizable apps to create communication topics that help staff get to know residents</td>		13	Use customizable apps to create communication topics that help staff get to know residents
22Use apps to engage in fun activities with residents (eg, write stories together)59Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)60Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residentsCare cluster 2: manage10Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide1040Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text Equates to overcome language barriers that tres- ielents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	17	Use painting apps to communicate with residents		
59Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)60Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residentsCare cluster 2: manage10Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate4444Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide4040Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents face when they no longer speak English	21	Use photos on the iPad/tablet to build trust with residents during recreation activities		
60Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residentsCare cluster 2: manage10Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide4040Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	22	Use apps to engage in fun activities with residents (eg, write stories together)		
Care cluster 2: manageUse apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitateUse apps to communicate with residents living with dementia44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provideUse apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	59	Use map apps as a topic of discussion with residents (eg, talk about where they used to live)		
10Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communicationCare cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide4040Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	60	Use apps to engage in social conversation to get to know residents		
Care cluster 3: facilitate44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide4040Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that res-	Care cluster 2: manage			
44Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide40Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents 4153Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility 5354Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	10	Use apps that are preprogrammed with a voice that is familiar to residents to help communication		
55Use translation apps with English-to-English function to help residents understand care staff who have an accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide4040Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that res- idents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	Care cluster 3: facilitate			
InterfactInterfactan accent65Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative careCare cluster 4: provide40Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome2323Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	44	Use apps to communicate with residents living with dementia		
Care cluster 4: provide40Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	55			
40Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	65	Use apps to communicate with residents in palliative care		
41Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	Care cluster 4: provide			
53Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility58Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)Care cluster 5: overcome23Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment39Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	40	Use apps to play simple, short instructional videos of an activity to help communicate with residents		
58       Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)         Care cluster 5: overcome       23         23       Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment         39       Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	41	Use apps to inform residents about programs and activities that are happening in the facility		
Care cluster 5: overcome         23       Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment         39       Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	53	Use apps to invite residents to join programs and activities that are happening in the facility		
<ul> <li>Use tablets/apps to amplify translated speech for people living with a language barrier and a hearing impairment</li> <li>Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that residents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English</li> </ul>	58	Use apps with pictures to provide instructions to residents on how to do a task (ie, visual cues)		
39 Use translation apps with text-to-speech/speech-to-text features to overcome language barriers that res- idents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	Care cluster 5: overcome			
idents with Alzheimer disease or dementia face when they no longer speak English	23			
56 Use tablets/apps to amplify care staff's speech for people living with a hearing impairment	39			
	56	Use tablets/apps to amplify care staff's speech for people living with a hearing impairment		

# Discussion

# Key Ways of Using Mobile Technology to Support Everyday Communication

This study aimed to increase our understanding of the various ways that care staff would use mobile technology to support everyday communication with residents as well as provide insight into which care contexts staff perceive mobile cApps to be most useful, practical, and would likely use with older adults living in LTC homes. The qualitative results of this study identified 67 different ways that mobile apps could be used to support everyday communication between care staff and residents, indicating that care staff recognize a wide range of possible ways of using mobile apps to support communication with residents. Moreover, all the different ways of using mobile apps were rated by staff, on average, as moderately to extremely useful, practical, and would likely use in their care practice. The quantitative results indicated that, generally, the highest-rated ways of using mobile apps with residents were for 3 key purposes: nonpharmacological intervention, AAC, and language translation. Specifically, care staff viewed using music apps to improve residents' mood or emotion and using mobile apps to

https://nursing.jmir.org/2020/1/e21881/

RenderX

provide visual representations (pictures or images and text) that support communication with residents as most useful. Furthermore, care staff indicated that using mobile apps to present meaningful pictures to stimulate conversation, using apps with a translation feature, and using apps with pictures or text to help people who no longer speak to be most practical. Finally, care staff indicated that they would most likely use mobile apps during activities that include both visual and written forms of communication to help support people who have limited verbal communication.

The participants' emphasis on using music to manage the care needs of residents aligns with evidence that music can play an important role in communication [48,49]. The enjoyment of music involves sensory, cognitive (attention, memory, and language), and emotional processing, with the pleasure of music offering a therapeutic approach for individuals living with mood disturbances [50]. People living with dementia continue to enjoy the mood benefits of music and respond to music even in later stages of the disease when verbal forms of communication are limited [51]. Indeed, there is now a growing body of evidence reporting the benefits of music therapy based on a reduction in disruptive behaviors, anxiety, and depressive moods [52-55].

Given the reported benefits of music in the literature, it is not surprising that care staff identified the therapeutic use of adding music into their care practice toolbox.

Care staff perceived using mobile apps that offer multiple communication modes (pictures or images, text, and speech) to meet residents' needs or preferences as useful, practical, and likely to be used in their care practice. AAC tools and techniques supplement or replace speech for those living with a communication disorder that impacts language production and/or comprehension [56]. AAC tools aim to engage, connect, and improve the quality of life of people living with spoken and/or written communication impairments resulting from dementia and their care partners [57]. There has been a wide range of traditional nontech (eg, gestures, signing, facial expression, body language, vocalizations) and low-tech AAC options (eg, picture communication boards or books, memory books, communication or memory wallet, photos, objects, paper and pen for written messages, written choice cards, printed reminders) available to support the complex communication needs of people living with dementia so that they can express their wants or preferences as well as connect with their care partners [56-63].

With recent advances in mainstream technologies (eg, tablets or smartphones and their apps), there is a growing number of high-tech AAC solutions that have been developed to address a range of communication needs for people living with communication disorders [57,64]. For example, several traditional low-tech AAC tools have been adapted to high-tech formats (eg, digital communication books, digital memory books, mobile reminiscence, multimedia videos, electronic picture boards) [57,65-72]. AAC tools available as mobile apps (eg, GoTalk NOW) offer several potential advantages over low-tech AAC solutions in the LTC setting, including ease of access, portability, size and storage, variety of features, low cost, and range of customization. Furthermore, the key advantages of using mobile AAC apps with residents are that they can include multiple communication modes (pictures or images, music, text, and speech) and can be personalized to support residents' individual communication needs and preferences [60,73]. Although mobile AAC apps have the exciting potential to offer LTC staff and residents innovative communication solutions that can be adapted to the users' ability level, there is a need to develop and evaluate evidence-informed mobile apps that aim to address the communication needs of people living with dementia and their caregivers generally [74] and specific to the LTC home setting [75].

Canada is a culturally and linguistically diverse country, with more than 1 in 5 Canadians being foreign-born citizens [76]. Health care settings located in major urban areas (eg, Vancouver, Toronto, Montreal) comprise cultural-linguistically diverse staff and patients, making language barriers a common issue that can impact equitable assessment of care, treatment, health outcomes, quality of care, and patient satisfaction [77,78]. Estabrooks et al [79] surveyed care aides working in LTC homes across western Canada to better understand demographics. Findings from their study highlighted that the majority of care aide respondents were not born in Canada and that English was not their first language [79]. Although the use of professional

https://nursing.jmir.org/2020/1/e21881/

medical interpretive services (eg, telephone, video, in person) is the standard practice in a health care setting to connect residents and care providers, these resources are limited in terms of cost, access, and time and are not always available on demand to support everyday communication taking place during daily care routines [13,80,81]. Access limitations mean that residents' immediate needs may go unmet, leading to frustrations for both residents and care staff. Although learning some basic words in the resident's language or requesting assistance from a coworker who speaks the resident's language may offer an occasional solution [6], there is a need for care staff to have access to on-demand translation tools available in the mobile app marketplace. It has been recognized that using commercially available mobile translation apps in the health care setting has raised concerns about the risks of inaccurate translations of important health care information, with some studies indicating poor translation accuracy of medical information or phrases in popular apps such as Google Translate [82-84]. However, although accuracy is a major concern for communicating sensitive medical information (diagnosis, treatment, and consent), using mobile apps for everyday communication during daily activities (eg, identifying pain, toileting, dressing, and mealtime) may be of less risk. Indeed, a recent study by Panayiotou et al [81] identified 15 free commercially available translation apps in the Apple iTunes Store and evaluated their suitability for everyday communication with older adults in health care settings. The results indicated that 2 translation apps designed for the health care setting, CALD Assist and Talk To Me, were most suitable for translating everyday communication, as the apps were limited to preset phrases that could be used during noncritical care contexts (eg, communicating care needs) [81]. As is the case with AAC apps, although there are a few apps showing promise for supporting translation during everyday communication in health care settings, caution needs to be taken when using unregulated, commercially available translation apps that have not been examined clinically or empirically for use in LTC [13].

#### Key Care Contexts for Using Mobile Technology

Care staff categorized the different ways of using mobile apps to support everyday communication into 5 key care contexts, such as building interpersonal relationships through shared activities and encouraging residents' participation in their self-care tasks. Across all identified care contexts, providing individualized care appeared to be the purpose of using mobile apps to support everyday communication with residents living in LTC homes. The cornerstone of person-centered care in health care settings is the provision of individualized care by gathering information about an individual's values, needs, personal history, and preferences to better understand their health care goals as well as encouraging participation in one's own health care decisions [85-90]. Person-centered approaches to care have been developed to address the needs of people living in LTC homes [91-95]. Person-centered dementia care was founded on the principle of personhood and emphasized the importance of relationships in the LTC context [92,96,97]. Person-centered dementia care has evolved into a care model that includes 4 fundamental elements: (1) value people living with dementia and their caregivers; (2) treat people living with dementia as

XSL•FO RenderX

individuals with unique needs and preferences; (3) consider the perspectives of people living with dementia to help better understand their reality; and (4) create a positive social environment that supports communication, fosters interpersonal relationships, and promotes well-being [98-100]. The care contexts identified in this study overlap with several key principles of person-centered dementia care within the LTC home setting, including effective communication (care clusters: facilitate and overcome), individualized care (care clusters: manage and provide), and building social relationships and engaging in meaningful activities (care cluster: connect). Furthermore, this study's findings align with components of the recently published person-centered dementia care practice recommendations: (1) know the person living with dementia (need, preference, history, values, beliefs, interest, and abilities) to inform everyday encounters; (2) recognize and accept the person's reality and know that behavior is communication; (3) identify and support ongoing opportunities for meaningful engagement that support interests and preferences; and (4) build and nurture authentic caring relationships that focus on the relationship and not only the task [101,102].

This study's Go-Zone analysis highlighted the ways of using mobile apps that are high priority (ie, rated very to extremely) and the care contexts that care staff considered most useful, practical, and likely to use in their care practice to support everyday communication with residents (ie, go-to care zones). These findings offer a better understanding of where to target communication interventions that use mobile apps. Specifically, care staff perceived 3 care contexts to be most useful, practical, and likely to use mobile apps with residents to support communication: care management, facilitating communication, and overcoming barriers. The context of managing care was characterized by activities that focused on assessing and/or responding to residents' care needs. In addition to including several ways of using music apps for therapeutic purposes, this care context focused on using mobile apps to identify residents' needs and wants, to keep up-to-date records of these needs, and to assess pain. Chronic pain is a common symptom among older adults living in LTC homes [103-105] resulting from comorbid conditions (eg, injury, surgery, and disease) [106]. Given the prevalence of pain in the LTC home setting and that pain assessment and management are further challenged for residents living with dementia, recognizing and treating residents' pain needs improvement. Indeed, it is not surprising that care staff identified pain assessment as a priority in the LTC setting, as using innovative tools to better detect and effectively treat pain among residents living in LTC homes [107] would help to improve the well-being and quality of life of residents living with pain [105,108].

Care staff perceived the majority statements included in the *care cluster:connect* as moderately important for supporting everyday communication with residents during daily activities. *Care cluster: connect* included a dozen statements that focused on using mobile apps to foster positive caregiver-resident interpersonal relationships through shared activities and meaningful engagement. Interestingly, although care staff perceive several ways of using mobile apps to be useful for supporting social participation and nurturing relationships, care

```
https://nursing.jmir.org/2020/1/e21881/
```

staff may have experienced or, possibly foreseen, challenges with implementing mobile technology interventions for this purpose in their care practice. For example, while centered approaches to resident care are beneficial [93], building and nurturing resident-care staff relationships takes time, staffing resources, and care staff education or training. Staffing and environment constraints, high workload demands, time pressure, workplace culture, limited experience, and/or training can hinder centered approaches to care [109-111]. Indeed, the care cluster: connect encompasses the principles of relationship-centered care, which shifts the focus of care beyond the individual (ie, person-centered care) to include the relational and social contexts of care [89,112,113]. In the relationship-centered care approach, more emphasis is placed on relationships, including the resident-care staff relationship. This approach focuses on enhancing the dyad's care experience and cultivating a reciprocal relationship that meets both the residents' and the care staff's needs. Building and nurturing relationships take time and would require changes to the focus of care practice with placed approaches, greater emphasis on relationship-oriented care over task-oriented care.

#### **Strengths and Limitations**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore care staff's perspectives about using mobile technology to support everyday communication with older adults living in LTC homes during daily activities. This study demonstrated the feasibility of using the mixed methods concept mapping approach with care staff in the LTC setting to identify the various ways that mobile apps could be used with residents to support communication and highlight priority care contexts to target future mHealth interventions. Employing the concept mapping approach offered a way to promote care staff engagement in the research process as well as capitalize on group discussion to quickly generate various ideas that may not be captured during in-depth interviews. In addition, this efficient and timely method offered flexibility in the research process. However, the concept mapping method is limited in its ability to explore care staff's perspectives in greater detail. Therefore, to enhance concept mapping results, future research should consider including traditional interviews concurrent with the concept mapping method or following up on key findings with interviews to capture both the breadth and the depth around care staff perspectives on using mHealth in LTC. Indeed, the combination of concept mapping methods and focus group interviews has been shown to produce complementary results, capturing the complexities of a topic under inquiry [34].

Although methods used in concept mapping are suitable for any sample size above 10 [43], the small sample size in this study means that the findings cannot be generalized to other care staff in the LTC setting. Furthermore, this study included only one male; thus, this study may overlook the unique perspectives of male care staff. Participating care staff did not engage in the interpretation phase of this study (cluster map name and description consensus). Therefore, participant engagement was limited to 2 phases of the study, and interpretations were based on the research team consensus. In addition, although the information gathered from care staff is the first step to better understand the ways mobile technology could be used with

XSL•FO

residents, this study acknowledges that resident perspectives were not included. Future research should consider including residents in the research process to better assist in identifying key areas that they would want to use technology with care staff.

# Conclusions

Effective communication is fundamental to the provision of person-centered care. According to care staff, there are a variety of ways to use mobile apps to support communication with residents living in LTC homes. Care staff categorized the various ways of using mobile apps with residents into 5 care contexts. The findings expand our understanding of priority areas for using mobile apps with residents in LTC homes, which included using mobile apps to support communication during care management activities, to facilitate verbal and nonverbal communication to meet residents' individual needs, and to overcome cultural-language barriers. This study demonstrated that concept mapping is a useful tool for engaging caregivers in the research process to illuminate caregivers' perspectives around using mobile apps to support communication with older adults living in LTC homes. Using mobile apps to deliver interventions (eg, AAC and nonpharmacological) is a key area for future research and clinical practice. For example, using a mobile app to measure health status could be employed as part of a resident's care plan to support person-centered care or using a mobile app to assess pain offers residents a way to communicate their care needs. This study provides an initial understanding of the ways in which mobile apps could be used to support caregiver-resident communication. Identifying priority care areas for using mobile apps is essential for targeting innovative mHealth interventions designed to support and enhance resident-caregiver communication in the LTC setting, ultimately improving person-centered care and residents' quality of life.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a postdoctoral training fellowship to RW from the University of Washington Advanced Training on Outcomes in Rehabilitation Research supported by a grant from the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (grant number H133P120002). Additionally, a portion of this work was supported by the Canadian Frailty Network (formerly known as Technology Evaluation in the Elderly Network), which is supported by the Government of Canada through the Networks of Centres of Excellence Health Technology Innovation Grant (HTIG2014-20). The content of this paper is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the policy of the Canadian Frailty Network. The authors wish to thank all the participating care staff at the long-term residential care home for generously sharing their experiences, perspectives, and time for this research. The authors are also grateful to the administrative staff for scheduling staff for the brainstorming activity and for assisting with participant recruitment. The authors wish to thank the research support provided by Diana Cochrane during the participant recruitment phase of this study and map interpretation. Finally, the authors would like to acknowledge the Concept System Global MAXTM software team for their support.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

# **Authors' Contributions**

RW and JS contributed to the study's conception and design. RW made significant contributions to all aspects of the study (data collection, data analysis, and reporting) and prepared the draft manuscript. JS critically revised and commented on the draft manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

# **Multimedia Appendix 1**

Conceptual clusters: generated statements and average ratings on usefulness, practicality, and probability of use. [DOCX File , 26 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

# References

- 1. Health Care in Canada, 2011: A Focus on Seniors and Aging. CIHI: Canadian Institute for Health Information. 2011. URL: https://secure.cihi.ca/free\_products/HCIC\_2011\_seniors\_report\_en.pdf [accessed 2020-08-28]
- 2. Canada Year Book, 2011. Statistics Canada. 2011. URL: <u>https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/pub/11-402-x/2011000/pdf/</u> seniors-aines-eng.pdf?st=4zOhBDrP [accessed 2020-08-28]
- 3. This is Long-Term Care. Ontario Long Term Care Association. 2019. URL: <u>https://www.oltca.com/OLTCA/Documents/</u> <u>Reports/TILTC2019web.pdf</u> [accessed 2020-08-28]
- Small J, Geldart K, Gutman G. Communication between individuals with dementia and their caregivers during activities of daily living. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2016 Sep 4;15(5):291-302 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/153331750001500511]
- 5. Hancock GA, Woods B, Challis D, Orrell M. The needs of older people with dementia in residential care. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2006 Jan;21(1):43-49. [doi: 10.1002/gps.1421] [Medline: 16323258]

- Small J, Chan SM, Drance E, Globerman J, Hulko W, O'Connor D, et al. Verbal and nonverbal indicators of quality of communication between care staff and residents in ethnoculturally and linguistically diverse long-term care settings. J Cross Cult Gerontol 2015 Sep;30(3):285-304. [doi: <u>10.1007/s10823-015-9269-6</u>] [Medline: <u>26260486</u>]
- 7. Cooper C, Rapaport P, Robertson S, Marston L, Barber J, Manela M, et al. -. Relationship between speaking English as a second language and agitation in people with dementia living in care homes: Results from the MARQUE (Managing Agitation and Raising Quality of life) English national care home survey. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2018:504.
- 8. Camp CJ, Burant CJ, Graham GC. The InterpreCare system: overcoming language barriers in long-term care. Gerontologist 1996 Dec;36(6):821-823. [doi: 10.1093/geront/36.6.821] [Medline: 8990595]
- Wilson R, Rochon E, Mihailidis A, Leonard C. Examining success of communication strategies used by formal caregivers assisting individuals with Alzheimer's disease during an activity of daily living. J Speech Lang Hear Res 2012 Apr;55(2):328-341. [doi: 10.1044/1092-4388(2011/10-0206)] [Medline: 22199204]
- McGilton KS, Rochon E, Sidani S, Shaw A, Ben-David BM, Saragosa M, et al. Can we help care providers communicate more effectively with persons having dementia living in long-term care homes? Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2017 Feb;32(1):41-50 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/1533317516680899] [Medline: 27899433]
- 11. Williams K. Evidence-based strategies for communicating with older adults in long-term careNo Title. JCOM Internet 2013;20(11):507-512 [FREE Full text]
- 12. Vasse E, Vernooij-Dassen M, Spijker A, Rikkert M, Koopmans R. A systematic review of communication strategies for people with dementia in residential and nursing homes. In: International Psychogeriatrics. Int Psychogeriatrics Internet 1 Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare (IQ healthcare) and Nijmegen Alzheimer Centre, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; 2 Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare) and Nijmegen Alzheimer: Cambridge University Press; 2010:189-200.
- 13. Squires A. Strategies for overcoming language barriers in healthcare. Nurs Manage 2018 Apr;49(4):20-27. [doi: 10.1097/01.NUMA.0000531166.24481.15] [Medline: 29528894]
- Abdi S, Spann A, Borilovic J, De WL, Hawley M. Understanding the care and support needs of older people: A scoping review and categorisation using the WHO international classification of functioning, disability and health framework (ICF). BMC Geriatrics, 2019;19(1):195. [doi: 10.1186/s12877-019-1189-9]
- 15. Thirumurthy H, Lester RT. M-health for health behaviour change in resource-limited settings: applications to HIV care and beyond. Bull World Health Organ 2012 May 1;90(5):390-392 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2471/BLT.11.099317] [Medline: 22589574]
- 16. Mhealth New Horizons for Health Through Mobile Technologies. World Health Organization. 2011. URL: <u>https://www.who.int/goe/publications/goe\_mhealth\_web.pdf</u> [accessed 2020-08-28]
- 17. Mikulic M. Number of Mhealth Apps Available in the Apple App Store From 1st Quarter 2015 to 1st Quarter 2020. Statista. 2019. URL: <u>https://www.statista.com/statistics/779910/health-apps-available-ios-worldwide/</u> [accessed 2020-08-28]
- 18. Ventola CL. Mobile devices and apps for health care professionals: uses and benefits. P & T : A Peer-Reviewed Journal for Formulary Management 2014 May;39(5):356-364 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 24883008]
- 19. Larson RS. A path to better-quality mhealth apps. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2018 Jul 30;6(7):e10414 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10414] [Medline: 30061091]
- 20. Cook VE, Ellis AK, Hildebrand KJ. Mobile health applications in clinical practice: pearls, pitfalls, and key considerations. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2016 Aug;117(2):143-149. [doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2016.01.012] [Medline: 27499542]
- 21. Al-Ghamdi S. Popularity and impact of using smart devices in medicine: experiences in Saudi Arabia. BMC Public Health 2018 Apr 20;18(1):531 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5465-y] [Medline: 29678133]
- 22. Sezgin E, Özkan-Yildirim S, Yildirim S. Investigation of physicians' awareness and use of mHealth apps: a mixed method study. Heal Policy Technol 2017 Sep;6(3):251-267. [doi: 10.1016/j.hlpt.2017.07.007]
- 23. Carlo A, Hosseini GR, Renn B, Areán P. By the numbers: ratings and utilization of behavioral health mobile applications. Npj Digital Medicine 2019;2(1):1-8. [doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0129-6]
- 24. Hung M, Conrad J, Hon SD, Cheng C, Franklin JD, Tang P. Uncovering patterns of technology use in consumer health informatics. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat 2013 Nov;5(6):432-447 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/wics.1276] [Medline: 24904713]
- 25. Barton A. Using mobile health technology to enhance patient-centered care. Clin Nurse Spec 2010;24(5):233-234. [doi: 10.1097/NUR.0b013e3181ecda6a] [Medline: 20716974]
- 26. BARTON AJ. Technology trends impacting patient care. Clin Nurse Spec 2013;27(3):113-114. [doi: 10.1097/nur.0b013e31828c82ca]
- 27. Moumtzoglou A. M-health innovations for patient-centered care. In: M-Health Innov Patient-Centered Care. Global: IGI Global; 2016:-.
- 28. Ozdalga E, Ozdalga A, Ahuja N. The smartphone in medicine: a review of current and potential use among physicians and students. J Med Internet Res 2012 Sep 27;14(5):e128 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.1994] [Medline: 23017375]
- 29. Samples C, Ni Z, Shaw RJ. Nursing and mHealth. Int J Nurs Sci 2014 Dec;1(4):330-333. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijnss.2014.08.002]

- 30. Tak SH, Benefield LE, Mahoney DF. Technology for long-term care. Res Gerontol Nurs 2010 Jan;3(1):61-72 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3928/19404921-20091103-01] [Medline: 20128544]
- 31. Trochim W. Hindsight is 20/20: Reflections on the evolution of concept mapping. Evaluation and Program Planning 2017:176-185. [doi: 10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.08.009]
- 32. Iris M, DeBacker NA, Benner R, Hammerman J, Ridings J. Creating a quality of life assessment measure for residents in long term care. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2012 Jun;13(5):438-447. [doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2011.08.011] [Medline: 22054642]
- 33. Rosas SR, Ridings JW. The use of concept mapping in measurement development and evaluation: application and future directions. Eval Program Plann 2017 Feb;60:265-276. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.08.016</u>] [Medline: <u>27601290</u>]
- Guichard A, Tardieu E, Dagenais C, Nour K, Lafontaine G, Ridde V. Use of concurrent mixed methods combining concept mapping and focus groups to adapt a health equity tool in Canada. Eval Program Plann 2017 Apr;61:169-177. [doi: 10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2017.01.003] [Medline: 28160690]
- 35. Carpenter B, Van Haitsma K, Ruckdeschel K, Lawton M. The psychosocial preferences of older adults: a pilot examination of content and structure. The Gerontologist 2000 Jun;40(3):335-348. [doi: 10.1093/geront/40.3.335] [Medline: 10853528]
- Wutzke S, Roberts N, Willis C, Best A, Wilson A, Trochim W. Setting strategy for system change: using concept mapping to prioritise national action for chronic disease prevention. Health Res Policy Syst 2017 Aug 8;15(1):69 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12961-017-0231-7] [Medline: 28784177]
- 37. Anderson LA, Slonim A. Perspectives on the strategic uses of concept mapping to address public health challenges. Eval Program Plann 2017 Feb;60:194-201. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.08.011</u>] [Medline: <u>27591959</u>]
- 38. de Boer ME, Depla MF, Frederiks BJ, Negenman AA, Habraken JM, van Randeraad-van der Zee CH, et al. Involuntary care capturing the experience of people with dementia in nursing homes. A concept mapping study. Aging Ment Health 2019 Apr;23(4):498-506. [doi: 10.1080/13607863.2018.1428934] [Medline: 29412696]
- Nabitz U, van Randeraad-van der Zee C, Kok I, van Bon-Martens M, Serverens P. An overview of concept mapping in Dutch mental health care. Eval Program Plann 2017 Feb;60:202-212. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.08.012</u>] [Medline: <u>27614364</u>]
- 40. Mills GD, LaNoue M, Gentsch AT, Doty AM, Cunningham A, Nord G, et al. Patient experience and challenges in group concept mapping for clinical research. J Patient Rep Outcomes 2019 Aug 15;3(1):54 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s41687-019-0147-9] [Medline: 31418089]
- 41. Ruud M, Raanaas R, Bjelland M. Caregivers' perception of factors associated with a healthy diet among people with intellectual disability living in community residences: A Concept mapping method. Research in Developmental Disabilities 2016;59:202-210. [doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2016.09.006]
- 42. van Bon-Martens MJ, van de Goor IA, van Oers HA. Concept mapping as a method to enhance evidence-based public health. Eval Program Plann 2017 Feb;60:213-228. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.08.014</u>] [Medline: <u>27614363</u>]
- 43. Kane M, Trochim W. Concept mapping for planning and and evaluation. Sage PublicationsSage CA: Thousand Oaks, CA; 2006.
- 44. Trochim WM. An introduction to concept mapping for planning and evaluation. Eval Program Plann 1989 Jan;12(1):1-16. [doi: 10.1016/0149-7189(89)90016-5]
- 45. Trochim WM, McLinden D. Introduction to a special issue on concept mapping. Eval Program Plann 2017 Feb;60:166-175. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.10.006</u>] [Medline: <u>27780609</u>]
- 46. Rising K, Lanoue M, Gentsch A, Doty A, Cunningham A, Carr B, et al. he power of the group: Comparison of interviews and group concept mapping for identifying patient-important outcomes of care. BMC Medical Research Methodology 2019;19(7):1-9. [doi: 10.1186/s12874-018-0656-x]
- 47. The Concept System® Global MAXTM.(Build 2017.328.13) [Web-based Platform]. NY: The Concept System® Global MAXTM; 2018:-.
- 48. Miell D, MacDonald R, Hargreaves D. Musical communication. In: Music Communication. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012:-.
- 49. Kraus N, White-Schwoch T. Neurobiology of Everyday Communication: What Have We Learned From Music? The Neuroscientist 2017;23(3):287-298. [doi: 10.1177/1073858416653593]
- 50. Vuilleumier P, Trost W. Music and emotions: From enchantment to entrainment. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 2015;1337(7):212-222. [doi: 10.1111/nyas.12676]
- 51. Baird A, Samson S. Music and dementia. Prog Brain Res 2015;217:207-235. [doi: <u>10.1016/bs.pbr.2014.11.028</u>] [Medline: <u>25725917</u>]
- 52. Chang Y, Chu H, Yang C, Tsai J, Chung M, Liao Y, et al. The efficacy of music therapy for people with dementia: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Journal of Clinical Nursing 2015;23(23-24):3425-3440. [doi: 10.1111/jocn.12976]
- 53. Fakhoury N, Wilhelm N, Sobota K, Kroustos K. Impact of Music Therapy on Dementia Behaviors: A Literature Review. Consult Pharm 2017;32(10):623-628. [doi: 10.4140/tcp.n.2017.623]
- 54. Gómez-Romero M, Jiménez-Palomares M, Rodríguez-Mansilla J, Flores-Nieto A, Garrido-Ardila EM, González López-Arza MV. Benefits of music therapy on behaviour disorders in subjects diagnosed with dementia: a systematic review. Neurologia 2017 May;32(4):253-263 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2014.11.001] [Medline: 25553932]

- 55. Zhang Y, Cai J, An L, Hui F, Ren T, Ma H, et al. Does music therapy enhance behavioral and cognitive function in elderly dementia patients? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev 2017 May;35:1-11. [doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.12.003] [Medline: 28025173]
- 56. Augmentative and Alternative Communication. ASHA: American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. 2019. URL: https://www.asha.org/Practice-Portal/Professional-Issues/Augmentative-and-Alternative-Communication/ [accessed 2020-08-28]
- 57. May AA, Dada S, Murray J. Review of AAC interventions in persons with dementia. Int J Lang Commun Disord 2019 Nov;54(6):857-874. [doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12491] [Medline: 31321844]
- 58. Bourgeois M, Dijkstra K, Burgio L, Allen-Burge R. Memory aids as an augmentative and alternative communication strategy for nursing home residents with dementia. Augment Altern Commun 2001 Sep;17(3):196-210 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1080/714043383]
- 59. Beukelman DR, Fager S, Ball L, Dietz A. AAC for adults with acquired neurological conditions: a review. Augment Altern Commun 2007 Sep;23(3):230-242. [doi: 10.1080/07434610701553668] [Medline: 17701742]
- 60. McNaughton D, Light J, Beukelman D, Klein C, Nieder D, Nazareth G. Building capacity in AAC: A person-centred approach to supporting participation by people with complex communication needs. Augmentative and Alternative Communication 2019:1-13. [doi: 10.1080/07434618.2018.1556731]
- 61. Fried-Oken M, Beukelman DR, Hux K. Current and future AAC research considerations for adults with acquired cognitive and communication impairments. Assist Technol 2011;24(1):56-66 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1080/10400435.2011.648713] [Medline: 22590800]
- 62. Ellis M, Astell A. Communicating with people living with dementia who are nonverbal: the creation of adaptive interaction. PLoS One 2017;12(8):e0180395 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180395] [Medline: 28763445]
- Fried-Oken M, Rowland C, Daniels D, Dixon M, Fuller B, Mills C, et al. AAC to support conversation in persons with moderate Alzheimer's disease. Augment Altern Commun 2012 Dec;28(4):219-231 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3109/07434618.2012.732610] [Medline: 23256854]
- 64. Elsahar Y, Hu S, Bouazza-Marouf K, Kerr D, Mansor A. Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) Advances: A Review of Configurations for Individuals with a Speech Disability. Sensors 2019;19:1911. [doi: 10.20944/preprints201903.0033.v1]
- 65. Waller A. Telling tales: unlocking the potential of AAC technologies. Int J Lang Commun Disord 2019 Mar;54(2):159-169 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12449] [Medline: 30597706]
- 66. Mooney A, Bedrick S, Noethe G, Spaulding S, Fried-Oken M. Mobile technology to support lexical retrieval during activity retell in primary progressive aphasia. Aphasiology 2018 Mar 25;32(6):666-692. [doi: 10.1080/02687038.2018.1447640]
- Hamel AV, Sims TL, Klassen D, Havey T, Gaugler JE. Memory matters: a mixed-methods feasibility study of a mobile aid to stimulate reminiscence in individuals with memory loss. J Gerontol Nurs 2016 Jul 1;42(7):15-24 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3928/00989134-20160201-04] [Medline: 26870986]
- 68. Ireland D, Atay C, Liddle J, Bradford D, Lee H, Rushin O, et al. Hello Harlie: enabling speech monitoring through chat-bot conversations. Stud Health Technol Inform 2016;227:55-60. [Medline: 27440289]
- 69. Purves BA, Phinney A, Hulko W, Puurveen G, Astell AJ. Developing CIRCA-BC and exploring the role of the computer as a third participant in conversation. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2015 Feb;30(1):101-107 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/1533317514539031] [Medline: 24928817]
- Gilson A, Dodds D, Kaur A, Potteiger M, Ford J. Using Computer Tablets to Improve Moods for Older Adults With Dementia and Interactions With Their Caregivers: Pilot Intervention Study. JMIR Form Res 2019;3(3):e14530. [doi: 10.2196/14530]
- 71. Astell AJ, Ellis MP, Bernardi L, Alm N, Dye R, Gowans G, et al. Using a touch screen computer to support relationships between people with dementia and caregivers. Interact Comput 2010 Jul;22(4):267-275. [doi: 10.1016/j.intcom.2010.03.003]
- Astell AJ, Smith SK, Potter S, Preston-Jones E. Computer interactive reminiscence and conversation aid groups-delivering cognitive stimulation with technology. Alzheimers Dement (N Y) 2018;4:481-487 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.trci.2018.08.003] [Medline: 30258977]
- McNaughton D, Light J. The iPad and mobile technology revolution: benefits and challenges for individuals who require augmentative and alternative communication. Augment Altern Commun 2013 Jun;29(2):107-116. [doi: 10.3109/07434618.2013.784930] [Medline: 23705813]
- 74. Brown EL, Ruggiano N, Li J, Clarke PJ, Kay ES, Hristidis V. Smartphone-based health technologies for dementia care: opportunities, challenges, and current practices. J Appl Gerontol 2019 Jan;38(1):73-91. [doi: <u>10.1177/0733464817723088</u>] [Medline: <u>28774215</u>]
- Wilson R, Cochrane D, Mihailidis A, Small J. Mobile apps to support caregiver-resident communication in long-term care: systematic search and content analysis. JMIR Aging 2020 Apr 8;3(1):e17136 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/17136] [Medline: 32267236]
- 76. Immigration and Ethnocultural Diversity: Key Results From the 2016 Census. Statistics Canada. 2017. URL: <u>https://www150.</u> statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/daily-quotidien/171025/dq171025b-eng.pdf?st=br0b23K9 [accessed 2020-08-28]

- 77. Bowen S. Language Barriers. Government of Canada. 2001. URL: <u>https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/migration/</u> hc-sc/hcs-sss/alt\_formats/hpb-dgps/pdf/pubs/2001-lang-acces/2001-lang-acces-eng.pdf [accessed 2020-08-28]
- 78. de Moissac D, Bowen S. Impact of language barriers on quality of care and patient safety for official language minority francophones in Canada. J Patient Exp 2019 Mar;6(1):24-32 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/2374373518769008] [Medline: 31236448]
- Estabrooks CA, Squires JE, Carleton HL, Cummings GG, Norton PG. Who is looking after Mom and Dad? Unregulated workers in Canadian long-term care homes. Can J Aging 2015 Mar;34(1):47-59 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1017/S0714980814000506] [Medline: 25525838]
- 80. Squires A. Evidence-based approaches to breaking down language barriers. Nursing 2017 Sep;47(9):34-40. [doi: 10.1097/01.NURSE.0000522002.60278.ca] [Medline: 28799977]
- Panayiotou A, Gardner A, Williams S, Zucchi E, Mascitti-Meuter M, Goh AM, et al. Language translation apps in health care settings: expert opinion. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019 Apr 9;7(4):e11316 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/11316] [Medline: 30964446]
- 82. Patil S, Davies P. Use of Google Translate in medical communication: evaluation of accuracy. Br Med J 2014 Dec 15;349:g7392 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7392] [Medline: 25512386]
- 83. Chen X, Acosta S, Barry AE. Evaluating the accuracy of Google translate for diabetes education material. JMIR Diabetes 2016 Jun 28;1(1):e3 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/diabetes.5848] [Medline: 30291056]
- Turner A, Choi Y, Dew K, Tsai M, Bosold A, Wu S, et al. Evaluating the Usefulness of Translation Technologies for Emergency Response Communication: A Scenario-Based Study. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2019;5(1):e11171. [doi: 10.2196/11171]
- 85. Dewing J. Personhood and dementia: revisiting Tom Kitwood's ideas. Int J Older People Nurs 2008 Mar;3(1):3-13 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1748-3743.2007.00103.x] [Medline: 20925884]
- 86. American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on Person-Centered Care T. Person-centered care: a definition and essential elements. J Am Geriatr Soc 2016 Jan;64(1):15-18. [doi: 10.1111/jgs.13866] [Medline: 26626262]
- Young T, Manthorp C. Towards a code of practice for effective communication with people with dementing illnesses. J Lang Soc Psychol 2009 Mar 19;28(2):174-189 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/0261927x08330611]
- 88. Manthorpe J, Samsi K. Person-centered dementia care: current perspectives. Clinical Interventions in Aging 2016;11:1733-1740. [doi: <u>10.2147/cia.s104618</u>]
- 89. Nolan MR, Davies S, Brown J, Keady J, Nolan J. Beyond person-centred care: a new vision for gerontological nursing. J Clin Nurs 2004 Mar;13(3a):45-53. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2004.00926.x] [Medline: 15028039]
- McCormack B. Person-centredness in gerontological nursing: an overview of the literature. J Clin Nurs 2004 Mar;13(3a):31-38. [doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-2702.2004.00924.x</u>] [Medline: <u>15028037</u>]
- 91. Brooker D. Dementia care mapping: a review of the research literature. Gerontologist 2005 Oct;45 Spec No 1(1):11-18. [doi: <u>10.1093/geront/45.suppl\_1.11</u>] [Medline: <u>16230745</u>]
- 92. Kitwood T. -. Dementia Reconsidered: The Person Comes First (Rethinking Ageing). Open University Press; 1997:0335198554.
- 93. Li J, Porock D. Resident outcomes of person-centered care in long-term care: a narrative review of interventional research. nt J Nurs Stud 2014;51(10):1395-1415. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.04.003]
- 94. McCormack B, Dewing J, Breslin L, Coyne-Nevin A, Kennedy K, Manning M, et al. Developing person-centred practice: nursing outcomes arising from changes to the care environment in residential settings for older people. Int J Older People Nurs 2010 Jun;5(2):93-107 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1748-3743.2010.00216.x] [Medline: 20925711]
- 95. McCormack B, McCance TV. Development of a framework for person-centred nursing. J Adv Nurs 2006 Dec;56(5):472-479. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2006.04042.x] [Medline: 17078823]
- 96. Kitwood T, Bredin K. Towards a theory of dementia care: personhood and well-being. Ageing Soc 1992;12:269-287. [doi: 10.1017/s0144686x0000502x] [Medline: 11654434]
- 97. Kitwood T. Towards a theory of dementia care: the interpersonal process. Ageing Soc 2008 Nov 14;13(1):51-67. [doi: 10.1017/s0144686x00000647]
- 98. Brooker D. What is person-centred care in dementia? Rev Clin Gerontol 2004 Jul 7;13(3):215-222. [doi: 10.1017/s095925980400108x]
- 99. Røsvik J, Brooker D, Mjorud M, Kirkevold O. What is person-centred care in dementia? Clinical reviews into practice: the development of the VIPS practice model. Rev Clin Gerontol 2013 Mar 25;23(2):155-163. [doi: 10.1017/s0959259813000014]
- 100. Brooker D. What is person-centred care in dementia? Rev Clin Gerontol 2004 Jul 7;13(3):215-222. [doi: 10.1017/s095925980400108x]
- Fazio S, Pace D, Flinner J, Kallmyer B. The fundamentals of person-centered care for individuals with dementia. The Gerontologist 2018 Jan 18;58(suppl\_1):S10-S19. [doi: <u>10.1093/geront/gnx122</u>] [Medline: <u>29361064</u>]
- 102. Fazio S, Pace D, Maslow K, Zimmerman S, Kallmyer B. Alzheimer's association dementia care practice recommendations. The Gerontologist 2018 Jan 18;58(suppl\_1):S1-S9. [doi: 10.1093/geront/gnx182] [Medline: 29361074]
- 103. Li H, Osborne L. Pain management for long-term-care residents. Nursing 2018;48(1):64-67. [doi: 10.1097/01.nurse.0000526906.76239.6e]

- 104. Takai Y, Yamamoto-Mitani N, Okamoto Y, Koyama K, Honda A. Literature review of pain prevalence among older residents of nursing homes. Pain Manag Nurs 2010 Dec;11(4):209-223. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.pmn.2010.08.006</u>] [Medline: <u>21095596</u>]
- 105. Zanocchi M, Maero B, Nicola E, Martinelli E, Luppino A, Gonella M, et al. Chronic pain in a sample of nursing home residents: prevalence, characteristics, influence on quality of life (QoL). Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2008;47(1):121-128. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.archger.2007.07.003</u>] [Medline: <u>18006088</u>]
- 106. Gibson SJ, Lussier D. Prevalence and relevance of pain in older persons. Pain Med 2012 Apr;13(Suppl 2):S23-S26. [doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2012.01349.x] [Medline: 22497744]
- 107. Atee M, Hoti K, Parsons R, Hughes JD. Pain assessment in dementia: evaluation of a point-of-care technological solution. J Alzheimers Dis 2017;60(1):137-150 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3233/JAD-170375] [Medline: 28800333]
- 108. Reid M, O?Neil K, Dancy J, Berry C, Stowell S. Pain Management in Long-Term Care Communities: A Quality Improvement Initiative. Ann Longterm Care Internet 2015 Feb 2015;23(2):29-35.
- 109. Moore L, Britten N, Lydahl D, Naldemirci O, Elam M, Wolf A. Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of person-centred care in different healthcare contexts. Scand J Caring Sci 2017 Dec;31(4):662-673 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/scs.12376] [Medline: 27859459]
- 110. West E, Barron DN, Reeves R. Overcoming the barriers to patient-centred care: time, tools and training. J Clin Nurs 2005 Apr;14(4):435-443. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2004.01091.x] [Medline: 15807750]
- 111. Lloyd B, Elkins M, Innes L. Barriers and enablers of patient and family centred care in an Australian acute care hospital: Perspectives of health managers. Patient Experience Journal 2018;5(3):55-64. [doi: 10.35680/2372-0247.1270]
- 112. Beach MC, Inui T, Relationship-Centered Care Research Network. Relationship-centered care. A constructive reframing. J Gen Intern Med 2006 Jan;21(Suppl 1):S3-S8 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00302.x] [Medline: 16405707]
- 113. Soklaridis S, Ravitz P, Adler NG, Lieff S. Relationship-centred care in health: A 20-year scoping review. Patient Experience Journal 2016;3(1):130-145. [doi: 10.35680/2372-0247.1111]

# Abbreviations

AAC: augmentative and alternative communicationADL: activity of daily livingcApps: communication applicationsLTC: long-term caremHealth: mobile health

Edited by E Borycki; submitted 27.06.20; peer-reviewed by G Towsley, J Walsh; comments to author 28.07.20; revised version received 10.08.20; accepted 12.08.20; published 29.09.20

<u>Please cite as:</u> Wilson R, Small J Care Staff Perspectives on Using Mobile Technology to Support Communication in Long-Term Care: Mixed Methods Study JMIR Nursing 2020;3(1):e21881 URL: <u>https://nursing.jmir.org/2020/1/e21881/</u> doi: <u>10.2196/21881</u> PMID: <u>34406973</u>

©Rozanne Wilson, Jeff Small. Originally published in JMIR Nursing Informatics (https://nursing.jmir.org), 29.09.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

