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Abstract
eHealth interventions are becoming a part of standard care, with software solutions increasingly created for patients and health care providers. Testing of eHealth software is important to ensure that the software realizes its goals. Software testing, which is comprised of alpha and beta testing, is critical to establish the effectiveness and usability of the software. In this viewpoint, we explore existing practices for testing software in health care settings. We scanned the literature using search terms related to eHealth software testing (eg, “health alpha testing,” “eHealth testing,” and “health app usability”) to identify practices for testing eHealth software. We could not identify a single standard framework for software testing in health care settings; some articles reported frameworks, while others reported none. In addition, some authors misidentified alpha testing as beta testing and vice versa. There were several different objectives (ie, testing for safety, reliability, or usability) and methods of testing (eg, questionnaires, interviews) reported. Implementation of an iterative strategy in testing can introduce flexible and rapid changes when developing eHealth software. Further investigation into the best approach for software testing in health care settings would aid the development of effective and useful eHealth software, particularly for novice eHealth software developers.

Introduction
eHealth interventions are becoming a part of standard care, with mobile apps or software solutions being created for patients and health care providers. For example, we designed a digital audit software for a new model of care called Merge (which is better known in research and development as Alberta Family Integrated Care) that improves outcomes by integrating families of newborns with critical illness into the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) team [1]. Merge was adopted in all 14 NICUs in Alberta, Canada, through a process that involves quarterly fidelity audits, which are central to implementation success and sustainability. We replaced the manual and labor-intensive audits with this fidelity audit software to increase efficiency and reduce personnel costs.

Appropriate software testing is important to ensure that an eHealth intervention realizes its goals, which may include improving access, efficiency, and quality of care [2]. Software testing, which includes alpha and beta testing, is critical to establish the effectiveness and usability of an eHealth software. In this viewpoint, we define alpha testing in accordance with the Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge [3], as internal software testing, which occurs first and is often performed within the development team [4]. Subsequently, beta testing is performed, which is external and involves a larger testing sample representative of the end-user population [3,4].
When we set out to test the Merge fidelity audit software, we wanted to identify software testing practices for novice eHealth software developers. Thus, we performed an exploratory scan of the literature to understand and identify existing methods for testing software in health care settings.

For our exploratory scan, we used Google Scholar and search terms related to eHealth software testing (eg, “health alpha testing,” “eHealth testing,” and “health app usability”). We included research articles that described their process of either alpha or beta testing and were either patient- or health care provider-facing. We excluded articles if they were nonpeer-reviewed literature published before 2015 from a non-Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development member country because we were interested in recent practices conducted in similar health care contexts. We identified 34 articles that met our inclusion criteria; among these, we selected 7 articles for this viewpoint about software testing practices (Multimedia Appendix 1), including 5 primary research articles [5-9], 1 review article [10], and 1 framework [11].

**Lack of a Standardized Testing Framework**

There was not a single standardized framework for software testing that was used across the 5 primary research articles [5-9]; 2 articles [7,8] cited two different frameworks, while the other 3 articles did not cite any. Fishbein et al [8] used Darlow and Wen’s [12] best practices to guide the development of their mobile health (mHealth) intervention. The practices relevant for software testing included using mixed methods (eg, questionnaires, semistructured interviews), engaging stakeholders (eg, software designers, subject matter experts, health professionals, patients), and publishing the results of testing to facilitate learning from successes and difficulties [12]. Cho et al [7] used the 3-level stratified view of health IT usability evaluation [13]. Levels of testing, as defined by this framework, consisted of (1) a user-centered design, which incorporated users’ needs in the development phase; (2) a usability evaluation in a laboratory setting, where testing occurred in a regulated environment; and (3) usability in a real-world setting, which assessed user experience in practical applications [7,13]. In Cho et al [7], levels 2 and 3 most closely correspond to beta testing, as testers are representative of the end-user population [7]. While Darlow and Wen’s [12] best practices provide guidelines regarding what the testing should include, the stratified view of health IT usability evaluation [13] provides more specific guidance on how to conduct testing and what each phase may entail. However, both frameworks involve multiple methods of testing to gain comprehensive user feedback and aim to create standardization in testing to ensure the rigor of eHealth interventions. The lack of standardization in software testing raises issues concerning consistency in quality assurance, which has the potential to compromise patient safety. Additionally, the lack of clear guidelines for testing can lead to inefficiencies in the allocation of the development team’s time and resources.

**Objectives and Methods of Testing**

Although few articles used a specific framework for testing, there were some similarities in the general process among the 5 primary research articles [5-9] (Multimedia Appendix 1). For both alpha and beta testing, this involved defining the objectives of testing, selecting testers and methods of evaluation, collecting and analyzing data, and refining the software.

The desired result of testing was the evaluation of different aspects of the eHealth software. Only 2 articles [5,6] clearly stated their objectives for testing. Ahonen et al [5] planned to identify content acceptability, feasibility, and technical issues during alpha testing of their eLearning intervention. For their mHealth intervention, Athilingam et al [6] aimed to evaluate design and functionality during alpha testing, followed by evaluations of helpfulness, usability, and design during beta testing. In addition to these objectives, software testing was used to evaluate safety, reliability, effectiveness, satisfaction, and accessibility, and to determine if the software fulfilled its intended purpose [14]. While the objectives of testing may overlap between alpha and beta testing, alpha testing may have a greater focus on software-specific objectives (eg, safety and bug fixes) and beta testing may focus on user experience–related objectives (eg, design and satisfaction) [4].

Selecting testers was an important testing step mentioned in all articles that described testing; however, the distinction between alpha and beta testing was often obscured at this stage. Several authors [5,6] stated that they were conducting alpha testing, yet the testing sample included members of the end-user population, which is more appropriately aligned with the definition for beta testing. For example, Athilingam et al [6] described alpha testing of their mobile app for patients with heart failure, but the testing sample consisted of the target end users (ie, people with a history of heart failure). Additionally, there was no clear justification for the size of the testing sample, which ranged from 2 to 76 testers [5-9]. In a scoping review, the sample size for software testing was found to vary according to the method of testing, with studies based on qualitative methods having fewer testers than those based on quantitative methods [10]. Most studies opted for a larger number of testers and tested their software only once. Hoffman et al [9] had only 2 alpha testers who tested their Public Open Space Tool software on 55 different green spaces. The feasibility of this strategy depended on the same tester generating new insights during each round of alpha testing.

The most common methods of testing were questionnaires, “think-aloud” techniques, interviews, and focus groups [10]. The literature suggests using qualitative methods of testing over quantitative methods because qualitative methods generally result in a deeper understanding of user experience and gaps in the software [5,10]. Mixed methods were used in several studies [5,7,8,10], where qualitative methods (eg, questionnaires) were used to gain initial feedback followed by in-depth qualitative data collection (eg, interview or focus group) to ensure that the feedback was valuable and instructive. Using mixed methods allowed development teams to discover latent information and gain a better understanding of their participants’ experiences and needs [7,10].
When selecting a method of testing, development teams should particularly consider their specific testing sample. Methods of testing that may be effective for alpha testers may be unsuccessful when used with beta testers. Factors affecting the accuracy of testing data include the length of testing, question clarity and interpretation, question sequence, and the context in which testing takes place [15]. The general approach to software testing culminated in the collection and analysis of data, which were then used to create an iteration of the eHealth intervention.

Throughout the testing process of eHealth software, it is essential to uphold ethical principles due to the sensitive nature of health data and the potential impact on patient well-being. This includes ensuring patient privacy and confidentiality in compliance with national health care regulations, obtaining informed consent prior to participation, and adopting data protection and security measures to protect sensitive information [16,17].

### An Iterative Strategy

The success of eHealth development depends on the ability to adapt to the rapidly evolving nature of the digital world and changing user needs. Development teams interested in building eHealth interventions must consider how to ensure that testing occurs quickly, while still guaranteeing rigor and protecting privacy. Wilson et al [11] proposed an “mHealth Agile and User-Centered Research and Development Lifecycle” that combines an agile approach with traditional clinical trial phases to create high-quality mHealth interventions. Using an agile approach involves continuous iterative cycles that prioritize providing feedback on the software at frequent intervals. Compared to a linear approach to development, continuous evaluation of the developing software enhanced team collaboration, improved the quality of the software, and allowed for ongoing improvement with emphasis on end-user feedback [11].

Wilson et al [11] further suggested that alpha testing can be used to gain first-impression, surface-level insights from the development team, focusing on usability, desire to use, fulfillment of intended purpose, and safety. External testers can then be involved in beta testing, where usefulness, feasibility, and acceptability are evaluated [11]. When developing software for health care settings, several iterative rounds of alpha testing before proceeding to iterative rounds of beta testing may be most effective [11]. To further expand the breadth of feedback and reduce recall bias, iterative rounds of beta testing may be conducted with different samples of the end-user population. Depending on the revisions to the eHealth software after beta testing, a development team may decide to return to alpha testing to begin the process again. This highlights a within-and-between iterative strategy when conducting alpha and beta testing, offering the development team the flexibility to make continuous improvements to their eHealth software.

### Conclusion

Currently, there is great variation in how software testing is conducted in health care settings. However, we found that an iterative approach to testing is compatible with the need for an agile development technique for eHealth software. Qualitative methods of testing tend to yield more in-depth user experience feedback during beta testing and researchers benefit when two or more methods are used throughout the beta iteration. Yet, it remains unclear what prompts the transition from alpha to beta testing and when a repeat of testing is required. In the rapidly developing field of eHealth and mHealth interventions, it would be useful to have an agreed upon definition of what constitutes alpha and beta testing. Additionally, with the various frameworks of testing available, the best approach to software testing in health care settings remains unclear. To create clarity in this process, we suggest conducting a systematic review to understand and appraise the full scope of software testing practices within health care settings.
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Abstract

Background: In nursing education, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical skills is crucial for developing competence in clinical practice. Nursing students encounter challenges in acquiring these essential skills, making self-efficacy a critical component in their professional development. Self-efficacy pertains to individual’s belief in their ability to perform tasks and overcome challenges, with significant implications for clinical skills acquisition and academic success. Previous research has underscored the strong link between nursing students’ self-efficacy and their clinical competence. Technology has emerged as a promising tool to enhance self-efficacy by enabling personalized learning experiences and in-depth discussions. However, there is a need for a comprehensive literature review to assess the existing body of knowledge and identify research gaps.

Objective: The aim of this study is to systematically map and identify gaps in published studies on the use of technology-supported guidance models to stimulate nursing students' self-efficacy in clinical practice.

Methods: This scoping review followed the framework of Arksey and O’Malley and was reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR). A systematic, comprehensive literature search was conducted in ERIC, CINAHL, MEDLINE, Embase, PsycINFO, and Web of Science for studies published between January 2011 and April 2023. The reference lists of the included papers were manually searched to identify additional studies. Pairs of authors screened the papers, assessed eligibility, and extracted the data. The data were thematically organized.

Results: A total of 8 studies were included and four thematic groups were identified: (1) technological solutions for learning support, (2) learning focus in clinical practice, (3) teaching strategies and theoretical approaches for self-efficacy, and (4) assessment of self-efficacy and complementary outcomes.

Conclusions: Various technological solutions were adopted in the guidance models to stimulate the self-efficacy of nursing students in clinical practice, leading to positive findings. A total of 7 out of 8 studies presented results that were not statistically significant, highlighting the need for further refinement of the applied interventions. Nurse educators play a pivotal role in applying learning strategies and theoretical approaches to enhance nursing students’ self-efficacy, but the contributions of nurse preceptors and peers should not be overlooked. Future studies should consider involving users in the intervention process and using validated instruments tailored to the studies’ intervention objectives, ensuring relevance and enabling comparisons across studies.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e54443) doi:10.2196/54443
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Introduction

Nursing students need to acquire both theoretical knowledge and practical skills during their education. Clinical practice is essential for their achievement of competence in communication, teaching, examinations, treatments, management, cooperation, professional approach, and the nursing process [1], yet nursing students experience several challenges in acquiring such competence and skills in clinical practice [2].

Self-efficacy theory has drawn great attention in the health care setting of nursing education and clinical practice. The concept of self-efficacy refers to people’s belief in their capability to perform a task or handle a challenging situation [3] and has been used to bridge the theory-practice gap and promote clinical skills acquisition, critical thinking, and general academic success [4-6], so it is important to find ways to foster self-efficacy among nursing students during their clinical education. Effective clinical training of nursing students can enhance self-efficacy, which is a key component of acting independently and competently in the nursing profession [7,8]. Furthermore, nursing students’ clinical performance, course completion, and motivation for achievement are closely linked to their perceived self-efficacy [8,9].

Previous research has consistently demonstrated a strong association between nursing students’ clinical competence and their overall self-efficacy levels [10,11]. Nursing students with a high degree of self-efficacy tend to exhibit advanced problem-solving skills [12] and demonstrate a strong capacity for self-regulated learning [13], which are critical attributes in their professional training and development. However, nursing students’ learning performance and self-efficacy can be significantly impacted by a lack of adequate support to master the complex knowledge and skills required in nursing [14]. Robb [4] found that nursing students with low self-efficacy required emotional and academic support and suggests that nurse educators should be attentive to the strategies millennials use to acquire information and should provide constructive feedback on student performance. This strategic approach is equally pertinent for Generation Z students, recognized as digital natives, currently undergoing higher education. As they present specific challenges for nurse educators, adapting teaching-learning design strategies and approaches also becomes imperative [15].

The advancement of technology has opened new possibilities for supporting nursing students’ knowledge, competence, and skills acquisition in clinical practice [16]. Technology has great potential to improve nursing education by enabling personalized interaction and in-depth discussions of learning topics [16] and by enhancing self-efficacy [17]. The use of customized technological tools in nursing education remains somewhat limited [18]. Earlier systematic reviews have demonstrated opposing results regarding the effectiveness of technology-supported interventions in nursing education [19,20]. The review by Lee et al [19] found that smartphone-based apps could promote nursing students’ learning motivation and satisfaction but not their clinical skills and knowledge. In contrast, the review by Kim and Park [20] demonstrated that mobile-based learning could effectively support nursing students’ acquisition of knowledge and skills both in and outside of clinical practice settings [20]. Traditionally, clinical practice has played a crucial role in nursing education, organized by guidance models. These models consist of procedures, meetings, and collaboration, aiming to facilitate the development of nursing students’ competencies in clinical practice through cooperation between health care and educational institutions [21].

The concept of a technology-supported guidance model in nursing education entails integrating tools, theories, and technological resources to improve guidance and support throughout students’ educational journey. The implementation of these technological models, including online platforms, virtual simulations, and digital resources, seeks to enhance the effectiveness and interactivity of nursing education, tailoring it to the users’ individual needs [22]. Technology-supported guidance models represent an evolution in teaching methods, incorporating technological elements to improve learning quality and meet the demands of the current educational landscape, aligned with clinical practice expectations. Such models are designed to integrate technological tools into guidance systems, thereby enhancing knowledge and improving students’ attitudes and learning outcomes [22].

Given the crucial role of self-efficacy in nursing students’ learning process in clinical practice and the potential of technology to optimize the stimulation of self-efficacy, a broad literature review is needed to provide an overview of the published studies on this phenomenon and identify possible research gaps. Our initial literature searches identified only 2 reviews: a systematic mixed studies review synthesized existing evidence on technology-supported guidance models in nursing education, focusing on the development of critical thinking in nursing students in clinical practice [23], and an integrative review evaluated studies on the collaborative use of mobile devices by nursing students and nurse educators during clinical practice but did not investigate the impact on self-efficacy [24]. We were not able to identify previous scoping reviews addressing self-efficacy in the context of technology-supported guidance models in clinical practice in nursing education. Therefore, this scoping review aimed to systematically map and identify gaps in published studies on the use of technology-supported guidance models to stimulate nursing students’ self-efficacy in clinical practice.
Methods

Overview
This scoping review used Arksey and O’Malley [25] five-stage framework: (1) identification of the research question; (2) identification of relevant studies; (3) selection of studies; (4) mapping the data; and (5) gathering, summarizing, and reporting the results. The reporting of the review was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta- Analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) [26]. The review’s protocol was not registered or published.

Research Question
What is known about the use of technology-supported guidance models to stimulate nursing students’ self-efficacy in clinical practice?

Identification of Relevant Literature
A systematic search was conducted on December 13, 2021, and was updated on April 21, 2023, in the following databases: MEDLINE All (Ovid), PsycINFO (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), ERIC (EBSCOhost), CINAHL (EBSCOhost), and Web of Science Core Collection. The search strategy consisted of three main topics: (1) self-efficacy, (2) technology, and (3) nursing students. Based on these 3 topics, we chose search terms using Medical Subject Headings terms and text words. The search strategy was built in MEDLINE by a research librarian, peer reviewed by a second research librarian, and then adapted to the other databases (Multimedia Appendix 1). Moreover, we hand-searched the reference lists of the included papers to assess whether any of the studies mentioned in those references were pertinent to our review. Furthermore, we conducted forward citation searching using the Google Scholar platform to identify relevant studies that had cited the included papers.

Selection of the Studies
The research librarian exported the identified citations to EndNote (Clarivate) to remove duplicates [27]. Subsequently, the citations were exported to Rayan (Rayyan Systems Inc) [28] for storage, organization, and blinding of the study selection process. Based on the eligibility criteria (Textbox 1) [29], PB and AAGN independently conducted a pilot test of 10% (380/3804) of the citations to screen titles and abstracts, and the eligibility criteria were not modified. Pairs of authors independently screened titles and abstracts to evaluate whether full-text studies met the eligibility criteria (PB+AAGN, Fernando Riegel+JGM, and SAS+JZ in the first search round and PB+JGM and SAS+HMB in the update search round). When doubt arose about a full-text study’s inclusion, a third author independently evaluated the full-text study. The decision was based on a negotiated consensus, and the reasons for excluding full-text studies were recorded.

Textbox 1. Eligibility criteria according to the Sample, Phenomenon of Interest, Design, Evaluation, and Research type (SPIDER) framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample (S): studies including undergraduate nursing students.</td>
<td>Sample (S): studies including health care students other than undergraduate nursing students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenomenon of interest (PI): use of technology to support guidance in clinical practice to stimulate self-efficacy or similar concepts in an educational institutional context.</td>
<td>Phenomenon of interest (PI): educational guidance supported by technology to stimulate self-efficacy unrelated to clinical practice or an educational institution context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design (D): studies with qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods published in peer-reviewed journals from January 2011 to April 21, 2023 (based on our preliminary research, we concluded that the field of Technology-Supported Guidance Models in clinical practice in nursing education is a relatively new research area, and the likelihood of finding studies published in this area before 2011 was low).</td>
<td>Design (D): studies published before January 2011 or after April 21, 2023.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation (E): undergraduate nursing students’ self-efficacy in using technology for stimulating self-efficacy or similar concepts.</td>
<td>Evaluation (E): the self-efficacy of other health care students’ or professionals or nurse educators when it comes to using technology to stimulate self-efficacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research type (R): studies of any research type published in English, Portuguese, Spanish, Norwegian, Danish, or Swedish published in peer-reviewed journals.</td>
<td>Research type (R): non–peer-reviewed studies, any type of review, case study, case report, clinical guideline, master’s or PhD thesis, conference proceedings, abstracts, letters, comments, discussion editorials, books, or book chapters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mapping the Data
The same pairs of authors that selected the studies extracted data from the included studies. One extracted the data, maintaining the wording and terminology of the studies, and the other checked data accuracy against the studies using a standardized data charting form that included the following information as recommended by the Joanna Briggs Institute [30]: authors, year, country, study objective, population and sample size, research focus or technological models, design, outcomes measures (related to self-efficacy), and findings.
Critical Appraisal

In line with the framework by Arksey and O’Malley [25], a critical appraisal of the methodological quality or risk of bias of the included studies was not performed.

Grouping, Summarizing, and Reporting the Results

PB and AAGN used an inductive approach to analyze and thematically organize the data from the included studies [25]. The data were extracted from the studies’ findings sections and were read several times to identify patterns of similarities and differences across the studies related to our research question. These patterns were organized into thematic groups using a low level of abstraction. Next, the preliminary thematic groups were discussed with the rest of the research team and a consensus was achieved [31-33].

Results

Overview

The database search identified 9408 records, of which 5604 were duplicates, so we screened the titles and abstracts of 3804 records. A total of 33 studies were evaluated for eligibility and 8 studies described in 8 publications were included. We did not find any relevant additional studies through hand searches of the reference lists or forward citations of the included studies. Figure 1 provides an overview of the study selection process and the reasons for the exclusion of full-text reports.

Study Characteristics

The included studies were conducted in Taiwan (n=2) [34,35], South Korea (n=2) [36,37], China (n=2) [36,38], Norway (n=1) [39], and Finland (n=1) [40]. In all, 5 studies used a quantitative method and had an experimental design [37-41], and 3 studies used multiple methods [34-36].

The sample size of the studies ranged from 36 to 171 participants, the majority age range (721770, 93.6%) across the studies was 20-30 years, and most of the participants were female (508/564, 90%). A total of 3 studies did not report the sex of the participants [34,35,37]. In most of the studies (7/8, 88%), the clinical practice was performed in a hospital [34,36-41], whereas 1 study’s clinical practice was performed in home care [35]. Table 1 provides a detailed overview of the studies’ characteristics and Multimedia Appendix 2 [34-41] provides a description of interventions, duration, and frequency for each study included.
Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year, and country</th>
<th>Study objectives</th>
<th>Population and sample size</th>
<th>Research focus or technological models</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Outcomes related to self-efficacy</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al, 2022 [34], Taiwan</td>
<td>To enable students to learn and think deeply by interacting with a chatbot in the context of handling obstetric vaccine cases</td>
<td>N=36</td>
<td>Nursing procedures or chatbot applying natural language processing</td>
<td>Nonrandomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Self-efficacy questionnaire based on Pintrich et al [42]. Individual interviews to investigate students’ perceived self-efficacy</td>
<td>Qualitative and quantitative data indicate that applying the mobile chatbot as a learning strategy enhanced nursing students’ self-efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egilsdottir et al, 2023 [39], Norway</td>
<td>To explore changes in nursing competence, factors associated with changes after clinical rotations, and whether an SMLT supports changes in the confident use of B-PAS</td>
<td>N=171</td>
<td>B-PAS or SMLT</td>
<td>Quantitative cohort study</td>
<td>Study-specific questionnaire to investigate students’ confidence in performing physical assessments</td>
<td>After the clinical rotation, both student groups reported changes in the confidence in performing B-PAS, with statistically significant moderate or large changes in all areas. Confidence in performing B-PAS, the usefulness of the SMLT, and a higher nursing competence at the start of clinical rotation were positively associated with overall nursing competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim and Suh, 2018 [41], South Korea</td>
<td>To evaluate the effect on nursing students of an ICNS mobile app</td>
<td>N=66</td>
<td>Simulation of nursing procedures or ICNS app</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>SECP instrument</td>
<td>The ICNS app enhanced students’ knowledge, self-efficacy, and nursing skills performance. The EG showed significantly more improved self-efficacy from before to after the intervention than the CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee and Park, 2018 [37], South Korea</td>
<td>To examine the effect of flipped learning compared to traditional learning in a surgical nursing practicum</td>
<td>N=102</td>
<td>Clinical practice in surgical nursing or flipped learning with e-learning content with smart learning tool</td>
<td>Two-arm, parallel, stratified group randomized trial</td>
<td>SECP instrument</td>
<td>Both groups showed improvement on all subscales of the SECP in the posttest, but no statistically significant differences were found between the group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year, and country</td>
<td>Study objectives</td>
<td>Population and sample size</td>
<td>Research focus or technological models</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Outcomes related to self-efficacy</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strandell-Laine et al, 2018 [40], Finland</td>
<td>To evaluate the effectiveness of a mobile cooperation intervention in improving students’ competence and self-efficacy and the quality of the CLE&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>- N=102</td>
<td>Clinical practice or mobile app</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>SECP instrument</td>
<td>The results of overall competence, self-efficacy, and overall satisfaction with the CLE showed no significant differences between the groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al, 2022 [38], China</td>
<td>To examine the effects of a mobile phone–based psychological intervention program on stress, anxiety, and self-efficacy among undergraduate nursing students during clinical practice</td>
<td>- N=114</td>
<td>Psychological intervention or mobile phone–based</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>General Self-Efficacy Scale</td>
<td>More significant improvements in stress, anxiety, and self-efficacy as well as more significant improvement in group-interaction time were observed in the EG than in the CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al, 2023 [36], China</td>
<td>To develop and evaluate the effectiveness of an online 5-week professional identity program among nursing students in clinical internship practice during COVID-19 restrictions</td>
<td>- N=111</td>
<td>Professional identity or online program</td>
<td>Two-armed randomized controlled trial with quantitative and qualitative approach</td>
<td>Professional self-efficacy questionnaire for nursing students</td>
<td>For professional self-efficacy, the group effect, time effect, and group-by-time effect were not significant except for 1 factor related to the capacity for information collection and planning. Students stated that the program enhanced their professional belief, and they felt less stressed in adapting to the stressful atmosphere. The facilitator supported the participants’ experiences of internal self-motivation that led to active participation in the program. Building mutual trust and familiarity was essential for the group dynamic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu and Sung, 2014 [35], Taiwan</td>
<td>To assess the advantages of mobile devices and cloud learning in a public health practice course using Google+ as the learning platform and integrating various application tools</td>
<td>- N=68</td>
<td>Clinical practice in public health or Google+ as a learning management system</td>
<td>Nonrandomized pilot study with quantitative and qualitative approach</td>
<td>Computer self-efficacy instrument designed by Compeau and Higgins [43]</td>
<td>Most students had past computer experience and often searched for information on the internet. They were confident in computer use and displayed high self-efficacy. The analysis of learning effectiveness showed that students using Google+ had greater learning effectiveness than did those adopting traditional learning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thematic Groups
To answer the research question, the results were organized into four thematic groups: (1) technological solutions for learning support, (2) learning focus in clinical practice, (3) teaching strategies and theoretical approaches for self-efficacy, and (4) assessment of self-efficacy and complementary outcomes. Textbox 2 provides an overview of the content covered within the thematic groups.

Textbox 2. Content covered within the thematic groups.

Technological solutions for learning support
- Chatbot with artificial intelligence [34]
- Digital platform [35,37]
- Mobile app with simulation [39,41] and without simulation [40]
- Mobile phone [38]
- Online chat [36]

Learning focus in clinical practice
- Nursing procedures: vaccine [34], vital signs, intravenous injection, gastric lavage, endotracheal suction [41], and physical assessment skills [39]
- Specialized nursing area: surgical nursing [37], home care [35], and management and communication [40]
- Students’ professional identity [36]
- Students’ mental health [38]

Teaching strategies and theoretical approaches for self-efficacy
- Attention, relevance, confidence, and satisfaction theory [41]
- Flipped classroom [37]
- Fundamentals of Care framework [39]
- Nurse educators’ feedback on nurse students’ learning activities [35,38,40]
- Tajfel’s social identity theory and career self-efficacy theory [36]

Assessment of self-efficacy and complementary outcomes
- Computer self-efficacy, experience, anxiety, and system satisfaction and interview [35]
- Confidence in performing basic physical assessment skills and nurse professional competence [39]
- General self-efficacy, learning situation, and interview [34]
- General self-efficacy, stress, and anxiety [38]
- Professional self-efficacy, professional identity, depression, anxiety, stress, and interview [36]
- Self-efficacy in clinical performance (SECP), nursing skills performance, and knowledge [41]
- SECP, quality of the clinical learning environment, and nurse competence [40]
- SECP, self-leadership, and social problems [37]

Technological Solutions for Learning Support
Various technological solutions to support the development of self-efficacy were identified across the included studies, such as a chatbot with artificial intelligence [34], online chat [36], a mobile app with simulation [39,41] and without it [40], a mobile phone [38], and a digital platform [35,37]. The technological tools required internet access to function for the intended purpose. In 7 studies [34-36,38-41], the participants had access to the technological solution on their smartphones anywhere.
and at any time. The participants used the technical solutions to perform learning activities [34,35,37-39,41] to interact with colleagues [34-36] and to communicate with nurse educators [35,38,40]. In 1 study, the technological solution (with e-learning content) was used as a preparatory learning activity before clinical practice [37].

**Learning Focus in Clinical Practice**

The included studies focused on specific learning situations in clinical practice, such as nursing procedures [34,39,41] and nursing areas [35,37,40]. Regarding nursing procedures, 1 study provided educational knowledge about infectious diseases and vaccine administration [34], while the other studies included learning situations about vital signs, intravenous injection, gastric lavage, endotracheal suction [41], and physical assessment skills [39]. Regarding nursing areas, the research focus was surgical nursing [37], management and communication [40], and home care [35]. One study investigated students’ mental health [38] and another investigated students’ professional identity [36].

**Teaching Strategies and Theoretical Approaches for Self-Efficacy**

Nurse educators were the main facilitators of the technology-supported guidance models. A total of 4 studies applied various teaching strategies, such as educators’ feedback on nursing students’ learning activities [35,36,38,40] and a flipped classroom [37]. Three of the studies used different theoretical approaches, such as attention, relevance, confidence, satisfaction (ARCS) theory [41], Tajfel’s social identity theory (SIT) and career self-efficacy theory (CSET) [36], and the Fundamentals of Care (FoC) framework [39]. One study did not apply teaching strategies or theoretical approaches [34].

Strandell-Laine et al [40] and Wu and Sung [35] designed an app to support clinical learning by stimulating communication between nursing students and nurse educators. In the intervention by Strandell-Laine et al [40], the learning content included the schedule of clinical practice, learning objectives, a learning diary, and midterm and final evaluations. In the study by Wu and Sung [35], students accessed information, uploaded data, posed questions, and discussed the learning situations with nurse educators. In both studies, the intervention content and nursing students’ clinical practice experience were the basis of feedback elaboration delivered by the educators. Nursing students also received feedback from nurse educators in Wang et al [38] study, but the focus was on psychological support. The intervention was delivered in three modules: (1) support (the participants were asked to write a paragraph describing their “happy experiences” during their clinical practice sessions); (2) education (2 clinical educators provided weekly lectures on topics to improve clinical and communication skills, find happiness in daily life, build confidence when caring for patients, manage stress and pressure, perform self-care while caring for others, and build social support); and (3) reflection (participants were encouraged to describe stressful situations during their clinical practice to their clinical educators, and the educators helped the participants analyze the situations and provided tailored advice for handling similar situations in the future). In the study by Lee and Park [37], the flipped classroom was used as a teaching strategy, including instructor guidance before clinical training, on-site instruction during clinical practice, and a case conference after the end of clinical practice.

The study by Chang et al [34] used an artificial intelligence-based app developed with natural language processing to encourage nursing students to ask questions or use a pop-up menu to search for needed information in addition to discussing medical issues with their peers and with the chatbot. Kim and Suh [41] used an app flowchart based on the ARCS theory with four phases: (1) the attention phase stimulated the participants’ motivation to learn; (2) the relevance phase helped the participants to think about which items they should prepare for each nursing skill and devise a care plan for the patients and themselves; (3) confidence phase; and (4) satisfaction phase, the participants learned interactively by answering messages and quizzes that popped up on their mobile screen. Egioldottir et al [39] used the FoC framework divided into three main areas: (1) the nurse-patient relationship, (2) integration of care, and (3) contextual factors The study used the basic physical assessment skills (B-PAS) to measure students’ performance and used the FoC framework to assess the student (nurse)-patient relationship. Wang et al [36] based their training program on the combined SIT and CSET. The program was designed on the basis of SIT’s 3 phases of how social identity is built and modified, including social categorization, social comparison, and positive distinctiveness; the intervention elements embedded in the 3 phases were derived from the CSET, comprising direct experience, substituted experience, physio-psychological condition, and social persuasion.

**Assessment of Self-Efficacy and Complementary Outcomes**

Three studies [37,40,41] measured self-efficacy using the self-efficacy in clinical performance (SECP) instrument [44], which comprises 37 self-assessed items on an 11-point Likert scale in five domains: (1) assessment, (2) diagnosis, (3) planning, (4) implementation, and (5) evaluation.

Two studies [34,38] measured general self-efficacy with 2 different instruments. Chang et al [34] used a self-efficacy instrument constructed by Pintrich et al [42] that includes 8 self-assessed items with 5-point Likert scales. Wang et al [38] used a self-efficacy scale developed by Jerusalem and Schwarzer [45] with 10 items on a 4-point Likert scale in four domains: (1) strategic, (2) contingency, (3) motivational, and (4) executive effectiveness.

Wang et al [36] measured nursing students’ professional self-efficacy with a 27-item questionnaire on a 5-point Likert scale including six factors: (1) professional attitude and belief, (2) problem-solving ability, (3) professional information collection and professional planning capacity, (4) professional cognition, (5) professional value, and (6) professional choice. Egioldottir et al [39] created a questionnaire with 13 items using a 7-point Likert scale to map nursing students’ perceived confidence related to the examination techniques in B-PAS, which are inspection, palpation, percussion, and auscultation.
The questionnaire items were formulated in line with Bandura’s [46] description of self-efficacy.

Wu and Sung [35] revised and applied a computer self-efficacy questionnaire designed by Compeau and Higgins [43] with 24 items on a 5-point Likert scale in three dimensions: (1) computer use experience, (2) computer self-efficacy, and (3) computer anxiety.

In all these self-efficacy instruments, higher scores indicate greater self-efficacy. All the studies measured self-efficacy along with other outcomes, such as basic knowledge [34,41], nursing skills performance [39,41], self-leadership and social problems [37], and professional competence [39,40]. Three studies measured anxiety [35,36,38], 2 measured stress [36,38], 1 measured professional identity [36], and another measured system satisfaction [35]. Furthermore, 3 studies [34-36] conducted interviews to gather qualitative data, exploring participants’ perceptions of their feelings, experiences, influencing factors, their understanding of technology’s impact on learning experiences in nursing education, and suggestions for improving the intervention.

In all the studies, the self-efficacy scales and other instruments showed improvement in the posttest within the groups. However, 1 showed statistically significant differences between the experimental groups and the control groups [34].

Discussion

Principal Findings

This scoping review aimed to systematically map and identify gaps in published studies on the use of technology-supported guidance models to stimulate nursing students’ self-efficacy in clinical practice. The database search identified 3804 citations, but only 33 studies were assessed for eligibility, of which 8 were eligible for inclusion, which may indicate a research gap on the phenomenon of interest. Although the number of studies was small, the technological solutions were diverse, which was not surprising, as it aligns with the findings of a systematic mixed studies review that investigated technology-supported guidance models to stimulate critical thinking [23]. The use of technology to support clinical practice appears to be a relatively new research field, characterized by frequent experimentation. Technological advancement in nursing education has greatly increased, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic [47].

Our findings suggest that technological solutions were organized as technology-supported guidance models with a predefined set of nursing procedures or nursing areas. Nurse educators provided guidance with the support of technological solutions, stimulating nursing students’ active learning. This pedagogical approach diverges from traditional education and seeks to transcend teaching based on the unilateral transfer of content so as to stimulate creative, critical, and transformative practices [48]. Active learning is student centered and interactive and offers feedback that meets the student’s learning needs [49]. Although only 1 of the included studies [39] provided information on the development of the technological solutions, they seemed to be tailored to meet the individual nursing students’ needs for specific knowledge and competence. It would be valuable to ascertain whether the users of the other 7 included studies were actively engaged in the development process due to the potential positive impact of such involvement. Nes et al [50] underscore the significance of incorporating all stakeholders (ie, nursing students, nurse preceptors, and nurse educators) as users during the creation of a technology-supported guidance model. This approach is essential to guarantee that the technological solution aligns with the expected quality standards to meet users’ needs and achieve the anticipated educational outcomes in clinical practice.

Half (4/8, 50%) of the included studies applied teaching strategies without a theoretical approach in their technology-supported guidance models, which is in line with the findings of a previous review [23]. The lack of a theoretical approach may make it challenging to explain study findings [51]. Despite positive findings, only 1 of the included studies showed statistically significant effects regarding self-efficacy. Applying a theoretical approach in intervention studies seems to be associated with positive findings and large effect sizes [52]. Therefore, technology-supported guidance models that apply pedagogical theory intended to stimulate self-efficacy may have a better chance of success [53]. A nonsignificant effect in the included studies that used a theoretical approach may result from an insufficient sample size or insufficient duration of intervention. Despite the lack of statistical significance in most studies, the observed effects were consistently positive, aligning with findings in other studies using technological solutions [54-56]. Consequently, interventions integrating technology have the potential to contribute positively to student learning outcomes.

Furthermore, Linnenbrink and Pintrich [57] found evidence for a conceptual framework that demonstrated the connection between motivation, self-regulation, and academic learning and that these connections were not confined solely to the theoretical classroom context but also extended to clinical practice. Motivational factors and cognitive processes may interact in intricate ways to facilitate student learning [57,58].

Aligning with previous research [59,60], our findings underscore the significant role of nurse educators as the primary facilitators of technology-supported guidance models. Nurse educators share responsibility for fostering nursing students’ self-beliefs, as these self-beliefs can have positive or negative influences on their performance [61]. Educators and institutions are responsible for helping students develop their competence and confidence as they progress in their studies [62]. However, technological tools should not be incorporated into guidance models in isolation, as such incorporation also requires oversight, support, and mentorship from not only nurse educators but also nurse preceptors and peers optimizing the impact of technology on the educational experience [63].

Only 3 of the included studies used the SECP instrument to assess the self-efficacy of nursing students. Using the same instrument, such as the SECP, facilitates replication and enables comparisons across studies investigating similar outcomes [44,64,65]. By contrast, the use of different instruments to measure the same outcome makes it challenging to compare findings across studies, conduct meta-analyses, and establish
standardized thresholds or reference points for specific outcomes [66].

Our findings show that the studies also incorporated other assessment tools. This could be because of the strong correlation between self-efficacy and factors such as motivation [67], satisfaction [63,68], academic achievement [58,69], and student persistence [70]. Furthermore, it is important to acknowledge that other outcomes could be essential in addressing the research questions posed by these studies.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The strengths of our review are the acknowledged methodological framework for conducting a scoping review, the comprehensive database search, and the systematic process by which pairs of authors independently assessed eligibility and extracted data. Furthermore, the data were analyzed by 2 authors and discussed with the rest of the research team, facilitating credibility, dependability, and intersubjectivity.

We tried to include all possible synonyms of the concept of self-efficacy and similar concepts in our search strategy, but due to the multidimensional nature of self-efficacy, we may have overlooked some synonyms. Our review also had some language restrictions. Consequently, we may have been unable to identify some relevant studies. Another limitation may be that the review protocol was not published. However, the eligibility criteria and search strategy were determined before the study selection process was carried out.

**Conclusions**

Diverse technological solutions were used in guidance models to stimulate nursing students’ self-efficacy in clinical practice. Even though these interventions showed positive outcomes, they were not statistically significant. This underscores the need for further refinement by tailoring technological tools to meet user needs, making stakeholder involvement essential, and implementing interventions that are developed on the basis of a theoretical approach, as well as applying teaching strategies with a theoretical approach. Although nurse educators are vital for students’ development, the contributions of nurse preceptors and peers should not be underestimated.

Our findings show that a variety of instruments are used to assess self-efficacy and that not all such instruments have been validated. Consequently, future studies should use validated instruments to ensure relevance and enable meaningful comparisons of self-efficacy across studies.
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Background: Health monitoring technologies help patients and older adults live better and stay longer in their own homes. However, there are many factors influencing their adoption of these technologies. Privacy is one of them.

Objective: The aim of this study was to provide an overview of the privacy barriers in health monitoring from current research, analyze the factors that influence patients to adopt assisted living technologies, provide a social psychological explanation, and propose suggestions for mitigating these barriers in future research.

Methods: A scoping review was conducted, and web-based literature databases were searched for published studies to explore the available research on privacy barriers in a health monitoring environment.

Results: In total, 65 articles met the inclusion criteria and were selected and analyzed. Contradictory findings and results were found in some of the included articles. We analyzed the contradictory findings and provided possible explanations for current barriers, such as demographic differences, information asymmetry, researchers' conceptual confusion, inducible experiment design and its psychological impacts on participants, researchers' confirmation bias, and a lack of distinction among different user roles. We found that few exploratory studies have been conducted so far to collect privacy-related legal norms in a health monitoring environment. Four research questions related to privacy barriers were raised, and an attempt was made to provide answers.

Conclusions: This review highlights the problems of some research, summarizes patients’ privacy concerns and legal concerns from the studies conducted, and lists the factors that should be considered when gathering and analyzing people’s privacy attitudes.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e53592) doi:10.2196/53592

KEYWORDS
privacy attitudes; health monitoring technologies; privacy concerns; privacy barriers; legal concerns; social psychology
Programme, financed by the European Commission [3]; and the Active and Assisted Living Programme, also financed by the European Commission [4].

In the meantime, patients are also in need of health monitoring systems. The aging population and patients’ growing needs for health care support have facilitated the development of different types of health monitoring and assisted living technologies, such as socially assistive robots, wearable trackers, telemedicine, image sensors, and so on. According to the study by Rostad and Stokke [5], these technologies can be categorized into localization technologies (eg, GPS), compensation technologies (eg, remote control of light and heating, robot vacuums, and cognitive or physical aids), safety technologies (eg, social alarm systems and fall detection sensors), technologies for social contact (eg, tablet computers, smartphones, and gaming devices), therapeutic robots, and treatment technologies (eg, medical remote monitoring and automated pill dispensers), all used for different purposes in health monitoring.

The application of the aforementioned intelligent devices is supposed to enhance the quality of patients’ lives. Nevertheless, various factors impact patients’ acceptance of health monitoring devices [6], including intervention factors (eg, privacy concerns, security concerns, frequency, a lack of awareness, and the observability of outcomes), environmental factors (eg, social influence, social implication, change in technology use by society over time, and group participation), technology factors (eg, complexity, reliability, interface design, compatibility, functionalities, features, aesthetics, and cost), individual factors (eg, level of innovativeness, level of technology learnability, and living alone), psychological factors (eg, attitudinal factors and perception factors), support and training factors (eg, training, quality of training, and facilitating support) in general. Among all these factors, our attention was seized by privacy concerns.

There are different types of privacy; therefore, privacy concerns can be analyzed from different perspectives. The way that researchers distinguish privacy has reflected their different concerns arising from their professional backgrounds; for example, Rosenberg [7] distinguished 3 kinds of privacy: territorial privacy, individual privacy, and informational privacy. Clarke [8] outlined 4 types of privacy: privacy of a person, privacy of personal data, privacy of personal behavior, and privacy of personal communication. However, with the development of emerging technologies, different categories arose. Some researchers extended the categories formulated by Rosenberg [7] and added 3 more categories: privacy of thoughts and feelings, privacy of location and space, privacy of association (including group privacy) [9]. More specifically, in different scenarios, users have different privacy concerns, and these concerns can be categorized case by case; for instance, web-based social network users can have 4 dimensions of privacy concerns: virtual territorial privacy, factual privacy, interactional privacy, and psychological privacy [10]. Furthermore, Serenko [11] narrowed the scope in health care and put forward 3 privacy dimensions: informational privacy, physical privacy, and psychological privacy. These 3 privacy dimensions were regarded as determinants of patient behavior in health care.

Although privacy concerns are known to be barriers for patients with regard to adopting health monitoring technologies according to some studies [12], it is not sufficient to analyze factors impacting the adoption of health monitoring technologies individually because these influential factors may impact each other; for example, despite the fact that privacy concerns are included in intervention factors [6], psychological factors, as well as support and training factors with regard to privacy awareness, can also impact patients’ privacy concerns. Patients’ awareness of privacy-related laws in the health care environment will also influence their privacy concerns and decision-making out of respect for authority [13]. Considering privacy issues in society, privacy attitudes and concerns are always analyzed from the legal perspective. For patients, their privacy attitudes may have a straight impact on the informed consent process, and they are expected to know how to obtain legal aid in case they encounter technology abuse, or their privacy is intruded upon. For technology vendors, industry standards or privacy policies need to be carefully checked and complied with before their product is released. They need to carry out data protection impact assessments to minimize privacy risks [14]. Apart from older patients who are most in need of health monitoring and assisted living technologies, members of the general public are also potential users of these technologies as they age or develop health conditions. Furthermore, with regard to members of the general public, their prevalent uncertainty regarding, and trust issues with, technologies may prompt legislators to adopt a more cautious and conservative approach with regard to such technologies. However, privacy-friendly approaches can be seen as a way to motivate technology vendors to be more transparent and, on the one hand, foster privacy by design, while, on the other hand, promote social awareness and trust by bridging the information gap. In all, various factors and their relationships need to be always taken into consideration at the same time. Meanwhile, the question persists as to whether privacy concerns are truly barriers because of the rapid changes in society, such as the development of privacy-enhancing technologies. Thus, the rationality of privacy concerns should also be discussed.

In the past few years, researchers have conducted a series of studies to gather different privacy attitudes or privacy concerns regarding health monitoring and assisted living technologies from people with different demographic characteristics. However, the results vary from study to study not only because of the different user groups to which the participants belong (eg, older adults’ attitudes compared to those of younger adults and female participants’ attitudes compared to those of male participants) but also because of the different experimental approaches used and the different scenarios provided in these studies.

Research Questions

In summary, the implementation of assisted living technologies in the aging population era faces several challenges. First, multiple factors impede patients’ adoption of these technologies, and the interrelations among these factors have not been thoroughly explored. Second, the extent to which privacy concerns affect technology adoption needs further investigation. Third, the study design and current results require consolidation for researchers to make meaningful improvements. Addressing
these challenges, we pose 4 research questions and conduct a scoping review with the aim of providing an overview of the privacy barriers in health monitoring from current research and elucidating answers to these research questions. The four research questions are listed herein, and the answers to these questions are provided in the Results section.

1. What are the influential factors that lead to different privacy attitudes and concerns?
2. How will the methodologies used in different studies influence participants’ privacy awareness with regard to health monitoring technologies from the perspective of social psychology?
3. What are the legal challenges regarding people’s privacy attitudes and concerns today?
4. What should be taken into consideration in subsequent studies related to privacy attitudes and concerns in the context of social psychology?

On the basis of the selected articles, we have summarized 5 hypotheses particularly related to the second challenge, which are clarified in the Results section. The contribution of this paper includes observing the inconsistency of these hypotheses, looking into experimental approaches in each article, and seeking answers to the 4 research questions. We have tried to come up with suggestions that should be taken into consideration comprehensively before implementing health monitoring technologies.

**Methods**

**Overview**

A scoping review was conducted to explore the privacy attitudes of different groups of participants in the context of legal norms and social psychology in health monitoring technologies by adopting the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement (Multimedia Appendix 1) [15]. Scoping reviews include all quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods studies that are identified as literature on a particular topic or research area [16,17]. They differ from systematic reviews but can be used to inform systematic reviews because more specific questions are usually addressed in a more precise systematic review [18]. Of note, there are other approaches to evidence synthesis for systematic reviews, such as realist reviews [19], mixed methods reviews [19], concept analyses [20], and so on. In this study, with the aim of identifying and mapping the available studies, examining how research is conducted in a certain field, summarizing findings, and analyzing results, a scoping review is the best choice compared to other approaches.

**Eligibility Criteria**

We conducted a review for articles published between January 1, 2016, and March 31, 2022. Search parameters were established to identify articles published during this period regarding different participants’ privacy attitudes with regard to health monitoring technologies as well as legal norms regarding privacy in health monitoring in Norway, the EU, and the United States. For an in-depth investigation into the research questions, we acknowledge the regional characteristics evident in previous studies, often shaped by factors such as cultural backgrounds and legal norms. To address this, we have selected these regions. Specifically, this decision is motivated by 2 key considerations. First, EU policies extend to Norway, the authors’ country of residence, thereby potentially impacting health service delivery and the deployment of assisted living technologies. Second, certain EU countries share a common cultural background, suggesting that individuals in these regions may harbor more similar privacy perspectives than individuals in other locations. Furthermore, studies published in the United States were included because it is one of the most developed countries owning quantities of health monitoring technologies. It is worth mentioning that for the articles we identified, even if the authors did not specify the review region or if the authors’ countries of residence were outside the region, we still included these articles because they provided comprehensive views. Other than region specification, studies were included if they (1) reflected the privacy attitudes or privacy concerns of any group of people, (2) reflected any legal concerns or legal frameworks that should be taken into account, (3) were peer-reviewed publications, and (4) were written in English. All study methods (quantitative, qualitative, and multimethod) were eligible for the review.

**Search Terms, Strategy, and Sources**

Instead of searching for privacy barriers directly, we sought studies relevant to people’s privacy attitudes or legal norms regarding privacy in the health monitoring environment and tried to summarize the barriers described in these studies. The literature search was conducted by listing the following search terms in the search string: (“privacy attitudes” OR “legal norms”) AND (“healthcare monitoring” AND “nursing homes”) OR “homecare monitoring”) AND (“Norway” OR “EU” OR “the U.S.”). The sources of the articles on privacy attitudes and legal norms were mainly 5 databases: Semantic Scholar, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and Scopus. As no relevant articles met the eligibility criteria in IEEE Xplore and ScienceDirect, only articles in the rest of the 3 databases were included. Additional works identified in other databases, such as ACM Digital Library, were categorized into other sources because we sorted the articles by relevance and scanned the results directly based on the title and abstract provided at the first attempt instead of following the PRISMA steps strictly, which was the approach we followed for the 5 main databases. Therefore, instead of making an exhaustive selection, we merely added the most relevant and important works.

**Study Selection**

The PRISMA flow diagram is presented in Figure 1. The search process resulted in the identification of 953 studies. Before the screening, 122 (12.9%) duplicate records were removed from these 953 studies. The first screening was performed on the title, abstract, and language, and 341 (41%) of the 830 articles were identified as not meeting the eligibility criteria. Of the remaining 489 articles, 226 (46.3%) could not be retrieved, leaving 263 (53.7%) reports for assessment. After the second screening, of the 263 articles, we excluded 198 (75.2%) because they (1) were not conducted in the regions specified, (2) were not relevant to privacy attitudes or privacy concerns, and (3)
were not relevant to health monitoring or assisted living technologies, leaving 66 (24.8%) articles for the final review.

Figure 1. The review steps.

**Data Extraction and Categorization**

The methods for data extraction and categorization were established through the literature review process. Useful information was extracted and input into a form, including title; authors; year of publication; region; topic; technology; participant inclusion criteria (if any); study design; location of the study; key findings; and laws, rules, regulations, directives, and policies mentioned.

**Categories**

The categories we formulated are presented in Textbox 1.

Textbox 1. Categorization of the articles included for review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Article information:</strong> title, authors, year of publication, and region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topic:</strong> identified and categorized based on the field covered by the articles; instead of setting the topic as “privacy attitudes” or “legal norms” in general, 5 topics were defined (privacy attitudes, privacy concerns, legal concerns, legal frameworks, and privacy barriers); some of the articles have covered several topics at the same time; reasons for classification are clarified in the Results section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology:</strong> includes health monitoring technologies mentioned in the article; some of the articles have covered a specific device (eg, human behavior modeling [21]), while some provide privacy attitudes or legal norms of a general designation, such as smart home technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participant inclusion criteria:</strong> specifically created for studies with participants invited to take part; inclusion criteria include the number of participants, age, nationality, whether they have any diseases, and place of residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key findings:</strong> any information related to the 5 topics listed in the Topic category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laws, rules, regulations, directives, and policies mentioned:</strong> specifically created for studies covering legal frameworks or legal barriers; all legal documents mentioned in the articles were extracted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Results**

**Overview**

In this section, we will provide the results in the form of categories. As mentioned in the Eligibility Criteria subsection, the review was focused on Norway, the EU, and the United States, or reviews worldwide. When it comes to review articles, they are included regardless of region. Among the 65 articles, there were 4 (6%) from France, 2 (3%) from Finland, 1 (2%) from Sweden, 3 (5%) from Germany, 1 (2%) from Ireland, 2 (3%) from Italy, 3 (5%) from the Netherlands, 5 (8%) from Norway, 2 (3%) from Poland, 1 (2%) from Portugal, 1 (2%) from Spain, 1 (2%) conducted jointly in Germany and Denmark, and 30 (46%) from the United States. Of the remaining 9 articles, 1 (11%) discussed telemonitoring at the EU level; 1 (11%) reviewed laws, standards, and recommendations applicable at the EU level; and 7 (78%) were literature reviews whose authors’ countries of residence were not part of the specified regions. As the results of the reviews were general in nature, they were not categorized into specific areas.

**Privacy Attitudes, Privacy Concerns, and Privacy Barriers**

Articles reflecting privacy concerns were classified into 3 subcategories: privacy attitudes, privacy concerns, and privacy barriers. According to Kokolakis [22], although privacy attitudes and privacy concerns have a close relationship, they differ from each other because privacy attitudes are bound to specific contexts such as the appraisal of specific privacy behaviors, while privacy concerns are not, and they can be generic. It is worth mentioning that the articles that either gathered participants’ general privacy concerns or participants’ privacy attitudes were included in the review. The key findings extracted from the results should be categorized as privacy concerns according to the definition. However, we set the topic as privacy attitudes if any privacy attitudes were gathered in these studies. Thus, articles covering either privacy concerns or privacy attitudes were included when we compared the findings.

In contrast to the studies that gathered and analyzed participants’ privacy attitudes or concerns, 11 (17%) of the 66 studies [23-33] discussed people’s adoption of health monitoring technologies. Although some of these studies, such as the study by Charness et al [26], also recruited participants, gathered their privacy attitudes, and analyzed results from the attitudes (similar to the methods used by Sánchez et al [21] and Caldeira et al [34]), these studies provide a broad view from the perspective of technology adoption and acceptance; for instance, in the study by Biermann et al [25], researchers came up with several barriers to technology adoption, such as financial reasons, restriction of privacy, and a feeling of surveillance. Among all barriers, concern regarding privacy is merely one of the factors that may influence participants’ technology adoption. Therefore, even if the findings reflecting privacy concerns are similar to those reflecting privacy attitudes and privacy barriers, articles stating that concern regarding privacy is one of the barriers to the adoption and acceptance of assisted living technologies were classified into a different category: privacy barriers.

**Contradictions Among Perceptions of Privacy in Key Findings**

All included studies reflect privacy attitudes, privacy concerns, and privacy barriers, which show many contradictions. In general, the results can be classified into five hypotheses according to the extent of participants’ privacy concerns: (1) participants do not have privacy concerns (Table 1); (2) participants have privacy concerns, and they are a major barrier (Table 2); (3) participants have privacy concerns, but they do not seem to be a significant barrier (Table 3); (4) participants’ privacy concerns vary from person to person, and there is insufficient statistical evidence across a large population to validate whether the concerns will have a significant influence (Textbox 2); and (5) participants have privacy concerns, and their perceptions of privacy are influenced by their background (Table 4). It is worth mentioning that some of the studies satisfied 2 hypotheses at the same time because the fifth hypothesis does not violate the second, third, or fourth hypothesis.

**Table 1.** Studies that provide evidence for the first hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sánchez et al [21]</td>
<td>Interview (exploratory qualitative approach)</td>
<td>“It was noteworthy that the majority of participants had no privacy concerns.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldeira et al [34]</td>
<td>Interview (qualitative approach)</td>
<td>“Privacy did not seem to be a significant issue for our informants.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Studies that provide evidence for the second hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schomakers et al [35]</td>
<td>Qualitative prestudy+quantitative main study (multimethod approach)</td>
<td>“As an important barrier, privacy requirements should thus be considered for mHealth [mobile health] apps for aftercare.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassli and Farshchian [23]</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>“Many studies found that some or all participants have concerns about privacy. Privacy is regarded as a ‘bigger barrier to adoption, more so than usability.’”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrington et al [36]</td>
<td>Questionnaire (quantitative study)</td>
<td>“Privacy was among the leading concerns regarding SARs [socially assistive robots] among the current sample of older Americans.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al [37]</td>
<td>Questionnaire+semistructured interview (multimethod approach)</td>
<td>“The participant feedback suggests that perceived privacy concerns, perceived usefulness, and curiosity to technology were strong factors when considering which device to have installed in their home.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tural et al [38]</td>
<td>Web-based and in-person surveys+focus group (multimethod approach)</td>
<td>“Privacy and security of personal information seem to be a core issue for willingness to use smart home products as also highlighted by others.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attié et al [39]</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>“Privacy concerns are the main obstacles to the adoption of SCOs [smart connected objects].”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lederman et al [31]</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>“Other researchers suggested that risk perception that is influenced by concern over privacy, security and the learning-curve can have a negative impact on the adoption of IoT [Internet of Things] solutions by medical staff...These risks to privacy and security are a major challenge for IoT in healthcare.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlsen et al [40]</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>“The lack of security and privacy was a prominent concern due to the constant recording of data and location tracking that comes with the use of a smartwatch.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimpel et al [41]</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>“In healthcare digitalization, privacy concerns are one of the major barriers for individuals to accept and use healthcare technologies.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mujirishvili et al [42]</td>
<td>Scoping review</td>
<td>“With privacy being a major barrier to video-based AAL [active and assisted living] technologies, security and medical safety were identified as the major benefits across the studies.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilczewski et al [43]</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>“Participants commented on privacy concerns with providing personal information to the chatbot. This category had the majority of negative comments (n=10/15 codes; 66.7%) with some participants finding the chatbot ‘a bit intrusive.’”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Studies that provide evidence for the third hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaschinski et al [44]</td>
<td>Web-based survey (qualitative approach)</td>
<td>“Older adults’ privacy concerns were secondary to the perceived benefits of AAL [Ambient Assisted Living] in terms of health, safety and independence.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gettel et al [28]</td>
<td>Scoping review</td>
<td>“One study highlighted that older adults were concerned about privacy, but other studies found that privacy was not a barrier to AAL [Ambient Assisted Living] technology adoption.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al [45]</td>
<td>Survey (qualitative approach)</td>
<td>“The proportion for the privacy concern increased slightly, though not statistically significant, indicating that participants were not bothered by the existence of the device at home.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruchter and Liccardi</td>
<td>Web-based review</td>
<td>“While we found that privacy and security related issues are present within our corpus, our results suggest these topics related to home assistants are rarely voiced, or openly reported by consumers in their online reviews. We can conclude that, for the most part, consumers who review home assistants tend to not discuss privacy or security concerns.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piau et al [47]</td>
<td>Web-based survey (qualitative approach)</td>
<td>“Less than a third were concerned about privacy breaches when using these technologies.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan et al [48]</td>
<td>Semistructured interviews (qualitative approach)</td>
<td>“When asked about potential privacy or security concerns, our analysis of participant responses surfaced 6 types of reactions that may explain why they expressed little concern with privacy and security.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schomakers and Ziefle</td>
<td>Questionnaire (quantitative approach)</td>
<td>“Our data suggests that privacy concerns are outweighed by security-related benefits in the acceptance decisions, as long as certain lines are not crossed—the unacceptable and must-have characteristics.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Textbox 2. Studies that provide evidence for the fourth hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randall et al [50]: focus group or qualitative approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerłowska et al [51]: literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soro et al [52]: review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al [53]: focus group+survey (multimethod approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilozzi and Huang [54]: no methods directly related to the results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pekmezaris et al [55]: focus group+interview (multimethod approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biermann et al [25]: web-based questionnaire (empirical quantitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodate et al [56]: questionnaire (quantitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berridge et al [57]: survey (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mittelstadt [58]: systematic survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koo and Fallon [59]: interview (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe et al [60]: focus group+questionnaire (multimethod approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al [27]: literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al [29]: literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sánchez et al [61]: literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hjelm et al [62]: semistructured interview (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristiano et al [63]: interview+focus group (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al [64]: questionnaire (quantitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallinson and Shafi [32]: review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guazzini et al [65]: questionnaire+focus group (multimethod approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wan et al [66]: literature review+semistructured interviews (multimethod approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al [67]: semistructured interviews (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yao et al [68]: focus group+co-design activities (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad et al [69]: semistructured interviews (qualitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kheirinejad et al [70]: questionnaire (quantitative approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schomakers et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassili and Farshchian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin et al [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soro et al [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reeder et al [71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilozzi and Huang [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halvorsrud et al [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langer et al [73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasicinski and Ben Allouch [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charness et al [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sánchez et al [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Łukasik et al [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanne and Leikas [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson et al [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al [64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seberger and Patil [77]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Influential factors

Evidence

Methodology

Study Evidence

Kolakowsk et al [33] “Cultural barriers will likely result in unequal diffusion of robot use in elderly assistance over time.” Social context

Chaparro et al [78] “There is a list of factors that affect the attitude and intention to use technologies supporting independent living. These personal and device-related factors comprise user expectancy, biophysical ageing restrictions, anxiety, the previous required knowledge, intrinsic motivation, personality and privacy concerns.” Emotion, knowledge, and personality

Gimpel et al [41] “Several studies have shown that Germans have higher privacy concerns than citizens in most other countries. Most authors attribute this to German’s historical legacy.” Region and sociocultural context

Zheng et al [67] “IoT [Internet of Things] device users in different regions may have differing privacy concerns. For example, American users may be generally more accepting of data collection by industry versus the state, in contrast to consumers in Europe...Since interview participants expressed greater privacy concern about devices that record voice and video, we recommend that such visual indicators be used extensively to indicate these activities, especially in devices traditionally without recording capabilities (e.g. doorbells, lightbulbs, etc.).” Region and data type collected by devices

Yao et al [68] “In general, bystanders had more privacy concerns in the temporary residence scenario and the playdate scenario than the cohabitant scenario. Bystanders also expressed more concerns regarding the video and audio data collected by devices with microphones and cameras (e.g., voice assistants, security cameras) but barely any concern with other devices (e.g., smart coffee makers).” User role and residence scenario

Ahmad et al [79] “Older participants may have different privacy concerns as well as different interpretations of IoT [Internet of Things] designs and indicators. Although one worry may be that younger populations are less concerned about their privacy, we note that Singh et al. [reference citation] found that when it comes to sharing information with smart devices, younger adults are more reluctant than older adults.” Age

Discussion

The aforementioned contradictory hypotheses have led to the formulation of our research questions, which we attempt to answer in this section.

Research Question 1: What Are the Influential Factors That Lead to Different Hypotheses?

To identify the influential factors, we looked into the methodologies used in these studies in detail. To sum up, qualitative, quantitative, and multimethod studies as well as reviews were included in these studies. Except for reviews, the other 3 approaches recruited participants during the study. On the basis of the participant inclusion criteria, we found that the number of participants would influence the results. For those studies that concluded that the majority of participants do not have privacy concerns, the number of participants recruited was small [21,34]. Hence, one could argue that there might have been sampling bias in the qualitative approach applied by the studies conducted. As the number of participants increased, the fact that people had privacy concerns seemed to become a common conclusion.

Nevertheless, it still seemed hard for researchers to come to an agreement on the importance of privacy issues. Some stated that privacy is an important barrier without verification and regarded it as a consensus [35]. However, according to a scoping review of ambient assisted living technology adoption, most studies found that privacy was not a barrier [28]. Because of the uncertainty mentioned above [22], we agree with the fourth and fifth hypotheses. Although some of the studies presented in Textbox 2 have not provided sufficient evidence in support of any conclusions, we regard this fact as indirect evidence for the fourth hypothesis as well. Furthermore, people’s privacy concerns, as presented in Table 4, may be influenced by the following factors: (1) context and type of technology; (2) age; (3) health needs; (4) personal trust and the device’s usability; (5) trade-off among privacy, autonomy, assistance, safety, or independence; (6) health status; (7) region; (8) gender; (9) user roles; (10) sociocultural context; (11) emotion; (12) previous knowledge; (13) personality; and (14) potential use of personal data.

Research Question 2: How Will the Methodologies Influence Participants’ Privacy Awareness With
Regard to Health Monitoring Technologies From the Perspective of Social Psychology?

Even if most of the studies satisfied the fourth and fifth hypotheses, the researchers’ confirmation bias could have influenced the results and participants’ answers. More specifically, in reviews, such bias exists when researchers search for evidence that can support their own beliefs [80]. For the other 3 approaches (qualitative, quantitative, and multimethod), researchers’ confirmation bias could also impact their interaction with participants, such as raising inducible questions or providing insufficient information [81]. It has already been pointed out that most people lack the cognitive ability to calculate privacy risks and to make rational privacy decisions because of incomplete information, bounded rationality, and information asymmetries [49]. Therefore, the information provided to the interviewees might compel them to give answers that match researchers’ expectations. For multimethod studies that include several experiments, the design of the experiments will also guide participants to make different privacy decisions; for example, because several studies found that there is a trade-off among privacy, autonomy, assistance, safety, or independence, we believe that a privacy-related question in the first experiment might encourage the participants to be concerned more about privacy rather than autonomy in the experiments that follow.

Furthermore, social influence in groups should also be emphasized because participants’ privacy awareness might be influenced not only by the sociocultural context but also by the other participants; for example, a herd mentality can lead participants to converge on a consensus answer and make irrational privacy decisions [82].

On the one hand, researchers found that the wisdom of small groups of people tends to outstrip that of both individuals and a large group of people. According to one of the findings, when there are 4 groups, and the number of participants in a focus group is 5, although opinions within a group might converge, there are still diversities among the different groups, and researchers will be able to gather different views from these groups [82]. On the basis of these findings, we analyzed the experiment design of focus groups in the selected studies and found that most experiments lacked diversity in terms of participants’ backgrounds [35,50,55,63].

On the other hand, even if the diversity in terms of participants’ backgrounds is enhanced, the results of a group cannot always represent personal privacy attitudes because of group polarization [83], that is, it remains questionable whether the decisions made by these groups can represent the views of individuals in the group accurately. In all, we cannot ignore the need and significance with regard to clarifying the ultimate goal of gathering and analyzing privacy attitudes.

Legal Concerns and Legal Frameworks

Legal norms, overall, refer to social norms that are enforced by a relatively strong degree of coercion [84]. However, few of the articles we identified can be categorized into the topic of legal norms straightforwardly. Therefore, instead of categorizing them into legal norms, we classified the articles describing legal issues into 2 subcategories: legal concerns and legal frameworks. More precisely, the articles reflecting legal concerns were not describing participants’ concerns about the existing legal frameworks; rather, they were describing participants’ attitudes toward technology adoption in light of their awareness of legal obligations; for example, Sánchez et al [21] presented the fact that even if participants were aware of municipalities’ legal obligations to provide health care services for older people, they preferred to buy anything they could afford or adopt welfare technologies. The study by Sánchez et al [61] also did not present any legal concerns directly gathered from participants, but it highlighted the importance of legal liability for different user roles (physicians, nurses, or relatives of the patients) during a visit to patients and regarded it as legal concern. As a matter of fact, among the studies we selected, there were only a few conducted for gathering people’s legal concerns regarding privacy with respect to health monitoring and assisted living technologies. However, people’s legal concerns regarding privacy and the problems in current legal frameworks might be considered one of the influential factors when it comes to adopting health monitoring technologies, which constitute one of the privacy barriers.

Regarding legal frameworks, we extracted regulations, laws, policies, directives, and rules from the findings (Textbox 3). These documents are not limited to assisted living technologies; rather, they cover legal aspects in health care in general; for instance, the legal challenges in the home care or health care environment include data privacy, data management, stakeholders’ interests, and informed consent [85].

It is worth mentioning that not all legal documents concerning health monitoring or assisted living technologies are exhaustively listed in Textbox 3 because different countries have different laws or rules regulating aspects of health care. Some of the articles pointed out the shortcomings in the existing frameworks. Among these articles, Ryu [91] revealed the fact of the absence of legal guidelines in the mobile health domain regarding privacy and confidentiality in more than half of the EU countries and the United States and suggested that mobile health should be included within the framework in different countries; Ambrosino et al [92] provided the conclusion that a full legal framework for telemedicine was still lacking in European countries; and Sánchez et al [61] stated that the standardization, research, and assessment of the legal aspects should be addressed in an international perspective. However, in this paper, we only focus on the impact of legal norms on privacy concerns.
Textbox 3. Legal frameworks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and the laws, rules, regulations, directives, and policies mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sánchez et al [21]: Norwegian Municipal Health and Care Services Act of 2011 (ACT 24/06/2011 no. 30; act relating to municipal health and care services, and so on)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Garg et al [86]: Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, United States (2009); Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, United States (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Costa et al [87]: Article 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (2010); Article 16 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 2012); Portuguese data protection law; General Data Protection Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jin et al [88]: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, United States (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Garzo and Garay-Vitoria [89]: Regulation 2016/679 (also known as General Data Protection Regulation); Regulation 2017/745 on medical devices (2017); Regulation 536/2014 relating to clinical tests with medication for human use (2014); harmonized standard ISO 14155 related to good clinical practice (International Organization for Standardization, 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ross et al [90]: General Data Protection Regulation; Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, United States (1996)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Question 3: What Are the Legal Challenges Regarding People’s Privacy Attitudes and Concerns Today?

The articles included in the review show the absence of research on legal norms regarding privacy or people’s legal concerns with regard to assisted living technologies in the health monitoring environment; for example, informed consent for various scenarios in health care, different user roles (eg, device owners, bystanders [68], and technology developers), and different types of health monitoring technologies require researchers to pay more attention to the legal frameworks rather than merely point out that they are inadequate.

To this end, a few of the included studies have investigated patients’ informed consent requirements in a health monitoring environment. As stated by Demiris and Hensel [93], when patients or older adults approach the end of their lives, they have opportunities to become familiar with smart home applications and perhaps change their minds and consent to use them in light of their value. Patients with cognitive impairment [68] who are gradually losing their cognitive ability to make decisions might prefer to disclose more information in exchange for better medical help when giving informed consent. Thus, informed consent requirements need to be updated.

This also applies to privacy decision-making in health care; for instance, informed consent obtained through a shared decision-making framework [93]. Generally, informed consent includes data processing, such as storage, transmission, collection, erasure, and sharing. However, when it is applied to a specific field, more concrete explanations of the risks and benefits need to be provided. In shared decision-making, which requires the involvement of patients and clinicians, informed consent serves as a legal process used to promote patient autonomy and self-determination as well as legal rights [94]. While shared decision-making includes treatment decision-making, it can also include, for example, privacy decision-making because it allows people to discuss how confidential information can be used and shared [95,96].

As shared decision-making is a collaborative process and aims to help patients better understand problems and make rational decisions with support from clinicians, both patients’ and clinicians’ opinions need to be taken into consideration. To be compliant with patients’ privacy needs and the cognitive changes they may be experiencing, we believe that informed consent requirements need to be updated continually as well [97].

The aforementioned cases only serve as examples of applications of legal concerns. More scenarios and elements remain to be clarified, such as identifying direct and indirect stakeholders and their responsibilities and distinguishing the need for informed consent when there are more user roles to be considered (formal caregivers as well as informal caregivers such as friends or relatives) in the health monitoring environment. Some scholars have pointed out that informed consent is not always necessary if the disclosure of information is consistent with respect for underlying human dignity or individual autonomy, which is referred to as “reasonable expectations of privacy” [98,99]. They argue there are circumstances in which confidential information can be better protected, precluding the need to rely on implied consent. By shifting from implied consent to “reasonable expectations of privacy,” the pressure to classify cases as implied consent could be eased [100].

As researchers are currently focusing more on reasonable expectations of privacy with regard to the sharing of confidential health information, reasonable expectations of privacy for adopting health monitoring and assisted living technologies or privacy decision-making concerning these technologies can possibly be taken into account in data protection legislation as well. Although we agree that reasonable expectations of privacy can help reduce participants’ burden when giving consent, the scope of reasonable expectations of privacy still relies on social psychological factors, such as the quality of the physician-patient relationship [99]; for example, trust between physicians and patients will increase the level of reasonable expectations of privacy when patients are making decisions, such as whether to allow the health monitoring system to send alerts to the clinician staff under some circumstances.
Research Question 4: What Should Be Taken Into Consideration in Subsequent Studies Related to Privacy Attitudes and Concerns in the Context of Social Psychology?

Vassli and Farshchian [23] state that one of the most cited reasons that the authors found that might influence participants’ adoption of assisted living technologies was that monitoring devices made them feel observed. This has inspired us to suggest experiments (refer to the following paragraphs) that should be conducted in future studies.

First, as far as we could find, the selected studies had not looked into the problem of the Hawthorne effect [101], which refers to a phenomenon in which people alter their behavior in response to being watched or monitored, that is, they might make an instantaneous modification in their behavior once they become aware that they are being observed. People behave differently even when looking into a mirror (rather than being watched by someone else) [102]. In this sense, installing monitoring devices might affect people’s behavior even if they have consented to the use of these technologies.

Holden [103] suggested in 2001 that the possible presence of a Hawthorne effect could lead to participants drawing conclusions subconsciously. Therefore, we cannot predict the influences wrought by the Hawthorne effect, while this remains of key importance because it will consequently impact user experience and influence their decision-making in real life. Although some participants in the studies by Vassli and Farshchian [23] and Biermann et al [25] tended to ignore the feeling of being observed, the Hawthorne effect can cause positive impacts as well; for example, in the study by Cristiano et al [63], even if participants had negative feelings of privacy intrusion when being monitored, this was not always the case because older adults stated that they felt secure when being monitored. This also reflected the trade-off between privacy concerns and security concerns. The researchers claimed in their paper that negative feelings of privacy intrusion could be overcome by providing older adults with appropriate information. In another study of clinical trials in dementia, researchers who were aware of the Hawthorne effect found that more intensive follow-ups would cause better cognitive functioning outcomes [104].

Another observation from the Norwegian University of Science and Technology Nord-Trøndelag Health Study [103] showed that participants surveyed by the project regularly over many years exhibited statistically better health states than those not surveyed, which might be attributed to the Hawthorne effect as well. In this way, the feeling of being observed can turn out to be a good thing, although some technology researchers try to hide the monitoring devices to reduce patients’ feeling of being observed.

In all, the Hawthorne effect is a complex phenomenon that can lead to unknown bias. There should be more experiments to compare patients’ or older adults’ behaviors when they are aware of being observed and their behaviors with hidden observation during such research.

Second, in the follow-up experiment design of observing participants’ privacy behaviors, researchers should keep an eye not only on the privacy paradox phenomenon but also on the stress of cognitive dissonance caused by the phenomenon. The privacy paradox reveals the fact that there are discrepancies between users’ self-declared privacy attitudes and their privacy behavior [105,106]. These discrepancies will cause cognitive dissonance, which appears when people hold conflicting beliefs, or their behaviors contradict their beliefs [107,108].

It is mentally stressful to cope with contradictory experiences or beliefs, and cognitive dissonance will make conditions for patients or older adults in health care settings even more stressful [109]. But there can also be positive effects if researchers use a patient’s or an older adult’s motivation to mitigate the dissonance to change their behaviors [105]. A few researchers also found that the contrast between privacy concerns and privacy-protecting behaviors is caused by privacy fatigue [106], referring to the reduced intention of privacy protection when faced with the increasing complexity of privacy settings or regulations. Because of this, some participants even became confused about the laws or regulations and lacked the ability to make appropriate decisions or give consent [107].

Third, there is a lack of longitudinal studies on privacy attitudes with regard to assisted living technologies. Even if some studies had adopted multimethod approaches, and participants had been invited to take part in several experiments, it is hard for us to identify their cognitive changes over time. Because of the problem we have outlined in research question 1, current experiments might even induce participants to give the answers we want. Thus, we need long-term studies to test patients’ cognitive changes over the technologies.

Overview

From the findings we extracted, we aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of privacy barriers in health monitoring. We have explained the interaction of different factors, especially people’s privacy concerns and legal concerns, and pointed out the impact of social psychological factors on these factors. We suggest that to ensure people’s autonomy while protecting their privacy, the rules applied to them need to meet their demographic characteristics, health conditions, and health needs. Among the listed hypotheses and research questions, we tend to support the fourth hypothesis: people’s privacy concerns vary from person to person, and there is insufficient evidence to validate the importance of privacy barriers currently. As information asymmetries will also lead people to make different privacy decisions, we suppose that the more accurate and useful the information they provide, the more precise the decisions they will make. To intuitively present the influential factors we found in research question 1, we highlight the elements that should be considered and analyzed to measure a person’s privacy concerns (Figure 2). The categorization of the elements is flexible; for instance, both social trust and technical trust can affect privacy concerns (refer to the inner relations among the elements [solid lines] and subelements [dashed lines] plotted in Figure 2).
Figure 2. Influential factors of privacy concerns.

On the basis of these factors, we have determined that there are few studies investigating the privacy attitudes of other user roles with regard to these technologies. We found that, of the 66 included articles, only 1 (2%) [74] has conducted interviews with caregivers, while 2 (3%) [68,69] discuss the privacy concerns of bystanders. In addition, there are only a few studies that were not conducted within the specified geographic regions that collected technology researchers’ perceptions of ethical issues (privacy is one of the ethical issues interviewed) with regard to smart home technologies [108]. Although bystanders will not be the majority of the users of assisted living technologies, we insist that privacy concerns should be gathered from them too. In addition, clinicians’ and technical researchers’ views need to be explored because they can provide more information based on their professional background. Therefore, more studies are required to be conducted from the perspective of different user roles, enabling shared privacy decision-making among them.

To help participants make more accurate and stable decisions, we suggest that more empirical studies should be conducted that observe participants’ behaviors and measure the distances between behaviors and attitudes. In combination with the self-perception theory [110], the participants are expected to observe themselves, notice the inconsistencies, and interpret their attitudes from their behaviors. In subsequent steps, researchers can also guide the participants appropriately based on the social learning theory [109], notify participants about the inconsistencies, and ask them to adjust their attitudes or behaviors to reduce the distances. On the basis of the newly gathered attitudes, participants’ preferences and behaviors in real life are expected to be predicted more precisely on the machine level, by using appropriate predicting algorithms.

Study Limitations
Despite all the interesting findings, we acknowledge the limitations of the review. First, the scope of findings deviated somewhat from the search terms we set at the beginning. Because of the limited number of articles identified regarding legal concerns and legal frameworks, the legal frameworks and documents that we have listed are not exhaustive; therefore, we have not ventured in depth in this direction. Although we have classified the findings into subcategories of our creation, we cannot deny the fact that few studies are directly related to legal norms regarding privacy in health monitoring. Second, although some search terms were updated continually based on the new
ideas we generated, to be compliant with the inclusion criteria (eg, the region specification), some important studies might have been excluded, although their findings may not be applicable and adaptable to the authors’ country of residence. However, we encourage future works to be carried out in other regions to obtain a more comprehensive overview of the problem. Last but not least, although we have tried to interpret the findings from the perspective of social psychology, the evidence we have presented is inconclusive, and they remain to be investigated in long-term studies.

Conclusions
This scoping review has synthesized existing published research on privacy barriers with regard to the adoption of assisted living technologies. On the basis of the findings and main topics, the studies were classified into five categories: (1) privacy attitudes, (2) privacy concerns, (3) legal concerns, (4) legal frameworks, and (5) privacy barriers. Subsequently, we investigated the methodology and participant inclusion criteria. We have listed the factors that influence people’s privacy concerns and analyzed the social psychological influence of the experiments on people’s privacy awareness. Example legal challenges regarding privacy attitudes have been put forward, and the interaction between privacy factors and legal factors has been discussed. Future research might involve longitudinal studies on the privacy attitudes of different user roles and the informed consent obtained, with more psychological impacts such as the Hawthorne effect and confirmation bias carefully considered.
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Abstract

Background: Despite the life-threatening nature of sepsis, little is known about the emotional experiences of patients and their families during sepsis events. We conducted a sentiment analysis pertaining to sepsis incidents involving patients and families, leveraging textual data retrieved from a publicly available blog post disseminated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Objective: This investigation involved a sentiment analysis of patient- and family-related sepsis events, leveraging text responses sourced from a publicly accessible blog post disseminated by the CDC. Driven by the imperative to elucidate the emotional dynamics encountered by patients and their families throughout sepsis incidents, the overarching aims centered on elucidating the emotional ramifications of sepsis on both patients and their families and discerning potential avenues for enhancing the quality of sepsis care.

Methods: The research used a cross-sectional data mining methodology to investigate the sentiments and emotional aspects linked to sepsis, using a data set sourced from the CDC, which encompasses 170 responses from both patients and caregivers, spanning the period between September 2014 and September 2020. This investigation used the National Research Council Canada Emotion Lexicon for sentiment analysis, coupled with a combination of manual and automated techniques to extract salient features from textual responses. The study used negative binomial least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regressions to ascertain significant textual features that correlated with specific emotional states. Moreover, the visualization of Plutchik’s Wheel of Emotions facilitated the discernment of prevailing emotions within the data set.

Results: The results showed that patients and their families experienced a range of emotions during sepsis events, including fear, anxiety, sadness, and gratitude. Our analyses revealed an estimated incidence rate ratio (IRR) of 1.35 for fear-related words and a 1.51 IRR for sadness-related words when mentioning “hospital” in sepsis-related experiences. Similarly, mentions of “intensive care unit” were associated with an average occurrence of 12.3 fear-related words and 10.8 sadness-related words. Surviving patients’ experiences had an estimated 1.15 IRR for joy-related words, contrasting with discussions around organ failure, which were associated with multiple negative emotions including disgust, anger, fear, and sadness. Furthermore, mentions of “death” were linked to more fear and anger words but fewer joy-related words. Conversely, longer timelines in sepsis events were associated with more joy-related words and fewer fear-related words, potentially indicating improved emotional adaptation over time.

Conclusions: The study’s outcomes underscore the imperative for health care providers to integrate emotional support alongside medical interventions for patients and families affected by sepsis, emphasizing the emotional toll incurred and highlighting the necessity of acknowledgment and resolution, advocating for the use of sentiment analysis as a means to tailor personalized emotional aid, and thereby potentially augmenting both patient and family welfare and overall outcomes.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e51720) doi:10.2196/51720
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**Introduction**

Sepsis is a life-threatening medical emergency that affects millions of people worldwide each year. It is estimated that sepsis affects over 30 million people worldwide annually, resulting in over 6 million deaths each year [1], with a substantial economic burden and long-term morbidity among survivors [2]. It is characterized by a dysregulated immune response to an infection, leading to organ dysfunction and, in severe cases, mortality. Despite advances in sepsis care, the high mortality rate underscores the need for a comprehensive understanding of the patient’s experience.

The concept of patient-centered care has gained recognition in health care, highlighting the importance of incorporating patient perspectives, needs, and preferences into the care delivery process [3]. Within the context of sepsis, understanding the emotional experiences of patients and their families during sepsis events is crucial for providing holistic and patient-centered care. Although there is a lack of extensive research on the emotional experiences of patients and their families, specifically during sepsis events, studies conducted in related fields highlight the crucial role of emotional support and its impact on patient outcomes [4]. For instance, in critical care settings, emotional distress and psychological well-being have been shown to significantly influence patient recovery and quality of life [5,6]. Similarly, in chronic illness contexts, emotional support has been linked to improved patient coping, treatment adherence, and overall well-being [7].

In sepsis care, it is important to recognize and address the emotional needs of both patients and their families [8]. This approach contributes to a more comprehensive and patient-centered method of care [9]. Numerous studies indicate that providing emotional support during critical illness can alleviate anxiety, reduce psychological distress, and improve overall satisfaction with care for patients and their families [10,11].

To gain a deeper understanding of the emotional experiences of patients and their families, researchers have turned to sentiment analysis as a valuable technique [12]. Sentiment analysis has gained prominence in recent years as a powerful tool for comprehending patients and health care workers’ experiences, opinions, and attitudes toward health care [13,14]. Sentiment analysis is a computational approach that analyzes the emotional tone or sentiment expressed in text data [15]. By applying sentiment analysis to patient and family feedback related to sepsis events, health care providers can better understand the emotional impact of sepsis on patients and their families and identify areas for improvement in sepsis care. Furthermore, analyzing patient and family feedback can aid health care providers in comprehending the patient’s sepsis experience and developing strategies to enhance sepsis care [16].

This study aims to perform a sentiment analysis on the experiences of patients and their families during sepsis events gathered from 174 narratives, with the goal of comprehending the emotional toll of sepsis and pinpointing opportunities for enhancing sepsis care. Through the examination of feedback from patients and their families, the study seeks to enrich the existing literature on sepsis care. The insights gained from this study are poised to equip health care providers with insights that could lead to better management of patient and family emotional needs.

**Methods**

**Overview**

Sepsis-related patient and caregiver text responses were obtained from a public data set provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), originating from their Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion Public Inquiries Team. The CDC data set, collected between September 16, 2014, and September 19, 2020, comprised 174 comments. In a 2014 blog post titled A Family’s Perspective - “The Brutality of Sepsis will Haunt Us for the Rest of Our Lives” [16], author Franchot Karl describes his 84-year-old grandmother’s death from sepsis and offers advice for those yet to be affected by the disease. The comments were reader descriptions of their personal experiences related to sepsis and a direct response to the 2014 blog post.

These reader comments were subsequently downloaded and exported to Excel (Microsoft Corporation) and analyzed using JMP Pro (version 16; SAS Institute), R (version 4; R Core Team), and Python (version 3.9; Python Software Foundation).

A total of 4 responses were removed due to missing information or spam-related comments. The remaining 170 responses submitted by patients and caregivers were analyzed using the National Research Council Canada (NRC) Emotion Lexicon, which produced 8 distinct emotional sentiment scores [17]. The lexicon emphasizes unigrams, with each word assigned ratings based on its positive or negative sentiment and potential association with emotions, including anger, fear, anticipation, trust, surprise, sadness, joy, and disgust. The scoring method involved tabulating the number of words in each response that received at least 1 of the 8 sentiment scores and categorizing the number of words in each response that expressed each emotion. This generated 8 count variables, which served as the study’s outcome variables.

The research team implemented a rigorous, multistep manual and automated process to extract diverse features from the text responses. This entailed a comprehensive analysis of each response to identify recurring or predetermined features, such as patient age, respondent relationship to the patient, inferred sex of the patient and caregiver, patient survival, and sepsis-related conditions like severe sepsis and septic shock. Additionally, indicator variables (1 or 0) were developed for frequently occurring words, such as “sepsis,” “hospital,” and “doctor.” The team compiled a list of recurrent sepsis-related terms by examining the responses, which were then used to create indicator variables—assigned a value of “1” if present in the text and “0” if not present in the text. In instances of coding discrepancies, the team reviewed the responses and deliberated to reach a consensus. These indicators played a crucial role in tackling elements of our research questions, particularly in identifying dominant themes or subjects within...
the sepsis events involving patients and their families. Temporal references, such as hours, months, days, and years, were classified as timelines, which were further categorized into short and long timelines. Short timelines could only include terms such as “suddenly,” “days,” “hours,” “immediately,” “quickly,” “seconds,” and “currently,” whereas all other timelines were deemed long timelines. Due to concerns regarding reliability and substantial missing data, textual information such as age and the sex of the caregiver and patient was excluded from the analysis. However, a separate bivariate analysis was conducted to explore the potential influence of these variables on the 8 NRC emotions.

A total of 8 negative binomial least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regressions [18] were used to identify patient and caregiver text response features associated with the count of anger, anticipation, disgust, fear, joy, sadness, surprise, and trust sentiments in the responses. A negative binomial was chosen a priori over Poisson regression as it was believed the outcomes would likely be over dispersed. Upon inspection, the data were not zero-inflated, so zero-inflated models were not considered. Model selection was performed by selecting the model that had the smallest Akaike information criterion corrected [19].

To visualize Plutchik’s [20] Wheel of Emotions, we used the PyPlutchik (Alfonso Semeraro) Python package [21]. This package offers functionality to generate visual representations of the Wheel of Emotions proposed by Plutchik [20]. To determine the dominant emotion within the wheel, we selected the emotion with the highest frequency and assigned it a score of 1. We then calculated the scores for the remaining emotions based on their ratio to the dominant emotion. As a result, emotions within the wheel are scored on a scale from 0 to 1, with 1 being the emotion that occurred most frequently.

**Ethical Considerations**

This study was conducted in accordance with ethical standards regarding research involving nonhuman subjects. The ethics committee of the University of Cincinnati granted approval on May 5, 2023, for the study (2023-0396). The privacy of participants’ personal information was rigorously protected, securely stored, and only accessible by the study team.

**Results**

Variables with reliability issues, missing values, or chosen to not be included in the analysis were first checked bivariately with the outcome variables. None of these variables were related bivariately to the 8 outcomes and were therefore not included in any further analysis.

Table 1 presents a numerical summary of the average values for the 8 NRC emotions, as categorized by extracted text features. The estimates are obtained column-wise, with larger numbers signifying an increase in the number of words associated with a particular emotion, while a smaller number denotes a decrease in the number of words related to that emotion. For instance, responses containing “medical” terminology (medical professionals, medical issues, medical field, medical records, and medical history) exhibited an average of 7.7 anticipation words. An increase in anticipation words could be considered unfavorable in this context. Conversely, joy and trust have inverse scales, as a greater presence of joy or trust emotions signifies improvement compared to fewer instances of joy or trust. When responses included “medical” terminology, an average of 12.4 trust words were observed. From these 2 results, we can see that, consequently, the “medical” terminology indicator is associated with both heightened anticipation and increased trust. Owing to the extensive results presented in Table 1, further insights are elaborated upon in the discussion section and are also available for the reader to examine independently. Due to the large number of results in Table 1, the discussion section has an overview of the results that the authors found interesting or notable. The readers are encouraged to review Table 1, as it may present other, undiscussed findings.
Table 1. Average of the 8 National Research Council Canada emotions by gathered text features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Columns by categories</th>
<th>Number, n</th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Anticipation</th>
<th>Disgust</th>
<th>Fear</th>
<th>Joy</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Surprise</th>
<th>Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis indicator</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital indicator</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor indicator</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain indicator</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery indicator</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection indicator</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart indicator</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic indicator</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock indicator</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED(^a) indicator</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical indicator</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics indicator</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU(^b) indicator</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms indicator</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever indicator</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure indicator</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death indicator</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long timeline</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short timeline</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Responder**

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) ED: emergency department.

\(^b\) ICU: intensive care unit.

Table 2 presents a numerical summary of the 8 NRC emotions negative binomial LASSO regression model estimates. The contents of these 8 multivariable models are presented in Table 2 column-wise, where variables that were included in the model have presented a numerical estimated regression coefficient, while variables that were not chosen by the LASSO procedure have a “–” in their cell. The estimates are obtained column-wise, with larger numbers signifying an increase in the estimated rate of words associated with a particular emotion, while smaller numbers signify a decrease in the estimated rate of words related to that emotion. For example, the model for NRC anger suggested that respondents who used the word “medical” were estimated to have 1.75 times the number of anger-related words than those respondents who did not use the word “medical.” As with Table 1, there are many results in Table 2, and we discuss these further in context within the discussion section. The readers are encouraged to review Table 2, as it may present other, undiscussed findings.
Table 2. Negative binomial least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression parameter estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Columns by categories</th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Anticipation</th>
<th>Disgust</th>
<th>Fear</th>
<th>Joy</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Surprise</th>
<th>Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td><em>a</em></td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis indicator</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital indicator</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor indicator</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain indicator</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery indicator</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection indicator</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart indicator</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic indicator</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock indicator</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED^b indicator</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical indicator</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics indicator</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU^c indicator</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms indicator</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever indicator</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure indicator</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death indicator</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any timeline</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long timeline</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short timeline</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aNot available. 
^bED: emergency department. 
^cICU: intensive care unit.

Table S1 in Multimedia Appendix 1 presents various model fit details (number of parameters, Bayesian information criterion, corrected Akaike information criterion, and dispersion) for the 8 negative binomial LASSO regression models. These fit details are provided for transparency and reproducibility. Table S2 in Multimedia Appendix 1 presents a correlation matrix of the 8 NRC emotion outcome. Sadness and fear ($r=0.9405$) had the strongest correlation, while anger and joy ($r=0.5755$) had the weakest correlation of all possible emotional pairs.

Figure 1 display the Wheel of Emotions [13] for the sample overall.
Discussion

Overview
This study examined the emotional responses of patients and caregivers to sepsis-related events using sentiment analysis. The analyzed text responses from 170 patients, caregivers, children, spouses, and others showed that there were numerous text features that indicated elevated emotional patterns and trends. These patterns and trends are discussed in the following subsections.

Medical, Hospital, Intensive Care Unit, Sepsis, and Emergency Department Indicators

Our analysis revealed that there was a higher occurrence of fear- and sadness-related words when hospital, sepsis, or emergency department (ED) were mentioned in sepsis-related experiences (Table 2). For example, when the word “hospital” is mentioned, there is a 1.35 times higher frequency of fear-related words and a 1.51 times higher frequency of sadness-related words. These findings underscore the profound emotional impact of sepsis, which is characterized by its critical nature and the uncertainty surrounding its prognosis, leading to heightened emotional distress. Moreover, the traumatic aspects of sepsis, including its sudden onset, severe symptoms, near-death experience, and the urgent need for immediate medical intervention and life support, can cause psychological trauma and further contribute to intensified feelings of fear and sadness [22-24]. The admission of a patient to the hospital or ED can be particularly shocking for patients, families, and friends, especially when the illness was unexpected. The constant highs and lows can be emotionally draining when the future is uncertain, with worries about losing loved ones or coping with disabilities from sepsis [24]. Our findings are consistent with the findings of Apitzsch et al [22] and Gallop et al [24] who qualitatively explored the mental impact of surviving sepsis and discovered that survivors often harbor a great sense of fear of experiencing sepsis again and becoming critically ill again.

The “medical” indicator was associated with heightened anticipation and anger as well as increased trust. Patient experiences may trigger heightened anticipation or concern due to the seriousness of the medical matters discussed. This association is reflected in the increased usage of words associated with anticipation within these contexts. Simultaneously, the concurrent rise in expressions of trust when “medical” terms are used implies that, despite heightened anticipation or worry, respondents also demonstrate a level of trust or reliance on medical professionals or care within these conversations. Moreover, the discovery that individuals using the term “medical” tend to express more words associated with anger suggests potential frustration or discontent during discussions involving medical elements. Recognizing these connections holds significance for health care professionals,
indicating the necessity for improved communication strategies to address patient and family apprehensions. This emphasizes the importance of conveying medical terminologies in a manner that minimizes negative emotional reactions, ultimately enhancing patient and family experiences in navigating such discussions.

The results of our analysis indicated an increase in the average occurrence of words related to fear and sadness when the term “ICU” was mentioned. Specifically, fear-related words had an average occurrence of 12.3, while sadness-related words had an average occurrence of 10.8 (Table 1). These findings align with a study conducted by Kang et al [25], who performed sentiment analysis on responses from intensive care unit (ICU) survivors and reported the highest scores for sadness and fear. This consistency in findings suggests that the mention of the ICU in sepsis-related experiences elicits heightened emotional expressions of fear and sadness, reflecting the emotional impact of the ICU environment and the experiences associated with a critical illness. However, interestingly, ICU was not included in the 8 regression models, suggesting that other factors, possibly related to ICU exposure, better explain the variation noted by the word “ICU.”

Life, Failure, Death, and Shock

Our findings suggest that if the outcome of the patients were alive, the responses had 1.15 times more joy-related words. Surviving patients and relatives often experience relief and joy after recovering from a serious illness such as sepsis. The recovery of a patient can lead to an overall increase in the positive language used when discussing the patient’s outcomes or experiences. This was also noted by Papathanassoglou and Patiraki [26], who investigated the long-term effects of critical illness on survivors and found that participants frequently highlighted emotions of personal transformation, joy, and a newfound appreciation for the wonders of life.

Sepsis is a complication of infection that often leads to organ failure, including the heart, kidneys, respiratory organs, and liver [27]. The results of this study reveal a noteworthy trend: when respondents discuss failure in relation to organ failures, such as kidney failure, liver failure, or heart failure, their responses tend to contain a higher frequency of words associated with disgust, anger, fear, sadness, and surprise. This observation can be attributed to the fact that organ failure is a severe and potentially life-threatening condition that profoundly affects both patients and their families. They experience psychological burdens due to feelings of indefinite care over time, and constant uncertainty, and worry about deteriorating health and death [28].

Given the significant emotional impact of organ failure, it is crucial for health care providers to recognize this and offer appropriate emotional support and resources. By acknowledging and addressing the emotional challenges faced by patients and their families in addition to the physical ones, health care professionals can enhance the overall well-being and coping mechanisms of those affected by organ failure [28]. It is imperative to shift the perspective and no longer view the family as merely a resource for patient care but instead integrate them into the health care process, valuing their input and involving them in decision-making.

Our findings suggest that the mention of the word “death” was associated with more fear- and anger-related words and fewer joy-related words. The mention of death or the patient’s death due to sepsis in responses can signal a significant and often tragic event that has occurred, which can contribute to the overall emotional tone of the language used. The mention of death can be associated with feelings of loss, helplessness, and regret, which can diminish the experience of joy. The finding that responses containing the word “death” had more fear-related words suggests that the concept of death can evoke fear in individuals. The relatives of the patients might be terrified because of the unexpected death of patients due to sepsis and its sudden onset, which might provoke feelings of anger as they navigate through the grieving process [29]. The unexpected death of a loved one is widely recognized as one of the most profoundly traumatic experiences in an individual’s life [30]. In the context of sepsis, the fear experienced by relatives following the death of a patient can stem from various factors. These may include the fear of losing someone dear to them, the fear of not understanding the exact cause of death, and the fear of the unknown. The emotional impact of such circumstances can be immense, underscoring the importance of providing support to bereaved relatives during the grieving process.

The results indicate that if the word “shock” is mentioned in a response, there is an increased occurrence of words associated with surprise. Shock developed due to sepsis can be a serious and potentially life-threatening condition and is often the most common cause of death [31] that can lead to a range of physical and emotional responses. The experience of shock may be unexpected and sudden, leading to a heightened emotional response that includes surprise. Additionally, shock can be categorized as a word that depicts surprise, and it is possible that the sentiment analysis might have considered shock as a factor of surprise rather than its specific context related to sepsis. It is also possible that when counting the number of NRC surprise words, “shock” was counted as a surprise word, as another definition of “shock” is a sudden upsetting or surprising event or experience. This additional count could have led to increases in this count variable, and thus showing an increase in our tables.

Timelines

Long timelines, as compared to a short or quick timeline, related to sepsis and sepsis-related events had more joy-related words and fewer fear-related words. Patients who make progress toward recovery and achieve improvements in their health may experience joy and satisfaction as they reach milestones and see improvements in their quality of life. Long timelines may allow individuals to gain a broader perspective and distance themselves from the initial stress and fear of the illness, enabling them to concentrate on more optimistic aspects such as recovery and healing. That may be the reason for the reduction in the frequency of fear-related words in their responses. Additionally, as time passes, individuals and family members may have had more opportunities to process their experiences and emotions related to sepsis, potentially leading to a greater sense of
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acceptance, peace, and gratitude. These findings are consistent with a review conducted by Paul and Rattray [32], which examined the short- and long-term impact of critical illness on relatives. The review reported that emotional distress among relatives tends to diminish over time, influenced by factors such as their coping mechanisms and the support they receive from their social networks [32]. The exclusion of short timelines of sepsis-related events from the model may be because respondents did not have enough time to fully process their emotions and experiences related to these events.

**Relationship With the Patient**

The findings suggest that the relationship between the responder and the patient can have a significant impact on their emotional experience and expression of emotions related to sepsis. The finding that responses from spouses were associated with fewer joy-related words suggests that caring for a partner with sepsis can be a challenging and stressful experience. Spouses may feel overwhelmed by the responsibilities of caregiving and the uncertainty of their partner’s health, which could contribute to a more negative emotional response overall. Additionally, spouses may also be dealing with their own emotions about losing their partner or the potential loss of their partner, further reducing the frequency of joy-related words in their responses. Studies have found that severe sepsis, in particular, can impose a significant burden on spouses, who are susceptible to the detrimental effects of psychological stress that can impair their health-related quality of life [33,34].

If the respondent was a patient who had sepsis, they were estimated to have 5.6 times more anger words as compared to responders who themselves were not patients. This could be indicative of the intense emotional experience that patients with sepsis undergo. Sepsis is a life-threatening condition that can cause physical and emotional distress. Patients who have experienced sepsis may have gone through a traumatic experience that can leave a lasting impact [23,25]. The anger expressed by the patient in their responses may be a result of their frustration with the experience of sepsis and its aftermath. They might feel angry about the loss of their independence, perceiving themselves as a burden to their loved ones who have assumed caregiving responsibilities, as well as the pain, discomfort, and disruption to their lives caused by sepsis [25].

Research has shown that sepsis survivors may experience a sense of depersonalization, feeling like they have become a different person in certain situations. This alteration in their identity can have a negative impact on their family and social relationships [23]. On the other hand, responders who were not patients may have had a more detached perspective on the situation, which could explain why they had fewer anger words in their responses. Without experiencing sepsis firsthand, they may not fully understand the emotional toll it can take on a patient.

Our findings reveal that responses provided by parents of patients with sepsis contained fewer anger- and surprise-related words. Parents may feel a sense of responsibility and obligation to remain calm and composed for the sake of their child, which could contribute to a lower frequency of anger-related words in their responses. A study conducted by Vermunt et al [35] supports this notion, as parents of children who survived septic shock reported learning to cope with the event, gaining strength from it, and developing a heightened appreciation for life.

**Guidance for Health Care Professionals**

This study presents a significant contribution to the existing literature as it is the first of its kind, to the best of our knowledge, to examine the sentiments and emotions of patients and their families related to sepsis events. By focusing on this previously unexplored aspect, the study provides valuable insights into the emotional impact of sepsis on individuals and their support networks for health care professionals. Our research reveals the distinct ways in which each patient’s and their family members’ experiences with sepsis shape their overall feelings. This individuality in the experiences of patients and their families highlights the need for a comprehensive approach that includes psychological support, encourages open dialogue, involves family members, and offers educational resources. Recognizing and addressing this individuality is crucial, as it ensures that each patient and family receives personalized and holistic support tailored to their unique journey through sepsis. By addressing the emotional needs of patients and their families, health care providers can effectively alleviate fear and sadness, promote patient well-being, and enhance satisfaction with the care received.

**Limitations**

Observational text analysis should be considered exploratory, as it relies on the interpretation of language patterns rather than direct measurements of emotions or experiences. As with any exploratory analysis, there are limitations to the method that should be considered. In this study, some data were missing, which meant that certain variables could not be analyzed, potentially limiting the scope of the findings. Additionally, some textual inference was completed, which means there is a possibility of error in interpreting the language patterns observed.

Responses to the blog post may originate from individuals who are not necessarily patients, are at the same or similar hospitals, of the same hospital size, or during similar times and can vary in length, among other factors that would typically result in more common responses. While this manuscript does not assert the existence of commonality among these responses, it is crucial to acknowledge that blog post data can exhibit more variability compared to other forms of electronic health record text data.

The initial story’s impact might have shaped subsequent bloggers’ narratives about their encounters, potentially biasing the spectrum of experiences shared toward those more adversely affected and potentially overshadowing milder cases in the discourse. These dynamics underscore the need for critical appraisal when interpreting these narratives to ensure a balanced understanding of the multifaceted experiences associated with sepsis events.

Further research and analysis are necessary to fully understand the relationship between patient outcomes and language use. While this study provides some insights into the language patterns associated with sepsis, additional research is needed.
to confirm and extend these findings. It is also important to note that lexical methods for analyzing sentiment, such as those used in this study, may not differentiate between authentic positive sentiments and sarcastic ones. Therefore, caution should be exercised when interpreting the sentiment analysis results.

Conclusions
This study highlights the toll sepsis plays on the emotions of patients, caregivers, spouses, children, and others. This sentiment analysis of patient- and family-related sepsis events can provide valuable insights into the emotional experiences of patients and their families during these events, which can guide health care providers in providing appropriate emotional support. By acknowledging and addressing the emotional impact of sepsis, health care providers can improve patient and family experiences and outcomes.
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Abstract

Background: One issue to be considered in universities is the need for interventions to improve sleep quality and educational systems for university students. However, sleep problems remain unresolved. As a clinical practice technique, a mindfulness-based stress reduction method can help students develop mindfulness skills to cope with stress, self-healing skills, and sleep.

Objective: We aim to verify the effectiveness of mindful breathing exercises using a tablet device.

Methods: In total, 18 nursing students, aged 18-22 years, were randomly assigned and divided equally into mindfulness (Mi) and nonmindfulness (nMi) implementation groups using tablet devices. During the 9-day experimental period, cardiac potentials were measured on days 1, 5, and 9. In each sleep stage (sleep with sympathetic nerve dominance, shallow sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance, and deep sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance), low frequency (LF) value, high frequency (HF) value, and LF/HF ratios obtained from the cardiac potentials were evaluated.

Results: On day 5, a significant correlation was observed between sleep duration and each sleep stage in both groups. In comparison to each experimental day, the LF and LF/HF ratios of the Mi group were significantly higher on day 1 than on days 5 and 10. LF and HF values in the nMi group were significantly higher on day 1 than on day 5.

Conclusions: The correlation between sleep duration and each sleep stage on day 5 suggested that sleep homeostasis in both groups was activated on day 5, resulting in similar changes in sleep stages. During the experimental period, the cardiac potentials in the nMi group showed a wide range of fluctuations, whereas the LF values and LF/HF ratio in the Mi group showed a decreasing trend over time. This finding suggests that implementing mindful breathing exercises using a tablet device may suppress sympathetic activity during sleep.

Trial Registration: UMIN-CTR Clinical Trials Registry UMIN000054639; https://tinyurl.com/mu2vdrks

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e56616) doi:10.2196/56616
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Introduction

Recently, the spread of the internet and smartphones and the influence of companies operating around the clock have led to the rapid development of a society where people can be active at any time of the day or night. According to a survey by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, 37.5% (1000/2668) of men and 40.6% (1231/3033) of women sleep <6 hours, and 37.1% (82/221) of men and 37% (83/224) of women are in their
Approximately 37% (165/445) of university students in their 20s do not sleep sufficiently.

A study that investigated the relationship between sleep disturbances in university students and depression after graduation reported that those who developed sleep disturbances during their school years were at a higher risk of developing depression later in life [2]. Another study that investigated the association between sleep duration and impaired glucose tolerance found that sleeping for <6 hours was associated with an increased prevalence of diabetes [3]. Sleep disorders increase the risk of developing psychiatric disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and other physical diseases [4,5]. Therefore, as an issue to be considered in universities, improving the educational system for university students and providing interventions to improve sleep quality are necessary. The Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare has established sleep guidelines for health promotion [6], and sleep-related consultations and support systems have been established at universities to help resolve sleep-related issues. VR use in adolescents with insomnia produces significant changes in heart rate, suggesting a relaxation effect [7]. Breathing interventions suggest that spontaneous slow breathing affects the parasympathetic nervous system [8], and breathing techniques may improve sleep quality and morning and evening cardiac vagal activity [9]. However, the impact of breathing on heart rate needs to be investigated via polysomnography to assess its effect on sleep, and its long-term efficacy in improving cardiovascular function is unknown [7,9]. Given these factors, sleep problems remain unresolved.

The mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) method, developed by Kabat, has attracted attention [10]. MBSR involves developing mindfulness skills to help people cope with stress, improve self-healing skills, and sleep better. Studies on the effects of mindfulness on sleep have used subjective sleep rating scales [11,12] and cortisol levels during morning awakening during mindfulness practice [13]. The relationship between the practice of MBSR and the functioning of the autonomic nervous system during sleep remains poorly verified via physiological assessments. Autonomic function measurement using heart rate variability (HRV) analysis has been used to objectively assess sleep [14,15]. Mindfulness-based psychological interventions can reduce depressive symptoms [16].

The effects of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy in older adults with sleep disorders have been demonstrated objectively using polysomnography [17]. An unresolved issue is that studies using objective sleep measures, such as polysomnography, suggest that the effects of mindfulness on insomnia and sleep disorders are small compared with subjective reports [18]. Therefore, whether mindfulness-based interventions change sleep patterns or alter subjective sleep assessments remains unclear.

HRV analysis is effective in assessing sleep quality [19]. HRV analysis can enable an objective understanding of changes in the autonomic nervous system during sleep. Furthermore, wearable devices can assess pathological sleep conditions such as insomnia, sleep apnea, and hypertension [20]. Wearable devices can also assess sleep stages and disorders [21]. Based on the results of these studies, wearable devices have significant potential for use in sleep research and clinical practice. Furthermore, using wearable devices capable of measuring HRV during sleep is a viable method with sufficient validity for measuring the variability in sleep stages [22-24]. These studies have suggested that sleep assessment using wearable devices capable of measuring HRV is feasible.

Here, we hypothesized that participants who practiced mindful breathing techniques using a tablet device would show characteristics of autonomic nervous system function during sleep. This study aimed to verify the effects of mindfulness breathing exercises using a tablet device and capture changes in autonomic nervous system function.

**Methods**

**Recruitment**

In a study, 27 participants were evaluated for HRV during stable sleep [25]. This study was conducted with a sample size of >20 participants. In contrast, 15 participants were evaluated for sleep quality using HRV [26]. Further, 20 participants were evaluated for sleep using electroencephalography power spectral density [27]. The number of participants in this study was as follows: all previous studies had small sample sizes with <20 participants.

Therefore, based on previous studies, we hypothesized that differences between groups could be identified if we collected data from 18 participants.

With an expected dropout rate of 10%, the experiment was continued by collecting data from 10 participants in each group until data from 18 participants were available for analysis. In this study, the participants were 18 female nursing students at University A from the 1st to 4th year, aged 18-22 years. The participants were divided into 2 groups: a group that implemented (the Mi [mindfulness group]) and a group that did not implement mindfulness breathing exercises (the nMi [nonmindfulness group]). Each group consisted of 9 participants, and randomization was used to minimize the influence of bias in the number of participants and age differences between participants. To classify the participants into 2 groups, random numbers were assigned using the RAND function in the spreadsheet software Excel (Microsoft Corp). Participants were excluded from this study if they had previous meditation and mindfulness experience or had taken sleep-inducing drugs or other medications to assess the effects of mindful breathing techniques without error.

Exclusion criteria for health status were history of sleep disorders, use of sleep-inducing drugs, and inconsistent sleep-wake rhythms. Lifestyle exclusion criteria included those who worked part-time during nighttime hours and consumed alcohol or excess caffeine. Participants who met these conditions were excluded because they may have affected the effectiveness of the mindfulness breathing techniques.

**Experimental Structure**

Between May 2022 and November 2023, each student participated in this experiment.
On day 1 of the experiment, participants in the Mi group used the equipment and practiced mindful breathing exercises using a tablet device in a university laboratory. The nMi and control groups operated the equipment and practiced cross-gazing using a tablet device in a university laboratory. The laboratory practice was conducted in the afternoon to avoid the influence of the circadian cycle. The experimental structure consisted of 2 components: 1 “measurement” of cardiac potentials at home and the other “validation” of mindful breathing exercises or gazing at a cross on a personal computer screen (Figure 1). For the “measurement,” autonomic function was measured during sleep on days 1, 5, and 9 for the Mi and nMi groups. For the “validation,” the day 1 of the experiment was day 1, and for 9 consecutive weekdays, once a day at home before bedtime, the Mi group performed mindful breathing exercises, and the nMi group gazed at the crosshairs on the personal computer screen. Therefore, in this study, a wearable biometric sensor device was used to noninvasively measure cardiac potential and pulse waves from heartbeats in an environment similar to daily life. For the cardiac potential measurement, the Silmee Bar type Lite, an affixed wearable biometric sensor manufactured by TDK Corporation, which can noninvasively assess autonomic nervous system function, was used. The wearable biometric sensor used in this study could obtain data without disturbing the participants’ sleep [30]. For cardiac potential measurements, R-R intervals (RRI) were recorded at a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. To remove body movement artifacts from the measurement data, the body movement error detection threshold of the RRI was set at 0.5 G. Measurements were made with a wearable biometric sensor attached approximately 3 cm below the middle of both clavicles to measure cardiac potentials close to the heart and reduce the influence of upper arm and chest muscle movement.

Sleep Analysis
In this study, Fast Fourier Transform was performed on the RRI of cardiac potential data during sleep using the Small_System manufactured by TDK Corporation. The low frequency (LF) components were separated into 0.05-0.15 and 0.15-0.40 Hz, with 1-min intervals, and the high frequency (HF) components were determined. The LF/HF ratio was calculated (Figure 2). The LF, HF, and LF/HF ratios are indices of HRV analysis and are used to assess autonomic function noninvasively. The LF component of HRV heart rate is jointly mediated by the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems, whereas the HF component reflects only the parasympathetic nervous system, and the power ratio (LF/HF) reflects sympathetic activity [31,32]. The square root of the integral of the LF and HF power spectrum densities was calculated to suppress the variability and improve the accuracy of sleep determination. The time of sleep onset was estimated using Cole et al’s [33] method using acceleration data to determine the time of sleep onset, and the sleep stage classification was estimated based on the autonomic balance during the period when sleep was determined via acceleration data [34]. In this study, the

Mindfulness
Mindfulness is described as “living consciously in the ‘now’ moment,” being aware of the present and accepting experience as it is, without evaluation or judgment [10]. Mindfulness aims to maintain moment-to-moment awareness and detach oneself from strong attachments to beliefs, thoughts, and feelings [28]. In this study, based on the MBSR developed by Kabat, an original Access (Microsoft Corp) application for voice guidance of mindful breathing exercises was created and experimented with. On day 1 of the experiment, the participants were provided a verbal overview of mindfulness in the laboratory and practiced mindful breathing exercises following audio guidance. The mindfulness procedure in this study consisted of (1) relaxing the shoulders and assuming a sitting posture (meditation); (2) maintaining the sitting posture, breathing abdominally, and focusing on the flow of exhalation and inhalation (breathing exercises); and (3) focusing attention sequentially on the fingertips, back, belly, chest, neck, and head while remaining aware of the breath and feeling the sensations in those areas (body scan) [10].

On day 9 of the experiment, at the end, a questionnaire was administered regarding the effects of mindful breathing exercises and participants’ intention to continue them. After explaining that the responses would be made confidential and statistically processed, the participants were asked to complete the questionnaire. The questionnaire included the following items regarding the effectiveness of mindful breathing exercises: “During the study, did implementing mindful breathing exercises have a positive effect on your sleep?” and asked them to respond on a 3-point scale (yes, no, or undecided). If the respondent answered “yes” to this question, she was asked, “What specific effects did it have?” and asked to describe the specific effects in free form.

Cardiac Potential Measurements
Measuring the power spectrum values of HRV has been used as a noninvasive quantitative assessment during sleep [29].
acceleration sampling frequency of the accelerometer of the measurement device Small_System was recorded and analyzed at 125 Hz.

Sleep stages were assessed based on the relationship between autonomic activity and sleep stage and were divided into the following three stages: (1) sleep with sympathetic nerve dominance (S sleep), (2) shallow sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance (PS sleep [shallow]), and (3) deep sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance (PS sleep [deep]) [34]. As the sleep stages used in this study were measured using nonmedical equipment, expressions such as S sleep and PS sleep were used.

Figure 2. Overview of LF and HF calculations from RRI data. HF: high frequency; LF: low frequency; RRI: R-R interval.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed for the total sleep time, sleep stage (S sleep, PS sleep [shallow], and PS sleep [deep]), LF value, HF value, and LF/HF ratios. The normality of the total sleep time, sleep stage, LF value, HF value, and LF/HF ratio was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test and Q-Q plots. In this study, the mean (SD) of total sleep time, each sleep stage, LF value, HF value, and LF/HF ratio on days 1, 5, and 9 of both groups were used for quantitative evaluation. t Tests (2-tailed) were performed on the Mi and nMi groups for total sleep time and sleep time per sleep stage on each experimental day to compare the groups. Correlation coefficients were used to evaluate effect sizes. Multiple comparisons of total sleep time and sleep time for each sleep stage within each group were performed using 1-way ANOVA and the Games-Howell method.

To test the effect of mindful breathing techniques, group comparisons were made for LF values, HF values, and the LF/HF ratio on days 1, 5, and 9 in both groups using t tests. Further, 1-way ANOVA was also performed for LF values, HF values, and LF/HF ratios between conditions on days 1, 5, and 9 in each group, and multiple comparisons were performed using the Games-Howell method. The results were rechecked using the Bonferroni correction. Statistical significance was set at 0.05. SPSS Statistics (version 26; IBM Corp) was used for statistical analysis.

Ethical Considerations

All participants were fully informed of the purpose and objectives of this study, protection of their privacy, anticipated risks, the right to withdraw during this study, no disadvantages associated with this, publication of the results, guarantee of anonymity, and voluntary participation, and that the results would not be used for purposes other than research before this study commenced, and informed consent was obtained. The experiment was conducted in a private room, and efforts were made to protect the privacy of the participants. This study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Hyogo and was conducted between May 2022 and December 2023 (21007) (Multimedia Appendix 1).

Results

Sleep Assessment Using a Questionnaire After Mindfulness Practice

Questionnaire test results were obtained from 6 of the 9 participants who practiced mindful breathing exercises using a tablet device. The valid response rate was 66.7% (6/9). All respondents answered “yes” to whether mindfulness meditation affected sleep quality. In free text responses, 1 respondent stated that she woke up feeling refreshed; 1 said that she felt calmer, which made it easier to sleep; 1 said that she was able to relax, get under the cover, sleep better, and was less likely to wake up in the middle of her sleep; and 2 said that they were able to fall asleep more easily.

Sleep Duration

In this study, the total sleep time and stage (S sleep, PS sleep [shallow], and PS sleep [deep] times) for the Mi and nMi groups were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and Q-Q plot, and they followed a normal distribution.

Table 1 shows the mean and SD of total sleep time and stages (S sleep, PS sleep [shallow], and PS sleep [deep]) for both groups on each experimental day and the t test results for total sleep time and stages for both groups. The t test results were not significant.

Table 2 shows the results of multiple comparisons of the total and mean sleep times for each sleep stage for the Mi and nMi
groups on each experimental day. On each experimental day, significant differences were found in the mean values of total sleep time and sleep time for each sleep stage in both groups and in comparing the mean values of sleep time between the sleep stages. On each experimental day, no significant differences were found between S and PS sleep (deep) in either group.

Table 1. Total sleep time and stage time on the measurement day (N=18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mi(a), mean (SD)</th>
<th>nMi(b), mean (SD)</th>
<th>(r) Test</th>
<th>Pearson correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(P) value</td>
<td>Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total(c)</td>
<td>306 (107)</td>
<td>319 (109)</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>ns(d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S sleep(e)</td>
<td>58 (39)</td>
<td>77 (26)</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (shallow)(f)</td>
<td>161 (83)</td>
<td>161 (64)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (deep)(g)</td>
<td>68 (43)</td>
<td>59 (30)</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>381 (78)</td>
<td>332 (122)</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S sleep</td>
<td>81 (31)</td>
<td>48 (37)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>203 (64)</td>
<td>180 (79)</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>67 (41)</td>
<td>56 (34)</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 9</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>342 (111)</td>
<td>336 (108)</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S sleep</td>
<td>53 (37)</td>
<td>46 (32)</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>177 (69)</td>
<td>141 (59)</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>80 (26)</td>
<td>62 (24)</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\)Mi: mindfulness group.

\(b\)nmMi: nonmindfulness group.

\(c\)Total: total sleep time.

\(d\)ns: not significant.

\(e\)S sleep: sleep with sympathetic nerve dominance.

\(f\)PS (shallow): shallow sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance.

\(g\)PS (deep): deep sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance.
Table 2. Association between total sleep time and stage using measurement date (N=18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mi&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>nMi&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S sleep&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>5×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.027&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (deep)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>2×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>.028&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.017&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>.959 ns&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.55 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>.05 ns</td>
<td>.005 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mi&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>nMi&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S sleep</td>
<td>3×10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>3×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>4×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>.03&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>8×10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>4×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.004&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>.855 ns</td>
<td>.965 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>5×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>.006&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 9</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mi&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>nMi&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S sleep</td>
<td>1×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>1×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.002&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>3×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
<td>2×10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>.002&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.005&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (deep)</td>
<td>.29 ns</td>
<td>.62 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS (shallow)</td>
<td>.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.017&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mi: mindfulness group.  
<sup>b</sup>nMi: nonmindfulness group.  
<sup>c</sup>Total: total sleep time.  
<sup>d</sup>S sleep: sleep with sympathetic nerve dominance.  
<sup>e</sup><i>P</i><.01.  
<sup>f</sup>PS (shallow): shallow sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance.  
<sup>g</sup><i>P</i><.05.  
<sup>h</sup>PS (deep): deep sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance.  
<sup>i</sup>ns: not significant.
Cardiac Potential Measurement

In this study, the LH values, HF values, and LF/HF ratios of the Mi and nMi groups were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and Q-Q plots, and they followed a normal distribution.

Figure 3 shows an example of the time course of autonomic balance in LF and HF values and sleep time in each sleep stage, where PS sleep (deep) was observed immediately after sleep onset.

Table 3 shows the results of the 1-way ANOVA comparing the LH and HF values and the LF/HF ratio for each experimental day and Bonferroni correction. The threshold for the Bonferroni correction was set at $\alpha/k$, where $\alpha$ is the significance level, and $k$ is the number of comparisons. When multiple comparisons and 1-way ANOVA were conducted, and the threshold for Bonferroni correction was set to $\alpha/3$, the results using multiple comparisons and Bonferroni correction were also significantly different, consistent with the original results.

The results of the 1-way ANOVA and $t$ test for the mean LH value, HF value, and LF/HF ratio are shown in Figures 4A-4C.

Figure 3. Example of the autonomic balance in LF and HF values and the chronological changes in each sleep stage. HF: high frequency; LF: low frequency; PS sleep (deep): deep sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance; PS sleep (shallow): shallow sleep with parasympathetic nerve dominance; S sleep: sleep with sympathetic nerve dominance.
Table 3. Results of multiple comparisons of LH\textsuperscript{a} values, HF\textsuperscript{b} values, and LF/HF ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mi\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>nMi\textsuperscript{d}</th>
<th></th>
<th>Bonferroni</th>
<th></th>
<th>Bonferroni</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Bonferroni</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Bonferroni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>2\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td>5\times10^{-7}e</td>
<td>15\times10^{-6}f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>2\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td>1\times10^{-4}e</td>
<td>4\times10^{-3}f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>2\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>15\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>2\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>15\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>2\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>2\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td>5\times10^{-9}e</td>
<td>15\times10^{-8}f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>.996</td>
<td>2.988</td>
<td>.032f</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>.051</td>
<td>2\times10^{-1}</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>2.429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>.039f</td>
<td>1\times10^{-1}h</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}LF: low frequency.  
\textsuperscript{b}HF: high frequency.  
\textsuperscript{c}Mi: mindfulness group.  
\textsuperscript{d}nMi: nonmindfulness group.  
\textsuperscript{e}P < .01.  
\textsuperscript{f}P < .003.  
\textsuperscript{g}P < .05.  
\textsuperscript{h}P < .017.
Discussion

Sleep Assessment Using a Questionnaire After Mindfulness Practice

This study analyzed the impact of mindful breathing exercises using a tablet device. The questionnaire had a low valid response rate (55.6%). However, of the participants who answered the questionnaire, 100% (n=18) answered that mindfulness meditation affected their sleep quality. In their free-text responses, participants stated that they awoke feeling refreshed and calmer, which made it easier to sleep, and felt that they slept better. Mindfulness is effective against insomnia and mental illness [35]. The results of a subjective evaluation of only those who performed mindful breathing exercises suggested that these exercises not only improved sleep quality but also had a positive effect on mood after waking and falling asleep.

Sleep Duration

The results of a t test comparing sleep duration and each sleep stage (S sleep, PS sleep [shallow], and PS sleep [deep]) showed no significant differences between the groups. This suggests that no significant difference exists in sleep duration between the groups.

Significant differences were found between the mean values of total sleep time and each sleep stage (S sleep, PS sleep [shallow], and PS sleep [deep]) of the groups on each experimental day. When each sleep stage was compared, significant differences were found in the duration of the sleep stages in both groups. Based on the results of this multiple comparison of the 2 groups, it is unclear from the comparison of the total sleep time and time at each sleep stage on each experimental day whether mindful breathing techniques were influential. Future research could assess the impact of mindful breathing techniques by more closely controlling the conditions and situations during sleep.

Cardiac Potential Measurements

The LF values in the Mi group were significantly lower on days 5 and 10 than on day 1. In evaluating autonomic function using HRV, the LF component reflects sympathetic and parasympathetic activities [31,32]. Mindfulness training decreases the resting LF component [36]. This suggests that suppressing sympathetic activity and activating parasympathetic activity during sleep may be involved during the experiment in the MI group that implemented mindfulness. The LF values in the nMi group were significantly higher on day 5 and lower on day 9 than those on day 1. This suggests that the sympathetic activity during sleep in the nMi group fluctuated during the experiment.

The LF values of the Mi group exhibited a decreasing trend, whereas those of the nMi group fluctuated. This difference may
be owing to the difference in the intervention content between
the groups. This suggests that activating parasympathetic nerve
activity via mindful breathing exercises using the tablet device
led to a decrease in LF values.

HF values in the Mi group were significantly higher on day 1
than on days 5 and 9. As the HF component reflects
parasympathetic activity [32], parasympathetic activity was
dominant on days 5 and 9 compared with the HF values on day
1 in the Mi group. The HF, which indicates parasympathetic
activity, increases and affects HRV before and after mindfulness
training [36]. These results suggest that mindful breathing
exercises activate the parasympathetic system during sleep. The
nMi group showed significantly higher HF on day 1 than on
day 5 but significantly lower HF on day 1 than on day 9, suggesting fluctuations in parasympathetic activity [32]. This
suggests the nMi group showed increased parasympathetic
activity from days 1 to 5, followed by suppression on day 9.

The LF/HF ratio in the Mi group was significantly higher on
day 9 than on day 1. In contrast, the nMi group showed
significantly lower values on day 5 than on day 1. Autonomic
control is an interaction rather than the activity of a continuum
[37]. Implementing mindfulness leads to an increase in LF
power [38]. Implementing mindfulness should have an
attention-focusing and relaxation effect [10]. This suggests that
continuous mindful breathing practices may calm the mind,
alter the LF/HF ratio during sleep, and regulate the balance
between sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system
activities.

Limitations
This study has few limitations. First, the sample size for this
study was 9 for each group. This may have led to a decrease in
the statistical power of studies assessing autonomic function
during sleep. More reliable results can be obtained in the future
by expanding the sample size. Second, the HF and LF values
for the groups changed compared with those on day 1 but did
not remain constant during the experiment. Although some of
the implementation methods were standardized, such as the
conditions of the participants and operation using the tablet
terminal, a more detailed unification of the conditions is required
to clarify the characteristics of autonomic nervous activity.

Conclusions
The results of this study suggest that implementing mindfulness
leads to the simultaneous inhibition of parasympathetic activity
and an increase in sympathetic activity. Inhibiting sympathetic
activity may be reflected in the interaction between autonomic
functions. In the Mi group, we observed a suppression of
sympathetic activity in LF values and LF/HF ratios during the
experiment; in the Mi group, under the conditions of this study,
as hypothesized, implementing mindful breathing exercises
using the tablet device reduced cardiac potentials, an indicator
of autonomic function, has shown a change over time. These
results suggest that in the Mi group, mindful breathing
exercises may influence HRV indices during sleep. Future
research with larger sample sizes and long-term follow-ups
could further validate these findings and inform targeted
interventions for sleep-related issues.
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Abstract

Background: Health care is highly complex and can be both emotionally and physically challenging. This can lead health care workers to develop compassion fatigue and burnout (BO), which can negatively affect their well-being and patient care. Higher levels of resilience can potentially prevent compassion fatigue and BO. Strategies that enhance resilience include gratitude, exercise, and mindfulness.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to determine if a 3-week daily resiliency practice, prompted via a gratitude, exercise, and mindfulness smartphone app, impacted the professional quality of life, physical activity, and happiness level of health care workers in a newborn intensive care unit setting.

Methods: In total, 65 participants from a level III newborn intensive care unit at a regional hospital in the western United States completed this study. The Professional Quality of Life Scale, Physical Activity Vital Sign, and Subjective Happiness Score instruments were used to evaluate the effects of the mobile health (mHealth) intervention. Further, 2-tailed dependent paired t tests were used to evaluate participant pre- and postintervention instrument scores. Multiple imputation was used to predict scores of participants who practiced an intervention but did not complete the 3 instruments post intervention.

Results: Dependent t tests using the original data showed that participants, as a whole, significantly improved in BO ($t_{35}=2.30, P=.03$), secondary trauma stress (STS; $t_{35}=2.11, P=.04$), and happiness ($t_{35}=-3.72, P<.001$) scores. Compassion satisfaction (CS; $t_{35}=-1.94, P=.06$) and exercise ($t_{35}=-1.71, P=.10$) were trending toward, but did not reach, significance. Using the original data, only the gratitude intervention group experienced significant improvements (CS, BO, and happiness), likely due to the higher number of participants in this group. Analysis using imputed data showed that participants, as a whole, had significant improvements in CS ($t_{64}=-4.08, P<.001$), BO ($t_{64}=3.39, P=.001$), STS ($t_{64}=4.08, P<.001$), exercise ($t_{64}=-3.19, P=.002$), and happiness ($t_{64}=-3.99, P<.001$). Looking at the intervention groups separately using imputed data, the gratitude group had significant improvements in CS, BO, STS, and happiness; the exercise group had significant improvements in STS and exercise; and the mindfulness group had significant improvements in CS and happiness.

Conclusions: Phone app delivery of resilience-enhancing interventions is a potentially effective intervention model for health care workers. Potential barriers to mHealth strategies are the technical issues that can occur with this type of intervention. Additional longitudinal and experimental studies with larger sample sizes need to be completed to better evaluate this modality.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e54561) doi:10.2196/54561
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Introduction

Background
Being a nurse is physically and emotionally demanding. Enormous pressure, including overwork, frustration, isolation, and exhaustion from long shifts cause stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms among health care workers. These psychological stressors not only affect health care workers’ ability to provide competent care but could also have a lasting impact on overall well-being [1]. Compassion fatigue (CF), burnout (BO), and high stress are conditions that can become overwhelming burdens and can cause physical, mental, and emotional difficulties [2,3]. For example, nurses affected by CF may experience dissatisfaction with care, decreased empathy, intolerance to patients, medical mistakes, and leaving the profession [4]. CF and BO may cause a wide range of physical, emotional, and work-related problems that affect both the caregiver and the patient. Medication errors and overall patient safety perceived by nurses have been linked to CF and BO [5]. Nurses who exhibit these negative characteristics may reduce the quality of care, patient safety perceptions, and unit-level safety perceptions [6]. Additionally, elevated levels of BO have been associated with patient dissatisfaction and patient safety concerns [7]. Therefore, it is imperative to address BO and CF as they impact health care workers, patients, and health care systems.

Some nurses are naturally resilient and adapt to stressful work experiences well. Others struggle and strain and may benefit from positive psychology interventions that help build resilience. Resilience is a psychological attribute that is not only intrinsic but can also be learned. It has been recognized as one of the most critical factors in maintaining mental health and psychological well-being among health care workers [8]. Moreover, nurses with high psychological resilience can overcome adversity and adapt to pressures at work [9]. Research supports that individuals can improve their levels of happiness with various emotional management skills [10]. The focus of this study was to empower health care workers to learn skills that may help them cope with working in a high-stress, emotional environment.

Strategies
Self-care strategies can improve the personal and professional quality of life (ProQOL) of nurses and other health care staff. Further, 3 common interventions to help enhance happiness and resiliency levels include gratitude, exercise, and mindfulness.

First, the simple act of purposefully and consistently expressing gratitude has been found to help people have a greater sense of social cohesion, health, and wellness and is critical to improving well-being [11]. Researchers have known for a long time that expressing gratitude positively affects an individual’s ability to cope and adapt to challenging circumstances [12]. Recent studies reaffirm that gratitude journals can help nurses by improving stress management skills and providing an outlet for self-reflection [13]. Not only does expressing gratitude have a profound effect on the individuals exercising it, but it also influences the people around that individual. Grateful people also improve the environment for others, effectively improving group happiness levels [14].

Second, the positive effects of physical activity on mental health are well-established. Research since the 1990s has established exercise as a simple and effective way to improve many aspects of mental health [15]. Health care givers benefit from exercise as they deal with work stress. Lack of regular exercise may predict the intention to leave work [16]. Recent evidence suggests physical activity can come in various forms, such as daily walks through a hospital garden during work breaks [17] or more structured exercise protocols as part of resilience training [18].

Third, nurses can directly benefit from learning and implementing stress management techniques. Mindfulness through guided meditation is 1 method that has a positive impact [19]. Mindfulness has been shown to help individuals become more self-aware, more open, more accepting of difficult situations, and have more peace. All of these qualities are essential in the prevention of stress and CF in health care workers. Mindfulness is an effective intervention to help improve the ProQOL of health care workers and, because of the link between BO and patient care, may result in more compassionate and patient-centered care [20].

Delivery of resiliency practices is traditionally done during in-person training. However, mHealth delivery of resiliency practices is another option that is effective in many areas including improving mental health and reducing BO in health care professionals [21]. The purpose of this study was to determine if a 3-week daily resiliency practice, prompted via a gratitude, exercise, and mindfulness smartphone app (GEM app), impacted ProQOL, physical activity, and the happiness level of health care workers in a newborn intensive care unit (NICU) setting.

Methods

Ethical Considerations
The Intermountain Healthcare’s institutional review board completed and approved the human subjects research ethics review (1051038). Participation was voluntary and participants could withdraw at any time. Compensation of US $25 to a web-based shopping site was given to any participant who completed the prestudy questionnaires. Participants who also completed the poststudy questionnaires were entered into a drawing for a US $100 spa gift card. Participants were assigned...
code numbers for all data entry purposes. The participant-to-code number list was then destroyed after all study data had been collected. For participant protection, quantitative data are reported in aggregate.

Sample and Setting
Researchers partnered with a level III, 55-bed NICU at a large local hospital. Institutional review board approval was granted by the hospital system. The NICU had an estimated 160 staff members, counting full-time, part-time, and per diem staff. All adults aged 18 years and older who worked in the NICU with a smartphone compatible with the app were eligible to be in this study. G*Power (version 3.1.9.2; Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf) [22] was used to estimate a sufficient sample size for using dependent paired $t$ tests, assuming 2-tailed significance, with 80% power and $\alpha$ of .05 with effect size of 0.5. With these assumptions, and treating each group separately, 34 participants were needed for each intervention.

Data Collection
Recruitment and data collection occurred over 3 months. Before distributing the app, research staff attended several staff meetings to introduce this study to potential participants. Recruitment flyers were posted on the unit with a QR code to download the GEM app. All NICU employees received an email that described this study and included a link to download the iPhone or Android version of the GEM app. Potential participants downloaded the phone app and provided informed consent via the app. After providing consent, study participants completed basic demographic questions and 3 instruments: ProQOL scale, Physical Activity Vital Sign (PAVS), and Subjective Happiness Score (SHS). The GEM app then directed participants to choose 1 of 3 evidence-based resilience interventions: a daily gratitude journal, regular exercise, or mindfulness meditation. The GEM app provided participants daily notification reminders, at a time set by each participant on the app, to engage in their chosen resilience intervention. Participants charted daily completion of their chosen intervention in the GEM app. After 21 days, participants were then prompted to complete the ProQOL, PAVS, and SHS instruments again. The GEM app securely sent all data to a private database maintained by the hospital system.

Instruments

About ProQOL
ProQOL was used to measure both the negative and positive effects of helping others who experience suffering and trauma. ProQOL consists of 30 questions separated into 3 subscales compassion satisfaction (CS), BO, and secondary trauma stress (STS). STS is a measure for CF. The reliability of ProQOL is well-documented and has established its reliability with previous research. It reports a Cronbach score ranging from .71 to .88 [23].

About PAVS
PAVS is a validated tool that uses self-reporting to measure exercise levels [24]. PAVS consists of only two questions: (1) on average, how many days per week do you engage in moderate to strenuous physical activity (like a brisk walk)? and (2) on average, for how many minutes do you participate in physical activity at this level? Responses to these questions are then multiplied together to get an estimate of the total number of minutes of physical activity for an average week. Lower scores on the PAVS have been correlated with higher BMI and disease burden [25].

About SHS
SHS is a 4-item self-rated measure of general happiness. This instrument has good internal consistency with a Cronbach score ranging from .79 to .94. Test-retest reliability ranged from 0.55 to 0.90, and this instrument was found to have good construct validity [26].

Data Analysis
Demographics were analyzed using simple descriptive statistics with univariate analysis. Dependent paired $t$ tests were done to determine whether the individual interventions impacted participant ProQOL, PAVS, and SHS scores. As this was a pilot study, we did not expect to be fully powered. Thus, missing instrument data were handled using multiple imputations and analyses using original data and imputed data were compared.

Results
Demographics
In total, 83 participants downloaded the GEM app and consented to participate in this study. Of those who consented, 65 participants completed their demographics, the 3 instruments, and selected an intervention. After this study, 29 participants did not fully complete the 3 instruments. More participants chose the gratitude intervention (n=32, 49%) than exercise (n=14, 22%) or mindfulness (n=19, 29%). All participants selecting an intervention were women and averaged 1.0 year (SD 8.8 years) of experience on the unit. On average, participants completed their daily intervention on 15.4 out of 21 (73%) days (Table 1).
Table 1. Demographics and study characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Participants, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratitude</td>
<td>32 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>14 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>19 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>65 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit position</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered nurse</td>
<td>55 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health unit coordinator</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical care technician</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational therapist</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (y), mean (SD, minimum-maximum)</strong></td>
<td>37.9 (10.9, 22-61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years working in the unit, mean (SD, minimum-maximum)</strong></td>
<td>1.0 (8.8, 0-39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of days doing intervention, mean (SD, minimum-maximum)</strong></td>
<td>15.4 (7.7, 1-21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attrition and Missing Data**

A high number of participants dropped out after downloading the app (21.7%). As this was a pilot study, the decision was made to compare the original data to an imputed data set as an estimate if participants had completed all questionnaires. SPSS (version 25; IBM Corp) was used to perform multiple imputation, using 5 iterations and auto method, on the 29 (44%) participants who practiced an intervention but did not fully complete the 3 instruments on follow-up at the end of this study. Dependent t tests were conducted on both the original and the imputed data (Table 2).
Table 2. Instrument scores by group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Original data</th>
<th>Multiple imputation data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participants, n (SD)</td>
<td>Post mean (SD) 2-tailed t test (df) P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre mean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post mean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratitude group</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. satis.</td>
<td>38.8 (4.6) 40.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>−2.40 (17) .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>24.3 (5.4) 21.9 (5.6)</td>
<td>2.12 (17) .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2° trauma</td>
<td>23.2 (5.6) 21.6 (5.2)</td>
<td>1.10 (17) .29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAVS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min/wk</td>
<td>86.9 (87.8) 117.5 (147.5)</td>
<td>−1.04 (17) .32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>4.8 (1.3) 5.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>−4.04 (17) &lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise group</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. satis.</td>
<td>45.0 (4.4) 44.7 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.16 (6) .88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>19.7 (4.6) 19.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.00 (6) 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2° trauma</td>
<td>22.4 (6.0) 19.1 (6.1)</td>
<td>2.33 (6) .06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAVS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min/wk</td>
<td>74.3 (65.2) 125.7 (51.3)</td>
<td>−1.78 (6) .13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>5.5 (0.9) 5.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.00 (6) 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness group</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. satis.</td>
<td>36.7 (5.4) 38.0 (5.9)</td>
<td>−1.21 (10) .26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>27.5 (6.2) 25.8 (5.5)</td>
<td>1.27 (10) .23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2° trauma</td>
<td>28.1 (7.2) 26.2 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.98 (10) .35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAVS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min/wk</td>
<td>90.5 (113.9) 10.0 (122.4)</td>
<td>−0.55 (10) .59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>4.2 (1.2) 4.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>−1.75 (10) .11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, all groups</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. satis.</td>
<td>39.4 (5.6) 40.5 (6.2)</td>
<td>−1.94 (35) .06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>24.4 (6.0) 22.7 (5.9)</td>
<td>2.30 (35) .03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2° trauma</td>
<td>24.5 (6.5) 22.5 (6.4)</td>
<td>2.11 (35) .04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAVS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dependent intervention. Of those 19, 11 had complete pre- and postdata. Further, 19 participants selected the daily mindfulness exercise (imputed data increased the sample size to 14. This resulted in significant improvements in ST (t13=−2.32, P<.03). Exercise was trending toward, but did not reach significance (t18=−1.92, P=.07; Table 2).

**Overall Scores**

In total, 36 participants had fully complete pre- and postdata in this study. Dependent t tests using the original data showed that this group significantly improved their BO (t35=2.30, P=.03), STS (t35=2.11, P=.04), and happiness (t35=−3.72, P<.01) scores. CS (t35=−1.94, P=.06) and exercise (t35=−1.71, P=.10) were trending toward, but did not reach significance. Using the imputed data increased the usable sample size to 65. Analysis of this imputed data showed that all areas had significant improvement (Table 2).

**Gratitude**

In total, 32 participants selected the daily gratitude intervention. Of those 32, 18 had complete pre- and postdata. Dependent t tests using the original data showed this group significantly improved their CS (t31=−2.14, P=.05), BO (t31=2.12, P=.05), and happiness (t31=−4.04, P<.001) scores. Using the imputed data increased the sample size to 32. CS (t31=−3.88, P<.001), BO (t31=2.99, P=.005), ST (t31=2.68, P=.01), and happiness (t31=−3.13, P=.004) all significantly improved. Exercise was trending toward, but did not reach, significance (t31=−1.81, P=.08; see Table 2).

**Exercise**

Further, 14 participants selected the daily exercise intervention. Of those 14, 7 had complete pre- and postdata. Dependent t tests using the original data showed this group did not significantly change any of their scores on ProQOL, PAVS, or SHS. Using the imputed data increased the sample size to 19. This resulted in significant improvements in CS (t18=−2.57, P=.02) and happiness (t18=−2.32, P=.03). Exercise was trending toward, but did not reach, significance (t18=−1.92, P=.07; Table 2).

**Effect Sizes**

Cohen $d$ was calculated using original and imputed data to estimate the effect size of the GEM app as a whole (combining all intervention group data into one). Using the original data, effect sizes were generally in the low-to-medium range (CS=0.32, BO=0.38, STS=0.35, PAVS=0.29) with one in the medium-to-high range (SHS=0.62). Estimating the effect size using imputed data increased across most measures (CS=0.51, BO=0.42, STS=0.51, PAVS=0.40) except one (SHS=0.49).

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

Overall, this pilot study found that resiliency interventions delivered via mHealth technology are a promising way to improve the well-being of health care workers. When considering only participants who had complete pre- and postdata, only the gratitude intervention group had significant findings (CS, BO, and happiness). When analyzing the imputed data, all intervention groups had significant findings, with the gratitude group experiencing the most significant improvements. It is possible the higher number of participants contributed to the more significant findings of this group. Conversely, the exercise intervention group had the least number of participants. Further, one reason this group may have had fewer numbers is because of the perceived difficulty in comparison to other choices. This would resemble a similar experience by Torquati et al [27] who found nurses were more likely to focus on improving dietary choices rather than physical activity. Despite the lower numbers, those in the exercise group likely made an appropriate choice given that this group had lower average moderate-to-vigorous physical activity at baseline (74.3 min/wk).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Original data</th>
<th>Multiple imputation data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participants, n</td>
<td>Pre mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min/wk</td>
<td>113.8 (124.1)</td>
<td>−1.71 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS</td>
<td>4.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The $t$ test was 2-tailed.
$^b$ProQOL: Professional Quality of Life instrument with subscales of compassion satisfaction, burnout, and secondary trauma stress.
$^c$Comp. satis.: compassion satisfaction.
$^d$STS: secondary trauma stress.
$^e$PAVS: Physical Activity Vital Sign instrument.
$^f$MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, measured in minutes per week.
$^g$SHS: Subjective Happiness Scale.
This study strengthens the research that novel delivery of resilience practices is acceptable to clients and can be used to benefit workers in the high-stress health care environment. For example, Rao and Kemper [28] found that 1-time delivered online training modules for health care workers were well-received and were related to improved gratitude and compassion. Longer-term delivery can also be successful. In another study, a smartphone-delivered mindfulness practice over 3 months provided some benefit to novice nurses over in-person training [29]. Delivery of resilience practices can also be successfully implemented in other ways. Using gamification and positive competition to recognize the good work of fellow health care coworkers improved gratitude and Press Ganey scores over 18 months [30]. Torquati et al [27] found some success in implementing a phone app combined with a Facebook group to motivate nurses to make positive dietary and physical activity changes over 3 months. While dietary changes were significantly improved, physical activity significantly worsened.

Participants reported that trying to improve 2 behaviors at the same time was difficult. In the present study, participants could only choose 1 of the 3 resiliency interventions to follow. As the GEM app improves and research moves beyond the pilot stage, assessing if having multiple intervention offerings is counterproductive will be important to evaluate. Currently, adaptations are already being made to use the GEM app in different contexts, such as for patients who have traumatic brain injury and with millennial caregivers [31]. Researchers considering using mHealth to enhance their studies and improve client outcomes must realize and account for setbacks in preparation and implementation. Development of the GEM app took over double the anticipated amount of time to complete. Further, until recently, most app development had to be done separately for Apple iOS and Android. Now, common programming languages are appearing that make developing for both platforms at the same time possible. This also allows for apps to appear the same on both platforms. Since this was not a possibility when the GEM app was developed, the Apple iOS and Android apps differed in their appearance. The research team attended several staff meetings, posted flyers with QR codes that enabled participants to directly download the app to their phones, and were present in the unit break room at shift change for several days. Despite this preparation, multiple participants struggled with getting started. Having a reassigned research team member providing technical support and establishing an “app support email” was helpful, but this did not resolve all participant issues with the GEM app. Technical issues like this may have contributed to the number of participants who did not fully complete this study.

Imputing data is 1 way to “fill in the gaps” and give a statistical estimation. Although imputing less than 40% is optimal [32], some variables in this study needed up to 44.6% imputation. While this statistical procedure is acceptable for making estimations in a pilot study, going forward we will need to implement strategies to reduce the likelihood of needing this and increase power through adequate participants.

**Conclusion**

The purpose of this study was to teach nurses, and other health care staff, evidence-based self-care interventions. Overall, the 3-week daily resiliency practice delivered via the GEM app seemed to positively impact several well-being aspects in NICU health care workers. Practicing these evidence-based interventions can help individuals in similar high-stress work environments experience greater levels of well-being and resilience. While there are multiple positive studies demonstrating the benefits of these self-care interventions, many health care workers do not regularly practice them. This study shows the potential use of mHealth strategies to deliver and develop resiliency habits, such as through the GEM app. Enhancing health care staff resilience can reduce their risk for BO and improve well-being, while also potentially improving the quality of care provided to patients.
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Abstract

Background: Mobile health (mHealth) is increasingly used to support public health practice, as it has positive benefits such as enhancing self-efficacy and facilitating chronic disease management. Yet, relatively few studies have explored the use of mHealth apps among nurses, despite their important role in caring for patients with and at risk of chronic conditions.

Objective: The aim of the study is to explore nurses’ use of mHealth apps to support adults with or at risk of chronic conditions and understand the factors that influence technology adoption.

Methods: A web-based cross-sectional survey was conducted between September 2022 and January 2023. The survey was shared via social media and professional nursing organizations to Australian nurses caring for adults with or at risk of chronic conditions.

Results: A total of 158 responses were included in the analysis. More than two-thirds (n=108, 68.4%) of respondents reported that they personally used at least 1 mHealth app. Over half (n=83, 52.5% to n=108, 68.4%) reported they use mHealth apps at least a few times a month for clinical purposes. Logistic regression demonstrated that performance expectancy (P=.04), facilitating condition (P=.05), and personal use of mHealth apps (P=.05) were significantly associated with mHealth app recommendation. In contrast, effort expectancy (P=.09) and social influence (P=.46) did not have a significant influence on whether respondents recommended mHealth apps to patients. The inability to identify the quality of mHealth apps and the lack of access to mobile devices or internet were the most common barriers to mHealth app recommendation.

Conclusions: While nurses use mHealth apps personally, there is potential to increase their clinical application. Given the challenges reported in appraising and assessing mHealth apps, app regulation and upskilling nurses will help to integrate mHealth apps into usual patient care.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e57668) doi:10.2196/57668
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Introduction

Chronic conditions account for 74% of all deaths globally [1]. Approximately 46% to 53% of adults in high-income countries have at least 1 chronic condition [2,3]. The high prevalence of chronic conditions contributes significantly to premature morbidity and mortality as well as poor quality of life [1,4]. Chronic conditions are also linked to high health care costs and resource consumption [5]. Self-management is a key strategy shown to improve outcomes and quality of life [6]. The growth of technology has changed how people can be supported to
self-manage their chronic conditions [6,7]. Mobile health (mHealth) apps are an example of such technology.

In recent years, the use of mHealth apps has increased widely, driven by a global exponential increase in internet access, mobile phone ownership, and other smart technologies, such as wearables such as smartwatch and fitness trackers. It is estimated that in 2021, more than 350,000 mHealth apps were available from the Apple Store and Google Play [8]. Almost half of these apps were for chronic conditions, such as mental health, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease [8]. Apps offer a wide range of functionalities, including medication calculation, symptom monitoring and tracking, health data collection and monitoring, and access to health information [9]. Such functionality has widespread application and use in a range of chronic conditions.

Previous studies have suggested that mHealth apps could improve self-efficacy and adoption of healthy behaviors and empower individuals to engage more strongly in self-management [10,11]. The easy accessibility of mHealth apps makes them a viable adjunct to traditional health care by facilitating communication between patients and health care providers, especially when face-to-face visits are inaccessible [12]. The popularity of these apps was evident through the significant increase in downloads of exercise, mental health, and blood pressure management mHealth apps during the COVID-19 pandemic [8].

Despite the potential value of mHealth apps to improve patient care and health outcomes, the often slow progress of trials, along with the rapid changes in innovations, features, and functions of mHealth apps, make it difficult to keep the evidence up to date with the technology [13]. Additionally, mHealth apps need to be embedded in clinical practice to fully realize their efficacy [14]. While the role of mHealth apps in usual clinical practice is not well understood [15], a likely key to their maximum efficacy is uptake and engagement by the health care professionals providing care [16].

The unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) was used to guide this study in terms of understanding clinician engagement. The UTAUT is based on the assumption that there are 3 direct determinants of intention to use (performance expectancy, effort expectancy, and social influence) and 2 direct determinants of usage behavior (intention to use and facilitating condition) [17,18]. In total, 4 factors (gender, age, experience, and voluntariness of use) act as moderators and key features of the UTAUT [17,18]. These key principles guided the survey design.

Previous studies have explored the adoption of mHealth apps among health professionals such as doctors, pharmacists, and dieticians [9,19,20]. Despite nursing being the largest single health care profession globally [21] and being involved in delivering many interventions to support self-management, relatively few studies have explored the use of mHealth apps among nurses [19]. Previous studies of nurses have reported that the use of mHealth apps is relatively low, despite many nurses identifying that they are useful [22]. de Jong et al [23] report that nurses mainly use mHealth apps for checking medication information, reviewing laboratory tests, and communicating with other health care professionals and patients. However, being distracted by using their smartphone, concerns that patients might have negative feelings regarding device use, privacy, data security concerns, and lack of organizational support are perceived by nurses as key barriers to mHealth app use [23]. Gaining insight into nurses’ engagement with the rapid advances of mHealth apps will help empower them to get the maximum benefit of such advances. This has the potential to enhance patient care, strengthen self-management support, and optimize health outcomes.

**Methods**

**Objectives**

This paper seeks to explore Australian nurses’ use of mHealth apps to support adults with or at risk of chronic conditions and understand the factors that influence technology adoption.

**Design**

A web-based cross-sectional survey was conducted between September 2022 and January 2023 as the first phase of a concurrent mixed methods study. The second phase consisted of semistructured interviews with a subgroup of survey respondents. Given the depth of the data and the different foci, these interview data are reported separately.

**Respondents**

Diploma-prepared enrolled nurses, baccalaureate-prepared registered nurses, and master’s-prepared nurse practitioners who identified as caring for adults with or at risk of chronic conditions across Australia were eligible to participate. Respondents were recruited through social media (Facebook and Twitter) and professional nursing organizations, such as the Australian College of Nursing, the Australasian Cardiovascular Nursing College, and the Australian Primary Health Care Nurses Association. Social media posts provided brief study information and the survey link. Follow-up and reminder posts were made at regular intervals [24]. Professional organizations advertised the study either via their email lists, social media pages, newsletters, or electronic communications.

**Data Collection**

The survey was web-based and delivered via REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture; Vanderbilt University) [25]. The survey tool was developed by the research team based on expert knowledge and previous studies [9,20,22]. It consisted of 3 sections. The first section collected demographic and professional characteristics, including employment setting, age, gender, professional designation, work experience, location, education, clinical role, and specialty area.

The second section explored the use of mHealth apps for professional and clinical purposes. This section was based on previous surveys [9,20,22] and explored mHealth apps that are used by nurses for personal purposes, how respondents used mHealth apps for professional purposes, and whether respondents were asked to or recommended mHealth apps to patients.
The last section comprised a 38-item scale devised by Lim et al [20] (used with author permission). The first 19 items addressed factors influencing the adoption of mHealth apps in clinical work and the other 19 items addressed factors that affect the prescription of mHealth apps for patients. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale, from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree. These items are based on the 4 constructs of the UTAUT, namely, performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, and facilitating conditions [17] (Table S1 in Multimedia Appendix 1).

Validity and Reliability
The survey was assessed for face validity by a convenience sample of 5 nurses before dissemination. These nurses had expertise in survey design and the use of technology in health care and chronic conditions. The feedback they provided was used to amend the wording and format of the tool.

Lim et al [20] demonstrated acceptable reliability for the 38-item scale, with Cronbach $\alpha$ for each construct being 0.67-0.89. In this study, the Cronbach $\alpha$ for each construct was between 0.69 and 0.93, which indicates good reliability (Table S1 in Multimedia Appendix 1).

Ethical Considerations
The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Wollongong (approval 2022/202) in July 2022. Respondents were provided with an information sheet at the commencement of the survey and asked to check a box if they consented to participate. Participants were free to withdraw participation at any time during the survey, which can be done by ceasing the survey. However, once the survey was submitted the participants were not able to withdraw their responses as the data generated was deidentified. Any contact details that were provided in the survey were separated prior to analysis. Two AUD $100 vouchers were allocated to randomly selected participants who responded to the survey and were willing to be included in the prize draw. The value of the prize vouchers was sufficient to incentivize participation by compensating respondents for their time without being an inducement.

Data Analysis
The data were exported from REDCap into SPSS (version 28; IBM Corp) for analysis. Records were considered incomplete if more than half of the survey was not completed. Incomplete records were removed before analysis. Where less than half of the data were missing, the response was included, and the data were categorized as missing in the analysis.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic and professional characteristics [26]. Age data were grouped based on standardized generational groups [27]. Logistic regression, using factors from the literature, was used to identify the factors that influence the adoption of mHealth apps. $P<.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Respondents’ Characteristics
Although 207 nurses responded to the survey, 49 (23.7%) surveys were incomplete and so were excluded. Therefore, 158 (76.3%) responses were included in the analysis. Most respondents were female (n=134, 84.8%), had completed a graduate diploma and above (n=112, 70.8%), and worked in a metropolitan area (n=100, 63.3%; Table 1). Slightly less than half of respondents (n=76, 48.1%) were from Generation X (43-58 years).
Table 1. Personal and professional characteristics (n=158).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>46.7 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millennials (26-42 years)</td>
<td>50 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation X (43-58 years)</td>
<td>76 (48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boomer (59-73 years)</td>
<td>20 (12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>12 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma or bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>46 (29.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate certificate or diploma</td>
<td>49 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>56 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD degree</td>
<td>7 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>92 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>26 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>14 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>9 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Capital Territory</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Territory</td>
<td>4 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work location, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan or urban</td>
<td>100 (63.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural or regional</td>
<td>45 (28.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote area</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>8 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional designation, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered nurse</td>
<td>80 (50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical nurse consultant</td>
<td>25 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical nurse specialist</td>
<td>17 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse practitioner</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical nurse educator</td>
<td>7 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled nurse</td>
<td>6 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse manager</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple roles</td>
<td>14 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic condition, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>55 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>30 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple chronic conditions</td>
<td>18 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>16 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic disease</td>
<td>13 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health condition</td>
<td>10 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of the 158 respondents, half (n=80, 50.6%) were registered nurses, with a further 33.6% (n=53) employed in advanced practice roles (eg, clinical nurse consultant, clinical nurse specialist, and nurse practitioner). Slightly less than a third (n=50, 31.7%) of respondents had worked in nursing for 20 years or less. Some (n=55, 34.8%) respondents primarily cared for patients with cardiovascular diseases, and 41.1% (n=65) provided chronic episodic care. Only 31% (n=51) of respondents worked in an acute hospital setting.

**Personal mHealth App Use**

Of the 158 respondents, most (n=108, 68.4%) reported that they personally used at least 1 mHealth app. The most popular mHealth apps used by respondents were physical activity trackers (n=77, 48.8%), mindfulness and meditation apps (n=45, 28.5%), symptom trackers (n=37, 23.5%), and diet trackers (n=34, 21.5%).

Personal use of mHealth apps was significantly associated with both age and gender (Table 2). Generation X (43-58 years) used mHealth apps 3 times more than boomers (59-73 years; $P=.04$), while millennials (22-42 years) used mHealth apps 5 times more than boomers ($P=.008$). Female respondents were twice as likely to use mHealth apps compared with male respondents ($P=.04$). Education and work location were not significantly associated with personal use of mHealth apps ($P>.05$).

**Table 2. Predictors of mHealth$^a$ app personal use.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>OR$^b$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millennials (22-42 years)</td>
<td>4.926 (1.524-15.920)</td>
<td>.01$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation X (43-58 years)</td>
<td>3.125 (1.069-9.135)</td>
<td>.04$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boomers (59-73 years)</td>
<td>1 (—$^d$)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (—)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>.341 (.122-.956)</td>
<td>.04$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>1 (—)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate</td>
<td>.998 (.417-2.389)</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote area</td>
<td>1 (—)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural or regional</td>
<td>.434 (.039-4.803)</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan or urban</td>
<td>.402 (.038-4.83)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$mHealth$: mobile health.

$OR$: odds ratio.

$^c$Significant values.

$^d$Reference group.

**mHealth App Use in Practice**

Of the 158 respondents, only 2.5% (n=4) reported not having internet access, and 7% (n=11) of respondents reported that internet access is not provided by their employer. For clinical purposes, over half of the respondents reported they use mHealth apps at least a few times a month to communicate with other health professionals or colleagues (n=108, 68.4%); get
information about medications or calculate dosages (n=99, 62.7%); access clinical guidelines, protocols, or reference sources (n=93, 58.9%); and interact with electronic medical records (n=83, 52.5%; Table 3).

Table 3. Clinical mHealth\textsuperscript{a} app uses (n=158).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How often do you use a mHealth app</th>
<th>Never, n (%)</th>
<th>Less than once a month, n (%)</th>
<th>A few times a month, n (%)</th>
<th>Few times a week, n (%)</th>
<th>At least once a day, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To access a scientific journal</td>
<td>38 (24.1)</td>
<td>45 (28.5)</td>
<td>40 (25.3)</td>
<td>23 (14.6)</td>
<td>12 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To access clinical guidelines, protocols, or reference sources</td>
<td>31 (19.6)</td>
<td>34 (21.5)</td>
<td>46 (29.1)</td>
<td>29 (18.4)</td>
<td>18 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get information about medications or calculate dosages</td>
<td>25 (15.8)</td>
<td>34 (21.5)</td>
<td>35 (22.2)</td>
<td>37 (23.4)</td>
<td>27 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To interact with electronic medical records</td>
<td>61 (38.6)</td>
<td>14 (8.9)</td>
<td>10 (6.3)</td>
<td>16 (10.1)</td>
<td>57 (36.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To communicate with other health professionals or colleagues</td>
<td>33 (20.9)</td>
<td>17 (10.8)</td>
<td>23 (14.6)</td>
<td>34 (21.5)</td>
<td>51 (32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To communicate with patients or their families</td>
<td>82 (51.9)</td>
<td>17 (10.8)</td>
<td>16 (10.1)</td>
<td>15 (9.5)</td>
<td>28 (17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To book a shift or manage your roster</td>
<td>70 (44.3)</td>
<td>16 (10.1)</td>
<td>25 (15.8)</td>
<td>28 (17.7)</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}mHealth: mobile health.

Respondents’ perceptions toward using mHealth apps in clinical practice were variable. Approximately one-third of the 158 respondents agreed that performing tasks on mHealth apps is easy (n=56, 35.4%), that mHealth apps facilitate clinical decision-making (n=51, 32.3%), and that they can control the use of mHealth apps (n=51, 32.3%). These items reflect effort expectancy, performance expectancy, and facilitating conditions, respectively. The social influence of using mHealth apps was generally low (n=10, 6.3% to n=40, 25.3%). Only 10.2% (n=16) of respondents thought that mHealth apps could improve the quality of care, and 13.9% (n=22) agreed that information from mHealth apps is up-to-date (see Table S1 in Multimedia Appendix 2 for additional details).

Table 4. Barriers to mHealth\textsuperscript{a} app recommendation (n=158).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Values, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not sure how to identify the quality of mHealth apps</td>
<td>65 (41.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of access to mobile device or internet</td>
<td>53 (33.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not confident in recommending mHealth apps</td>
<td>45 (28.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure if mHealth apps improve health outcomes</td>
<td>42 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern about the cost of apps</td>
<td>42 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients are not interested</td>
<td>38 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerns about liability if there are issues with using apps</td>
<td>31 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not think patients can use apps</td>
<td>30 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never crossed my mind</td>
<td>27 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerns about patient privacy</td>
<td>26 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in my scope of practice</td>
<td>16 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other barriers</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}mHealth: mobile health.

Factors that influence mHealth app recommendations were assessed based on the 4 constructs of the UTAUT. Slightly less than half of 158 respondents felt that mHealth apps could encourage patients to gain more health knowledge (n=77, 48.8%), and more than a third believed that mHealth apps improve chronic disease management (n=63, 39.9%) and patients’ health (n=59, 37.5%). These 3 items all reflect performance expectancy. The social influence items were
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perceived as the lowest, with only 10.8% (n=17) of respondents reporting that patients adhered to the mHealth apps that they recommended to them, 12% (n=19) of respondents thought that the organization has a plan to implement mHealth app use for patients, and 13.3% (n=21) of respondents believed that the organization supports mHealth app recommendations (see Table S2 in Multimedia Appendix 2 for additional details).

Logistic regression demonstrated that performance expectancy (P=.04), facilitating condition (P=.05), and personal use of mHealth apps (P=.05) were significantly associated with mHealth app recommendation (Table 5). In contrast, effort expectancy (P=.09) and social influence (P=.46) did not have a significant influence (P>.05) on whether respondents recommended mHealth apps to patients.

### Table 5. Predictors of mHealth<sup>a</sup> app recommendation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>OR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal use of mHealth app</td>
<td>2.668 (1.002-7.106)</td>
<td>.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance expectancy</td>
<td>2.384 (1.038-5.476)</td>
<td>.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort expectancy</td>
<td>.328 (.092-1.171)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social influence</td>
<td>1.553 (.481-5.014)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitating condition</td>
<td>3.743 (1.000-14.006)</td>
<td>.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>mHealth: mobile health.
<sup>b</sup>OR: odds ratio.
<sup>c</sup>Significant values.

## Discussion

### Principal Findings

This paper has explored the current use of mHealth apps among Australian nurses and the factors that influence technology adoption. Understanding the current situation regarding nurses' mHealth app use, preferences, and experiences given the recent rapid advancements in mHealth apps will inform future interventions, practices, and policies to support self-management for those living with chronic conditions. Strategies to empower nurses to maximize the benefit of mHealth apps will likely positively impact patient care and health outcomes [28].

Findings revealed that respondents' personal use of mHealth apps was similar to other health care providers, which ranged from 60% to 76% [29,30]. This highlights the widespread use and familiarity of health care providers with mHealth apps. It is noteworthy that, in this study, personal use of mHealth apps was found to be a significant predictor of their recommendation to patients. Other studies have also found that health professionals' personal use of mHealth apps significantly impacted their recommendations to patients [29,30]. The relationship between personal use and recommendation of mHealth apps suggests that it may be possible to leverage the pre-existing familiarity of health care providers with mHealth apps through workforce development [30]. This includes promoting the digital capabilities of nurses as a part of continuous professional development to adapt to a rapidly changing digital world [31]. In addition, encouraging knowledge-sharing and peer-to-peer learning can be a strategy to build digital literacy [32].

Despite the high personal use of mHealth apps, this study found that the inability to discern reliable apps and a lack of confidence in recommendations were the top barriers to mHealth app recommendations. Similar challenges were reported in previous studies, which reported unawareness of effective apps and sources to access them [9,22]. These barriers highlight the importance of mHealth app regulation, including involving the nurses in the whole process of mHealth app development [33], as well as the establishment of a rigorous framework for appraising mHealth apps, which could help nurses identify and differentiate high-quality apps for patient use [34]. On an individual level, Ferguson and Jackson [35] discussed criteria to evaluate app quality, and recently, more work has been done by the Australian Digital Health Agency to create a framework to help in the assessment of the quality and safety of mHealth apps [34]. This challenge is likely not confined to Australia. In their study of mHealth app regulation in 9 countries, Essén et al [36] found that all these countries have some initiatives, and despite the fact that the United Kingdom, Belgium, and Germany advanced in developing frameworks for app appraisal, they still struggle with implementation. Although the rapid developments in technology challenge policy makers and researchers, concerted efforts to create a unified and validated framework for app appraisal are still needed. Moreover, to maximize the benefit of such frameworks, nurses need to be provided with appropriate training to implement and use these frameworks in their practice [22].

Beyond the quality assessment frameworks to be used by individual clinicians, a further strategy to support app recommendation in clinical practice is a library that embraces safe and reliable apps and provides critical appraisals [9,22,37]. Regular reassessment of the quality of included apps is needed to keep such libraries up-to-date [34]. These measures could improve health care providers’ confidence in recommending apps, which ultimately will reflect on the quality of care provided to patients [9,22].

Other predictors of mHealth app recommendation in this study were performance expectancy and facilitating conditions. Consistent with Lim et al [20], performance expectancy was found in this study to be significantly associated with app
recommendation. Performance expectancy refers to the extent to which people believe that using technology will provide a gain in job performance [17]. Nurses’ beliefs about the importance of mHealth apps in the management of patient conditions could positively influence them to recommend these apps to patients. Based on these findings, providing nurses with reliable evidence about the efficacy of mHealth apps will likely increase their rate of recommendation to patients [20]. Facilitating conditions, which encompass self-control over using apps, data security, time, and app affordability to patients [17], were also found to be significantly associated with app recommendation. This is a significant finding for mHealth app developers to give more attention to the app design. Secure, reliable, and trusted apps, with free or minimal cost, are more likely to be recommended to patients [20].

Limitations
This is one of the few studies that has explored the adoption of mHealth apps among nurses and the factors that are associated with such adoption. However, there are some limitations to this study. Given the inherent low response rate in survey research and survey fatigue, the sample size was modest. However, to improve the response rate, evidence-based strategies were followed for recruitment [24]. Despite the modest size, the sample did provide a spread of respondents across demographic groups and clinical settings. Another limitation is the absence of a validated tool to explore the adoption of mHealth apps, so the previous literature acted as a guide for the development of the survey tool. Finally, a bias may exist, as the sample might not be representative of the broader population of nurses. As in most survey research, the respondents might be more interested in the survey topic than those who declined to respond.

Conclusions
Overall, this research demonstrated that many nurses use mHealth apps personally, which increases the likelihood of adopting them in clinical practice and fosters patients’ autonomy to self-manage their chronic conditions. However, given the large number of mHealth apps and the lack of regulation of these apps, nurses face challenges in integrating these apps into routine patient care. Targeting the barriers that nurses face would promote the integration of mHealth apps and harness their potential for the benefit of health care providers and patients. Nurses’ involvement in any proposed solutions is essential.
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Abstract

Background: As technology will continue to play a pivotal role in modern-day health care and given the potential impact on the nursing profession, it is vitally important to examine the types and features of digital health education in nursing so that graduates are better equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills needed to provide safe and quality nursing care and to keep abreast of the rapidly evolving technological revolution.

Objective: In this scoping review, we aimed to examine and report on available evidence about digital health education and training interventions for nursing students at the undergraduate and graduate levels.

Methods: This scoping review was conducted using the Joanna Briggs Institute methodological framework and the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews). A comprehensive search strategy was developed and applied to identified bibliographic databases including MEDLINE (Ovid; 1946 to present), Embase (Ovid; 1974 to present), CINAHL (EBSCOhost; 1936 to present), ERIC (EBSCOhost; 1966 to present), Education Research Complete (EBSCOhost; inception to present), and Scopus (1976 to present). The initial search was conducted on March 3, 2022, and updated searches were completed on January 11, 2023, and October 31, 2023. For gray literature sources, the websites of select professional organizations were searched to identify relevant digital health educational programs or courses available to support the health workforce development. Two reviewers screened and undertook the data extraction process. The review included studies focused on the digital health education of students at the undergraduate or graduate levels or both in a nursing program. Studies that discussed instructional strategies, delivery processes, pedagogical theory and frameworks, and evaluation strategies for digital health education; applied quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods; and were descriptive or discussion papers, with the exception of review studies, were included. Opinion pieces, editorials, and conference proceedings were excluded.

Results: A total of 100 records were included in this review. Of these, 94 records were identified from database searches, and 6 sources were identified from the gray literature. Despite improvements, there are significant gaps and limitations in the scope of digital health education at the undergraduate and graduate levels, consequently posing challenges for nursing students to develop competencies needed in modern-day nursing practice.

Conclusions: There is an urgent need to expand the understanding of digital health in the context of nursing education and practice and to better articulate its scope in nursing curricula and enforce its application across professional nursing practice roles at all levels and career trajectories. Further research is also needed to examine the impact of digital health education on improving patient outcomes, the quality of nursing care, and professional nursing role advancement.
Introduction

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) emphasized the important role of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in facilitating eHealth services and urged health systems to embrace emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics, considering their potential to radically change health outcomes. However, this requires intentional investments in people and processes as well as national-level strategies to realize the vision of a digitized health sector [1]. Correspondingly, as the largest group of health care professionals, “there is an urgent need for the nursing workforce to acquire the skills and competencies to deliver high-quality, safe, optimized person-centred care in a digital health environment and to lead and participate in digital health initiatives, decision-making, and evaluation” [2].

Digital health is a new and evolving term that is often used interchangeably with other terms including eHealth, mobile health, virtual care, and telehealth to name a few [3]. These terms have evolved over time and can be understood by examining the eras of the industrial revolution impacting society, including health care. During the periods from 1950 to 1960 (Mainframe Computer Era) and 1970 to 2000 (Health IT Era), technological development was in its infancy, as such health care systems focused on the basic use of IT systems to manage enterprise information and logistics. The eHealth Era (2000-2020) witnessed an expansive use of ICTs such as electronic health records (EHRs) and increased consumer engagement in decision-making and self-care through digital technologies such as apps and personal health records [3]. In this period, terms such as mHealth and eHealth were popular. Services such as telehealth were also available but mostly as specialized and organization-based platforms. Telehealth refers to the “delivery and facilitation of health and health-related services including medical care, provider and patient education, health information services, and self-care via telecommunications and digital communication technologies. Examples of the technologies used in telehealth include but are not limited to live video conferencing, mobile health apps, ‘store and forward’ electronic transmission, and remote patient monitoring” [4]. The period between 2020 and beyond marked the Digital Health Era, which is anticipated to revamp health care as a result of the integration of more sophisticated technologies including AI, robotics, machine learning, the Internet of Things, virtual reality, and wearables. These advancements are shifting the focus of health care from the provider to a person-centered model and creating opportunities to improve health services modalities, system performance, therapeutics and treatments, and all aspects of health care [3]. During this period, the term virtual care emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic. Virtual health denotes the facilitation of the delivery of care services through any remote interactions between patients and health care providers and between health care providers themselves, whether synchronous or asynchronous, using ICTs [5].

Although some progress has been achieved in increasing nurses’ digital health capacity, the expanded and rapid integration of technological innovations in health care has created challenges for nursing educators and nursing programs to keep pace and ensure that nurses are well prepared to lead the digital transformation impacting professional practice roles and patient care [6-9]. In addition, while most nursing students have strong basic digital literacy skills, these skills do not necessarily translate into effective use of digital health technologies in the context of patient care [8,10,11]. Sometimes, assumptions about the use of technology in the academic setting put nursing students at a disadvantage, resulting in missed learning opportunities for students to develop competency in working with digital health technologies available in the clinical environment [8,12]. In Canadian nursing, approaches currently applied for preparing students at the undergraduate level in digital health are mainly focused on integrating informatics within existing courses; however, this integration is mostly inconsistent and sporadic [8,13,14]. Similar to the Canadian context, in other countries, the nursing informatics (NI) competencies, which should serve as a guiding framework for content integration in nursing curricula and as standards for professional practice requirements in the workplace, have limited to no focus on emerging technologies [15-17]. Furthermore, the adoption of these NI competencies in the workplace and their impact on patient outcomes remain largely unknown [8,18].

Nursing education is a key pathway for preparing nurses to assume professional roles in diverse practice settings. Providing nurses and nursing students with a comprehensive education in digital health should be an urgent priority, so they are better equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills needed to provide safe and quality nursing care and to keep abreast of the rapidly evolving technological revolution. This is also important so that nurses are better able to support patients and families as they navigate the health system and make decisions about using these technologies for health promotion and chronic disease management and to ensure that digital health services and technologies brought into the health care system are equitable, bias free, and accessible [2,9].

To identify current approaches for digital health education at the undergraduate and graduate nursing education levels, a preliminary search of available literature was conducted to identify prior work on this topic, and several reviews were retrieved. Some reviews focused on NI and digital health competency frameworks and the integration of NI into nursing education [2,9].
curricula [15,16,18,19]. Other reviews addressed the learning outcomes of digital learning interventions in higher education [20] and technological literacy in nursing education [21]. The remaining reviews examined the influence of AI on different domains of nursing [22] and the effectiveness of telehealth educational interventions in graduate nursing education [23]. Another search was conducted on December 1, 2023, to identify if new reviews have been published since the initial search was conducted on January 5, 2022, and a scoping review protocol was found in CINAHL Plus database that focused on NI education in undergraduate nursing education [24].

On the basis of the evidence available on the digital health education for nursing students, we believe a gap exists in the literature, particularly assessing the current state with respect to how nursing education at the undergraduate and graduate nursing levels addresses digital health education about existing and emerging technologies. Therefore, this scoping review aimed to report on evidence available about digital health education and training interventions for nursing students at the undergraduate and graduate levels.

**Review Question**

The review aimed to answer the following question: what are the types and features of digital health education and training interventions currently available to guide teaching and curricular integration or education about digital health for nursing students at the undergraduate and graduate levels? More specifically, this review analyzed and synthesized information on the following elements: (1) the definitions of digital health and learning objectives and topic content addressed in the digital health intervention; (2) the instructional strategies used and their delivery processes; (3) the pedagogical theories or frameworks used; and (4) the outcomes measured and evaluation or assessment strategies used for measuring them.

**Methods**

The scoping review was conducted following the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology [25] and in line with the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews) [26]. The review followed a priori protocol [27].

**Search Strategy**

A health sciences librarian developed a comprehensive search strategy according to the PRISMA-S (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Searching) [28]. To ascertain the feasibility and testability of the search strategy, an initial search was conducted in CINAHL (EBSCOhost) as published in the study protocol [27]. All identified databases were searched, and the search strategy was adapted as appropriate. The following bibliographic databases were searched from inception to present: MEDLINE (Ovid; 1946 to present), Embase (Ovid; 1974 to present), CINAHL (EBSCOhost; 1936 to present), ERIC (EBSCOhost; 1966 to present), Education Research Complete (EBSCOhost; inception to present), and Scopus (1976 to present; Multimedia Appendix 1). The search used subject headings, wherever available, and appropriate keywords to capture relevant peer-reviewed literature. The search strategy was derived from two main concepts: (1) digital health, applying descriptors associated with the term such as virtual, telehealth, or remote delivery to capture the most relevant literature and (2) nursing education, both undergraduate and graduate level, as well as competencies and curricula. A multidatabase search was completed for ERIC and Education Research Complete, as these databases were available on the same platform, and the search strategy for these databases did not include any subject headings.

Only studies published from 2012 to 2023 were included because the authors wanted to capture current and relevant articles. Also included were studies published in the English language, as the authors speak only English. The non–peer-reviewed materials such as notes, editorials, letters, books, and book chapters were removed from the results, as they had limited information to contribute to the findings and discussion. The initial database searches were conducted on March 3, 2022, and updated searches were completed on January 11, 2023, and October 31, 2023. The same search strategy was used for each updated search to ensure consistency and identify any recently published papers. For gray literature sources, select relevant organizational sources were identified and searched for information regarding the digital health education programs that were being offered to gain insights on which topics were addressed and the target audiences for such education. Limiting this search to a few organizations was intentional, considering the volume of information that can be found on the web.

**Eligibility Criteria**

**Participants**

This scoping review considered studies that included nursing students at the undergraduate or graduate levels admitted to public or private institutions. Furthermore, the review included students enrolled in undergraduate or graduate nursing programs, qualifying graduates for various nursing roles such as generalist entry-to-practice programs for registered nurses, licensed practical nurses or registered practical nurses, nurse practitioners (NPs), and registered psychiatric nurses. Empirical studies that reported on digital health education for qualified nurses working in practice settings and studies that reported on students in other health-related professions (eg, medicine, pharmacy, physiotherapy) or allied health staff (eg, health care aids) were excluded because these professions are not the subject of interest in this review.

**Concept**

The primary concepts of significance to the review are digital health education and training for nursing students. Other related concepts included are instructional strategies, delivery processes, pedagogical theory and frameworks, and evaluation strategies. Since the use of different technologies in health professionals’ education exists, the authors contend that the use of technologies for learning and teaching purposes differs from the use of digital health technologies for care delivery. Consequently, studies that focused on the use of instructional technologies, such as PowerPoint, simulation, and virtual reality for teaching or learning purposes in the classroom or laboratory or for learning about general clinical nursing skills, as opposed to education.
learning, and training for acquisition of digital health knowledge and competence, were excluded. Studies that only examined an aspect of digital capabilities or NI competency, such as computer or information literacy, and focused on NI competency without explicitly linking the concept to digital health were excluded. Studies that focused on aspects of medical technologies, such as computed tomography scans and in vitro fertilization, were also excluded.

**Context**

This review considered studies that examined digital health education for nursing students admitted to educational institutions (colleges or universities) at undergraduate and graduate programs or both. There was no limit on the geographical location of the published studies, as the authors wanted to be comprehensive in their search and provide an in-depth analysis of the literature from multiple geographical locations.

**Types of Sources**

This scoping review considered all methodological and theoretical papers, including quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods study designs. Systematic, scoping, integrative, umbrella, and narrative review studies were excluded but these types of studies were referred to in the Discussion section to provide further information. In addition, opinion pieces, editorials, and conference proceedings were also excluded due to the insufficient information these sources offer to contribute to the evidence available. Furthermore, a hand-search of reference lists of the included studies to search for missing studies was planned but not completed due to the large volume of searches retrieved. Selected gray literature sources were searched for additional evidence and insights.

**Study and Source of Evidence Selection**

Retrieved records were exported in complete batches into the Mendeley reference manager software (Mendeley Ltd) to generate bibliographies and the Covidence software (Veritas Health Innovation) for deduplication and to enable the screening process. To increase the reliability of the screening process, 2 reviewers (SI and SA) independently determined the eligibility of articles against the inclusion and exclusion criteria using a 2-stage screening process consisting of a title and abstract scan followed by a full-text review. All disagreements were resolved in consultation with other reviewers (M Kleib and EMD). The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) chart was used to document inclusion and exclusion decisions and ensure transparency and rigor in reporting (Figure 1) [29,30]. Where full-text articles were excluded, reasons were provided for the exclusion (Multimedia Appendix 2).

**Data Extraction**

Two independent reviewers (SI and SA) extracted data from the included studies and recorded it into an open-access Google spreadsheet. The included studies were extracted based on the extraction table as published in the a priori protocol [27]. To enhance reliability, the independent reviewers piloted the extraction table on 10 records (qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method study designs), and after comparing results, no further modifications were made to the extraction table. For each record, the following information was extracted: record information (ie, author or authors, year of publication, and study aim or purpose); population or sample (nursing students or level); context (country or program); concept (digital health existing and emerging technologies, the definition of digital health if provided, instructional strategy used and delivery methods).
process [eg, lecture, video, case-based scenario, pedagogical theory or framework, outcomes measured [eg, knowledge gain], assessment methods, or approaches [eg, instrument or tool, quizzes]]; key findings; and recommendations. Any disagreements were resolved with other reviewers (M Kleib and EMD).

**Data Analysis and Interpretation**

Basic descriptive statistics (ie, percentages or proportions) were applied to analyze and report key characteristics of studies included in the review. Using an iterative, descriptive approach, abstracted data from the included studies were examined for similarities and differences to identify patterns and facilitate thematic grouping of findings to answer the research questions. All members of the research team engaged in the discussion of the results and agreed upon the adequacy of the proposed thematic grouping. Where appropriate, a tabular format was used to provide a visual representation of the findings [25,31]. Appendices were used to provide access to information relevant to the conduct of this review and facilitate future research. Quality appraisal of the included studies was not completed, as it is not a requirement for scoping reviews.

**Results**

**Study Inclusion**

As shown in the PRISMA chart (Figure 1), combining all 3 searches together, we identified a total of 7886 studies. After removing duplicates and completing the first-level and second-level screening, 94 (1.19%) records were included from the database searches. Furthermore, 6 sources from the gray literature were included. These pertained to educational resources published on the websites of select organizations, including Digital Health Canada, Coursera, Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society, Canadian Nursing Informatics Association, Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing, and the Open WHO (Multimedia Appendix 3 [12,13,32-123]). In total, 100 records were included in this review.

**Characteristics of Included Studies**

Of the 94 included studies from databases, the majority (n=67, 71%) were published within the last 5 years (2019-2023). Studies were mainly conducted in the United States (n=69, 73%) followed by Canada (n=8, 9%). The remaining were a few studies (n=17, 18%) from New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Australia, South Korea, Japan, Singapore, Norway, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and Saudi Arabia. The research populations in these studies included representations from nursing students at the undergraduate and graduate levels and decision makers in charge of planning educational offerings. There were no studies involving licensed practical nurse students or their educational preparation in digital health.

**Review Findings**

Table 1 provides a visual presentation of the range of studies included in this review classified according to the type and focus of the research study and the level of nursing education (undergraduate and graduate) in order to facilitate the reporting of the findings according to the review questions. Detailed abstraction tables of all the included studies from databases are available in Multimedia Appendix 4. The review of the 6 websites comprising the gray literature sources is also provided in Multimedia Appendix 3.

The included literature sources revealed a proliferation of educational offerings (Multimedia Appendix 4), and these sources were examined to identify whether or not authors have included a definition of digital health. A few studies involving undergraduate-level [13,104] and graduate-level education [83,84] cited the definition by Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society, which defined digital health as a health care delivery system that “connects and empowers people and populations to manage health and wellness through technology. Care is augmented by accessible and supportive provider teams working within flexible, integrated, interoperable, and digitally enabled care environments that strategically leverage digital tools, technologies, and services to transform care delivery” [124]. The definition of digital health proposed by the WHO, including the initial one in the draft of the global digital health strategy document published in 2019 or the one reported in final document, is as follows: “the field of knowledge and practice associated with the development and use of digital technologies to improve health. Digital health expands the concept of eHealth to include digital consumers, with a wider range of smart and connected devices. It also encompasses other uses of digital technologies for health such as the Internet of Things, advanced computing, big data analytics, artificial intelligence including machine learning, and robotics” [1].
Table 1. Overview of the records included in the review (n=100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database sources (n=94)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervenional studies (n=61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telehealth (n=11)</td>
<td>[32-42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHR\textsuperscript{a} training (n=10)</td>
<td>[73-82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI\textsuperscript{b} (n=2)</td>
<td>[85,86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telehealth (n=30)</td>
<td>[43-72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHR (n=2)</td>
<td>[87,88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital health (n=2)</td>
<td>[83,84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI (n=4)</td>
<td>[89-92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum status and integration (n=14)</td>
<td>[13,93-105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed strategies for integration (n=19)</td>
<td>[12,106-123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray literature sources (n=6)</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}EHR: electronic health record.
\textsuperscript{b}NI: nursing informatics.
\textsuperscript{c}Not applicable.

Digital Health and NI Educational Interventions at the Undergraduate Level

Overview

At the undergraduate level, the main focus of the education delivered was on telehealth and telenursing \[32-42\] and competency development in using the EHR through simulated EHRs \[73-82\]. In total, 2 studies focused on NI education \[85,86\]. Of note, some of these interventions were implemented or developed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic \[32-36,40\].

Interventions Focused on Telehealth Education

The scope of the telehealth theoretical education in the included studies varied but mainly focused on the prepreparation for the telehealth simulation. In 1 study, a range of topics including telehealth etiquette, professionalism, peripherals, technologies, documentation, billing, collaboration, and history taking were taught \[33\], using different teaching modalities to deliver the content such as online modules \[33\], e-book, and video \[32\]. One study reported the use of a telehealth clinical placement experience \[34\], another study applied a web-based clinical experience \[36\], and the remaining studies applied different simulation activities \[32,33,35,37-42\].

Integration of simulation experiences was mostly as a stand-alone intervention; a few studies reported integration as part of an existing course. These studies integrated the simulation experiences as part of a rotation practice \[38\], course assignment \[33\], and as part of a clinical course \[37,40\]. Simulation was delivered mainly via teleconferencing and online technologies such as Zoom and Google Hangouts \[32,33,35,36,40-42\]. A few studies used a telehealth robot \[33\] or a telesquence robot \[38,39\]. The simulation experiences varied in length from 1 hour to a few hours, facilitated by using scenarios, standardized patients, briefing, and debriefing. Authors used different professional practice frameworks such as the American Association of Colleges of Nursing Essentials \[33,40\], National Organization of Nurse Practitioner Faculties \[33\], and Quality and Safety Education for Nurses \[37\] and best practices and theoretical frameworks for conducting simulation such as the International Nursing Association of Clinical Simulation and Learning and Promoting Excellence and Reflective Learning through Simulation method for debriefing \[33,36,37\].

A quantitative quasi-experimental design with a pretest-posttest \[32,33,38\] or posttest-only \[39\] and mixed methods research \[34\] approaches were mostly used to measure a variety of outcomes including knowledge, confidence, attitudes, communication, and overall experiences. Some studies also sought to determine the usability of the telehealth robot \[33,39\] or feasibility of the telehealth experience \[35,36\]. In addition to using pretest-posttest assessments, some authors used Objective Structured Clinical Examinations \[32,35\], knowledge tests \[33,40\], reflection \[34,36,41\], and focus group interviews \[34,37,42\]. Despite the inherent limitations of the study designs used, the interventions delivered yielded positive outcomes and students’ experiences and feedback. Two studies \[32,38\] reported a statistically significant change in the outcomes measured.

Interventions Focused on EHR Education

For studies involving EHR-related education, authors applied a variety of research designs mainly to pilot academic EHRs including mixed methods \[74,75,80\], case study \[77\], correlational design \[81\], surveys \[73,76,78\], think-aloud
method [79], and focus groups [82]. Integration was mostly as a stand-alone intervention; a few studies reported integration as part of the first-year nursing clinical course [73], a clinical course [76], or as part of a fundamentals of nursing course [81]. A key aspect of using the simulated EHRs focused on developing documentation skills [73-75,79,82]. Case scenarios were used in most interventions; some included an additional didactic content [73] or provided orientation through videos, webinars, and opportunities to practice [74,75,81,82]. A few studies related the intervention to the required professional NI competencies or educational theories supporting simulation activities [75,80]. Outcomes of interest in these studies included knowledge, confidence, attitudes, satisfaction, experience, and perceived NI competency. Statistically significant findings were reported in some of these interventions [73,75,76]. Some studies also sought to evaluate the feasibility and suitability of the simulated record for use in a nursing program [74,75,78,79].

**Interventions Focused on NI Education**

In total, 2 studies addressed NI education [85,86]. Of these, 1 study applied a controlled interventional design to measure knowledge gain, attitudes toward the EHR, and perceived confidence following the completion of 2 learning modules on NI delivered via in-person lectures and online using podcasts [86]. The other study used a 1-group pretest-posttest design following a 2-day online NI educational program and measuring perceived NI competency [85]. Both interventions were informed by professional practice standards or competencies and relevant educational theory, yielding a statistically significant improvements in the outcomes measured [85,86].

**Digital Health and NI Educational Interventions at the Graduate Level**

**Overview**

Of the 38 studies identified in this category, 30 (79%) focused on telehealth interventions [43-72], 2 (5%) addressed digital health [83,84], 2 (5%) focused on EHRs [87,88], and 4 (11%) studies focused on NI education [89-92]. Of note, interventions focused on telehealth education were mostly delivered without situating this knowledge or skills within the broader digital health or NI context, despite some studies indicating the increased use of technology in the context of nursing practice. In addition, some of these studies were implemented or developed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic [43-45,47,49,51,53,55,57-59,71,83,84].

Most studies (32/38, 84%) enhanced the intervention design by incorporating theoretical and pedagogical frameworks such as Bandura’s self-efficacy theory [66,70]; Kolb’s Cycle of Experiential Learning [49,72]; Ericsson’s and Smith Expertise theory [68]; the Ottawa Model for Research [71]; the Plan-Do-Study-Act cycle [66]; the Technology Acceptance Model [60]; Roy’s Adaptation Model [59]; problem-based learning [62], Bloom’s Taxonomy [66,91]; Adult Learning Theories [89]; Nursing Education Healthcare Informatics Framework [92]; Technology Informatics Guiding Education Reform Competencies [92]; and professional standards and competencies, telehealth competencies, and best practices for simulation-based research [43-45,47,49,51-53,55-59,61,63-65,67,72,83,84,91].

**Interventions Focused on Telehealth Education**

Integration was mostly as a stand-alone intervention; however, a good number of studies reported integration as part of an existing theory or clinical course [44,45,47,48,50,51,53,61-63,66,68,72]; theory courses included health policy, role transition course, advanced health assessment course, and bio-physical and integrated clinical diagnosis course. Of note, only a few studies engaged students in their final clinical practicum course in a telehealth clinical rotation experience [61]. Other students experienced telehealth during a clinical rotation [46] or as part of a clinical experience [69]. Telehealth education was delivered using a variety of educational modalities or strategies including didactic education (online modules and lectures, reading materials, videos, narrated lectures, and self-directed modules) with simulation scenarios and standardized patients [43-45,47,49,51,52,55,57,58,62-64,67-70,72]; asynchronous and synchronous simulation using teleconferencing tools and interactivities with or without didactic education [51,52,58,59,63,65,71]; telehealth self-paced learning with discussion [48]; guest speaker lectures with self-paced modules, lectures, and videoconferencing demos [66,125]; simulation with a telehealth robot with an iPad and or a telehealth cart [54,55,64]; simulation with students acting as patients or providers [54,55,58,60]; telehealth as a clinical rotation [46,72]; telehealth Objective Structured Clinical Examinations and clinical examinations [47,63,65,67]; telehealth curriculum with supporting competencies [56]; telehealth focused on specific skills (eg, consultation, e-visit, triage) [50,54,55,60,64,65,68]; and partnership with clinical organizations [61,69].

The duration of telehealth simulation intervention varied from a few hours to days. Regarding topics covered in telehealth education, some studies reported on topics included, such as a broad overview of telehealth, technologies used in delivering telehealth, ways to engage with patients, telehealth competencies, laws and regulations related to telehealth practice, digital professionalism, and licensure requirements [56-58,64,66-72].

Researchers designed the interventional studies using different methods including mixed methods [43,55], pretest-posttest design [71], descriptive design [54], quasi-experimental design [44,50], formative and summative evaluation introduced as educational activities [45,48,51,53], program evaluations [46,47,49,56,63,65,69], pilot studies [43,52,55,57,59,60,62,64,67,68], and quality improvement projects [58,66,71]. Some studies applied pretest-posttest assessments or pretest-posttest surveys to measure a variety of outcomes including knowledge, beliefs, confidence and comfort levels, interest in telehealth, attitudes, preparedness, and satisfaction with the learning [44-48,50,52,56,64,66,68,72], proficiency and competence in performing skills, clinical decision-making, working collaboratively with members of the health team, communication, providing care virtually, opinions, and experience of learning. In addition, some researchers evaluated the usability of telehealth technologies applied in simulation...
and simulation effectiveness [55]. Majority of these studies reported improvements after the intervention and positive student feedback; however, these measurements were either limited by the study design applied or the small sample size due to the heterogeneity of designs used (see Multimedia Appendix 4 for more details).

**Interventions Focused on Digital Health**

Only 2 studies [83,84] have actually used the term digital health and intentionally developed educational strategies to deliver such education to the students of the doctor of nursing practice program [83]. Of these studies, 1 study reported on developing an elective course on digital health that comprised 5 units [83], and the second study incorporated mind maps within a practicum experience to expose students to digital health technologies used in practice [84]. In this same study, the authors also reported that prior NI courses existed in the curriculum.

**Interventions Focused on EHR Education**

In total, 2 studies published by the same authors [87,88] reported on EHR-related learning by exposing students to simulated EHRs using an assignment strategy, with case scenarios integrated within health IT and NI courses, and assessing perceived NI competency. The initial evaluation [87] included an assessment of NI competence within the same group following the intervention; however, the second evaluation incorporated a control group [88].

**Interventions Focused on NI Education**

In total, 4 studies [89-92] reported on NI education; 1 (25%) study provided didactic education in the form of an online learning module [89]; 1 (25%) study developed a 4-week clinical practicum experience for using the electronic medical record [90]; and 2 (50%) studies reported on developing online courses in NI [91,92], but these were conducted in 2013 and 2014.

**Studies Reporting on Status of Curricular Integration**

In total, 14 studies [13,93-105] focused on determining the status of digital health or NI integration in undergraduate and graduate nursing curricula using mainly survey designs [94,96,97,100,101,103,105]. Four studies focused on telehealth integration [93-96]. Of these, 2 studies included NP curricula [93,95], one applying an evaluation of a web-based telehealth (module-based) course [93] and the other reporting on program evaluation [95] following curriculum mapping, integration, and obtaining students’ feedback through comparing pretest-posttest surveys. The remaining 2 studies [94,96] used cross-sectional surveys of nursing programs involving both undergraduate- and graduate-level students, and both studies revealed variable levels of integration.

A total of 9 studies examined NI integration in nursing curricula using different methods including Delphi survey [97], internet search [98,100,103], case study [99], questionnaires [101], curriculum review [102], and mixed methods approach examining both NI and digital health [13,104], and 1 study examined the status of academic EHR use [105]. Variability was noted across these studies, but overall, some studies (4/9, 44%) that have implemented NI or digital health have noted improvement in students’ learning outcomes after integration [93,95,98,99].

**Proposed Strategies for Enhancing Digital Health and NI Education**

A total of 14 studies [12,106-123] provided a discussion of strategies that could be used by nurse educators or nursing programs to strengthen undergraduate and graduate nursing education including AI competencies to inform undergraduate and graduate education [106], role of clinical preceptors in helping students learn about digital health [12], guidelines for health informatics [107], different telehealth educational strategies including how to incorporate or level telehealth competencies in the curriculum [108-112], strategies for NI education or curricular level [113-120], and EHR simulations [121,122]. Furthermore, 1 study focused on digital health and technology competency [123].

**Gray Literature Findings**

A review of the websites of 6 organizations revealed an increase in the offering of educational programs and courses related to digital health, with some of them focused on emerging technologies. An overview of these findings is available in Multimedia Appendix 3.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

The purpose of this review was to map the literature on digital health education, training courses, or other pedagogical interventions used for undergraduate and graduate nursing students and to inform the development of future educational interventions. Despite improvements, there are significant gaps and limitations in the scope of digital health education at the undergraduate and graduate levels, consequently posing challenges for nursing students to develop competencies needed in modern-day nursing practice.

In defining digital health, a few studies used the term digital health or provided educational strategies and content that capture the broad focus of digital health in nursing education. Several studies included in this review were conducted in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. The increased use of virtual care and telehealth practice mainly occurred at the graduate NP practice level, and both modalities are subsumed under digital health.

Yet, the authors of these studies did not situate this education within the broader area of digital health or eHealth. Furthermore, the current educational approaches regarding digital health education are primarily focused on developing dimensions of NI competencies, that is, skills for using digital health technologies such as EHRs and telehealth.

According to the 2022 Nurse Practitioner Role Core Competencies in the United States, domain 8 Technology and Information Literacy includes 5 indicators focused on the application of ICTs [126]. These are also aligned to the American Association of Colleges of Nursing Essentials and Advanced-level Nursing Education, which emphasizes ICTs and informatics processes under domain 8 and provides 5 indicators, for example, indicator 8.4f: “employ electronic
health, mobile, health, and telehealth systems to enable quality, ethical, and efficient patient care” may explain the focus on telehealth education at the NP education level within the included studies [126]. These guidelines also do not use the terminology of digital health. In Canada, the Canadian Nurse Practitioner Core Competency Framework, published in 2010, has an indicator 1.11: “Adheres to federal and provincial/territorial legislation, policies, and standards related to privacy, documentation, and information management (this also applies to verbal, written or electronic records)” [127], but no reference to digital health or NI was made.

Only two studies (2/38, 5%) focused on digital health as a concept to teach nursing students, with an increasing volume of studies on telehealth or telenursing education (30/38, 79%). Contrary to the findings of this review, the review by Foster and Adams [128] indicated inadequate research studies on telehealth education. The disparity in the findings could partly be due to the difference in the search periods, as our review included studies conducted before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic when the use of telehealth began to increase. Despite the difference in the findings, both reviews address the importance of timing and indicate the attention and relevance of telehealth education within nursing. Although most of the educational interventions about digital health at the graduate level addressed telehealth, the integration and education about telehealth are still inadequate as identified in this review and prior reviews [129]. In addition, a recent national survey conducted by Eckoff et al [94], which examined the telehealth education in both prelicensure and graduate nursing education, also revealed inconsistency and limited education about telehealth.

No studies indicated teaching NI or digital health as a unique course within the nursing curriculum. In addition, despite the increased attention to the potential impact of AI on nursing education and practice, no interventional studies that addressed AI education at the undergraduate or graduate levels were found. Only 1 study [106] identified AI competencies to guide nursing education in Canada despite urgent calls for providing formal training and education of health care providers and nurses at the basic and advanced levels in AI. The proliferation of AI technologies in nursing education and clinical practice shows the need for proactive measures to integrate AI education and its related competencies in nursing education [22,130].

With respect to the scope of educational strategies currently being used, these included didactic approaches such as teaching sessions or webinars, online modules, prerecorded lectures, PowerPoint presentations, and video clips; experiential approach that mostly applies simulation encounters along with debriefing, guided exercises, and opportunities for question or answer; or a combination of both. To enhance students’ experiential learning, the educational strategies were delivered through online and face-to-face means [131]. The choice of these strategies was largely based on the objectives of the study and the interventionional design applied. More advanced educational strategies, such as virtual and augmented reality, are limited in teaching nursing students about digital health and NI. As these technologies become more mainstream, it is anticipated that future research will shed light on the value and effectiveness of these strategies in nursing education, specifically in the digital health education [8,9]. It is promising to see that educators and scholars have shared their expertise and the strategies that they have applied in their programs for integrating digital health and NI; this could serve to encourage educators to consider applying these strategies within their programs or day-to-day teaching in order to improve graduate outcomes and increase their capacity for optimal practice in digital health care environments.

It is noted that the theoretical education about digital health and NI as core concepts in the nursing curriculum remains variable and is mostly focused on the skills component as opposed to providing comprehensive and foundational knowledge that would help students understand the full picture of the digital health revolution. This was also corroborated by the findings from studies that examined the status of NI and digital health integration in nursing curricula, demonstrating variable levels of integration at both the undergraduate and graduate levels. These findings suggest that digital health and NI are not yet a priority in nursing education; however, studies reviewed were mostly survey studies examining the state of education at a point in time.

Several papers in the included studies have incorporated theoretical, conceptual, pedagogical, and professional standards in designing their interventions. Using such frameworks is highly recommended because it provides an evidence-based approach for planning, implementation, and evaluation of the educational intervention [132]. It also enables researchers to expand on the body of knowledge available to inform nursing education and practice based on best practices for knowledge generation. Incorporating theory in the intervention design also increases the intervention fidelity; however, the effectiveness of these interventions can be limited by a small sample size and the lack of experimental control in measuring the outcomes of interest.

The evaluative strategies used in these studies depended on the study design and the expected outcomes. Although not all the included studies assessed an intervention, some studies used a single, multiple, or a combination of assessment strategies or tools to undertake summative or formative assessment to determine the effectiveness of the intervention. Therefore, the assessment served as the measurement of the intervention done or the process instituted. Similar to the findings of Hui et al [131], authors of studies included in this scoping review also identified multiple and written assessment tasks as evaluative strategies used in assessing telehealth education implemented in a health curriculum. Of note is that some studies (35/61, 57%) that used theoretical frameworks for the intervention design also developed assessment strategies or used existing validated instruments to evaluate or measure outcomes.

Concerning the outcome measures examined, the outcome measure for undergraduate and graduate levels had some similarities and differences. Regarding the similarities, students at the undergraduate and graduate levels were assessed on their levels of competencies related to an educational intervention. However, at the graduate level, in addition to the competencies identified at the undergraduate level, the expectations and outcomes assessed were more complex and advanced.
Completing graduate-level education, graduate students are expected to assume leadership with the delivery and implementation of telehealth; hence, the education and training at the graduate level on telehealth is more comprehensive, and the educational interventions were often designed in alignment with advanced practice standards and competencies as well as frameworks such as the telehealth competence framework [133].

With respect to the results from the gray literature, it is interesting to note that different organizations in the United States and Canada provided a wide range of courses in different areas of practice related to digital health (Multimedia Appendix 3). This may reflect an increased interest in digital health or a demand among health care professionals or their employers for such information. This is encouraging and can be used as a guidepost for nursing educational programs with respect to the importance and scope of content that can be incorporated into formal nursing education at the undergraduate and graduate levels. While these educational offerings primarily target health care professionals in practice, they can also be used by students particularly at the graduate level. It can also serve as a resource for nurse educators or practitioners to pursue continuing education or increase their knowledge in areas that are evolving rapidly in health care, such as AI; for example, the WHO course named Ethics and Governance of AI for Health is one example.

The limitations in the scope of the digital health education both at the undergraduate and graduate levels could be attributed, in part, to the fact that digital health as a field is still evolving. The definition of digital health may not necessarily be known or used by nursing scholars, and the term is also new and will likely further evolve as technology advances in the years to come. Although this may pose challenges for nurse educators and programs to clearly articulate the scope of digital health education in nursing curricula, standardization in either the definition or the dimensions of digital health education should not be perceived as a barrier for nursing programs and educators to begin teaching their students about digital health.

Nursing education programs and educators are encouraged to integrate what is known about digital health as it applies to nursing in their curricula and to keep abreast of the developments in this field so that nurses are not left behind. In addition, upgrading existing NI competency standards, particularly, from the entry level to practice level, in order to account for developments in the field of digital health and providing resources for nurse educators on how to operationalize these indicators in their day-to-day teaching are needed to expedite this process of integration. As the field will continue to evolve, periodic revisions of the NI competency standards should also be considered.

Implications

The dynamic nature of the health care system continuously evolving as a result of technological advancement demands that nursing students have opportunities to develop a baseline knowledge and competency in digital health and to cultivate this knowledge through continuing education upon becoming independent practitioners. From an equity perspective, all nursing students should have the opportunity to receive comprehensive digital health education because they represent the future health care workforce that is already faced with significant challenges to overcome including aging population, technological disruption, globalization, population displacement, and climate change to name a few [2]. As such, digital health education should not be a side topic in the nursing curriculum or be taught on a need-to-know basis, but rather it should be comprehensively embedded throughout all levels of nursing education and nursing career trajectories.

This scoping review provided important insights into the current state of digital health education and the modalities available for teaching nursing students. In light of the gaps and limitations identified in this review, enhancing the digital health education for nurses and nursing students should be a policy priority. A comprehensive education about digital health should provide foundational knowledge in core concepts relative to the existing and new digital health care technologies and create opportunities for learners to continuously reflect on their practice as well as be able to identify areas for growth and development as the digital health ecosystem evolves. Upgrading nursing education by introducing new strategies, such as virtual and augmented reality and AI generative platforms, to deliver and augment learning allows nursing students to think critically about these technologies and, by extension, other similar applications that will eventually make their way into clinical practice [124,134]. Follow-up studies may also be beneficial to determine the impact of digital health and informatics education in the workplace.

Conclusions

As the digital health ecosystem continues to evolve, nursing education and practice must evolve too. There is an urgent need to expand the understanding of digital health in the context of nursing education and practice and to better articulate its scope in nursing curricula and enforce its application across professional nursing practice roles at all levels and career trajectories. Further research is also needed to examine the impact of digital health education on improving patient outcomes, the quality of nursing care, and professional nursing role advancement.
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Abstract

Background: Caregiving dyads in palliative care are confronted with complex care needs. Respite care services can be highly beneficial in alleviating the caregiving burden, supporting survivorship and dying at home. Yet, respite care services are difficult to locate and access in the province of Quebec, Canada, particularly when navigating ubiquitous sources of online health information of varying quality.

Objective: This project aimed to (1) compile a list of at-home palliative respite care services in Quebec, Canada; (2) describe key accessibility features for each respite care service; (3) identify accessibility gaps and opportunities; and (4) describe a novel method for conducting environmental scans using internet search engines, internet-based community health databases, and member checking.

Methods: A novel environmental scan methodology using 2 internet-based targeted databases and 1 internet search engine was conducted. Results were screened and data were extracted, descriptively analyzed, and geographically schematized.

Results: A total of 401 services were screened, and 52 at-home respite care services specific to palliative populations were identified, compiled, and analyzed. These respite care services were characterized by various types of assistance, providers, fees, and serviced geographical regions. Accessibility was explored through the lens of service amenability, availability, eligibility, and compatibility. The data revealed important barriers to accessing respite care services, such as a lack of readily available information on service characteristics, limited availability, and a time-consuming, technical search process for potential respite care users and clinicians to identify appropriate services.
Conclusions: Both methodological and contextual knowledge have been gained through this environmental scan. Few methodologies for conducting internet-based environmental scans have been clearly articulated, so we applied several learnings from other scans and devised a methodology for conducting an environmental scan using the mixed methods of internet search engines, internet-based community health databases, and member checking. We have carefully reported our methods, so that others conducting community health environmental scans may replicate our process. Furthermore, through this scan, we identified assorted respite care services and pinpointed needs in the provision of these services. The findings highlighted that more easily accessible and centralized information about respite care services is needed in Quebec. The data will enable the creation of a user-friendly tool to share with community support services across Quebec and ultimately help alleviate the added burden caregivers and clinicians face when looking for respite care services in fragmented and complex digital spaces.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e53078) doi:10.2196/53078
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Introduction

Overview

Palliative caregiving is a particularly intensive form of caregiving. Respite care is one of the essential services helping to support informal caregivers (ie, generally individuals with a preexisting relationship to the care recipient, with no additional training, and contributing unpaid work), and care recipients, particularly those in the palliative stage of care [1]. The goal of respite care is to provide short-term relief to informal caregivers and care recipients from their dyadic care-giving and care-receiving relationship by allowing both parties to spend time away from each other, interact with others, and perform activities that they enjoy or need to do [2-4]. During respite, another person acts as the care recipient’s temporary caregiver [2,4]. Respite can be accessed via a variety of service provisions (eg, palliative care, hospice day centers, and home care), offered in different settings (at home, in a facility, in the hospital, and in the community) and provided by an array of health care personnel to individuals coping with disabilities or illnesses [2,3,5,6].

For dyads in a palliative stage of care, respite care often contributes to supporting death in the home setting, which most patients prefer, all the while improving both parties’ psychosocial well-being and quality of life [2,6-9]. Additionally, these services are linked to decreased hospital admissions, health care costs, and use of aggressive care at the end of life [8,10]. In fact, dyads coping with terminal illnesses and needing palliative care support are increasingly requesting respite care services in Canada [3,10,11]. Despite these benefits, there seems to be no clear, comprehensive, and easily accessible information on overall or specific resources offered in Quebec.

In Canada, and particularly in Quebec, the provision of respite care falls outside the Canadian Health Act, which governs health care provision across Canada. As a result, a patchwork of services, funded through a variety of public, private-for-profit, and private nonprofit initiatives, is offered to nearly 1.5 million informal caregivers and care recipients in Quebec [12]. Government guides direct caregivers to their local Centres intégrés de santé et de services sociaux (in English: integrated health and social services centers) and Centres intégrés universitaires de santé et de services sociaux (in English: integrated university health and social services centers) for details on respite care services as opposed to specific agencies [13]. Additionally, some nonprofit organizations offer web portals for searching respite care services within their target population, such as Portail Répit for caregivers of children living with disabilities. The lack of a seamless respite care access pathway results in a lengthy, multistep process to access services—a process that can be overwhelming for exhausted palliative care dyads, and time-consuming for nurses, who typically oversee respite care service coordination and home care service provision.

Difficulties encountered while searching, locating, and accessing respite care impose an additional, undesirable burden on informal caregivers seeking respite [11,14]. Even with internet access at home, nearly a quarter of Canadians, particularly those most likely to resort to at-home health care services, have very limited internet use and digital skills [15-17]. Consequently, individuals with varying levels of digital literacy (ie, the ability to successfully use and navigate the internet and the associated apps or devices), are stranded to identify a search strategy by themselves [17]. The paucity of relevant information and difficulties in finding available services specific to individual needs may render respite care services inaccessible [7,8,18-20]. Considering the overall preference for death at home, and challenges associated with palliative caregiving, addressing access to information and support services, such as respite care, is essential to ensure all parties are supported through this phase of care.

Objectives

This environmental scan study aimed to identify and describe the characteristics of in-home respite care services currently available to caregiving dyads with palliative care needs in the French-speaking province of Quebec, by (1) mapping a current list of in-home palliative respite care services available to adults in Quebec; (2) describing and analyzing key offerings and accessibility features for each service; (3) identifying gaps and opportunities to increase accessibility and usage of these services; and (4) describing a methodology for conducting environmental scans using various internet-based sources and member checking.
Methods

Overview
Environmental scans methodologically support the systematic collection and analysis of information and services available within a specific environment for addressing the needs of a specific population. While no standard approach exists, this design often relies on searching beyond the academically published literature to identify all currently available programs [21-25]. Environmental scan strategies consist of combining sources of information consolidated from grey literature, internet search engines, and stakeholder consultations to identify all up-to-date and accessible services of a specific type available in a given geographic region [21]. This project implemented a novel environmental scan methodology to compile existing respite care services for individuals with palliative care needs in Quebec.

The novel and iterative strategy we developed consisted of (1) conducting a comprehensive search of internet-based respite and health care databases and internet search engines, (2) identifying and screening results for eligibility, (3) extracting and compiling the data, (4) seeking expert consultations, (5) analyzing the data, and (6) synthesizing the results into a coherent report on respite care services in Quebec.

Ethical Considerations
As this was a grey literature–based study and no human or animal participants were involved, ethics approval was not required.

Eligibility Criteria
The eligibility of respite care services was determined through a 2-step process. First, for respite care services to be considered eligible for this environmental scan, they had to be (1) offered in Quebec, (2) coordinated by an official organization, (3) offered in-person, and (4) offered as a stand-alone service. Home support services that did not specifically mention the concept of respite were excluded, along with Google ads. Remote respite care services (eg, video camera “nanny cams”) and informal respite care provided by family, friends, or self-employed individuals were not considered, as well as services only available when participating in the organization’s broader activities [1].

Second, eligible respite care services were further screened to identify a subgroup of services that (1) were offered in the family’s home and (2) indicated that services were either destined for a population in palliative care or at the end of life or that specialized services for persons in palliative care or at the end of life were offered in conjunction with general respite care.

Internet-Based Search of Respite Care Services
Respite care services were identified by (1) searching internet-based respite and health care databases and (2) searching the most commonly used internet search engine. The search strategies and methodology were created in collaboration with an expert librarian and reviewed by consulting coauthors to ensure that the keywords used were most appropriate for the Quebec context. Examples of keywords used in these search strategies included “respite care,” “short-term care,” and “home caregiving” (see Table 1 for the full list of keywords).

Table 1. Keywords related to the main research question and concept of respite care; keywords were translated from English to French by a bilingual member of the research team, with the corroborating assistance of DeepL Translator (DeepL SE) [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original English keywords</th>
<th>Translated French keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respite care</td>
<td>Soins de répit; service de répit; soins de relève; service de relève</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respite</td>
<td>Répit; relève</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term care; short term care</td>
<td>Soins à court terme; soins de courte durée</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting service</td>
<td>Service de garde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult day-care; adult day care; adult daycare</td>
<td>Soins de jour pour adulte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day respite facility</td>
<td>Établissement de répit de jour; centre de répit de jour; maison de répit de jour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice at home; home-based palliative care; home hospice</td>
<td>Soins palliatifs à domicile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice day centre; palliative day centre</td>
<td>Centre de jour de soins palliatifs; centre de jour palliatif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home care; Homecare; home caregiving</td>
<td>Soins à domicile; assistance à domicile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiving help</td>
<td>Aide aux proches aidants; aide aux aidants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help for caregivers</td>
<td>Aide pour proches aidants; aide pour aidants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step 1: Searching Internet-Based Respite and Health Care Databases

Overview

A bilingual, French and English, search was conducted using web resource databases intended for caregivers and patients and that are relevant to the subject of caregiving support and respite care in Quebec—the Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator and the resource directory for L’Appui Proche Aidants, an organization supporting informal caregiving in Quebec [29,30]. Our search strategy slightly differed from 1 database to the next due to their unique search functionalities.

Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator

This database was searched using the keywords found in Textbox 1, with Quebec, Canada, listed as the location. No specific search parameters or limitations were applied, and the results were sorted by relevance.

Textbox 1. Search permutation for Google search; “Keyword” was replaced by each keyword listed in Table 1. Quebec, Montreal, Sherbrooke, Trois-Rivieres, Chicoutimi, Saint-Jerome, and Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu were selected due to being populous regions in the province of Quebec.

| For English keywords: “Keyword” AND (“palliative” OR “hospice” OR “dying” OR “end-of-life”) AND (Quebec OR Montreal OR Sherbrooke OR Trois-Rivieres OR Chicoutimi OR Saint-Jerome OR Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu) |
| For French keywords: “Keyword” AND (“palliatif” OR “mourant” OR “mourir” OR “fin de vie”) AND (Quebec OR Montréal OR Sherbrooke OR Trois-Rivières OR Chicoutimi OR Saint-Jérôme OR Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu) |

L’Appui Resource Directory

This database was searched using the “Search by Service” function along with selecting the subcategory listed in the database filters of “respite care services offered in the home.” This directory does not allow for a province-wide search. Thus, the most populated postal codes for each of Quebec’s 18 health regions were used to facilitate the search for services across Quebec [31,32]. The results were automatically sorted from closest to farthest away from the postal code.

Step 2: Searching an Internet Search Engine

Google, the most popular search engine option in Canada, was used on a private browsing window to further identify respite care services [33]. The following search permutation (see Textbox 1) was selected based on its ability to return a high number of relevant results.

Before conducting each search, Google settings were adjusted to deactivate results personalization based on prior activity, location, and stored data. Such adjustments reduce the probability of previous search activities by the researcher, or their location, affecting the results of the search [34]. Google alerts for once-a-month returns were also created for the keyword combinations to identify new results after the initial search period.

Step 3: Screening

Based on preliminary searches, the 2 caregiving support databases, and particularly the 1 internet search engine, yielded a large number of results. In order to screen a feasible number of relevant results, we reviewed the first 100 results for each search, which accounts for the first 10 pages of results on Google with default settings [35,36]. In general, users interact most with first page results, with few visiting or clicking the following pages’ results [37]. Therefore, our approach goes beyond the typical use of internet search engines.

Duplicates were removed, and each returned result’s home page was previewed for eligibility. Search results that did not meet the eligibility criteria, such as information sheets that shared caregiving support information but not respite care contact information, news articles or general reports on respite care, were not included. When eligibility was unclear, the team discussed the service to determine if the result should be included.

Step 4: Data Collection

Once screened, each eligible respite care organization’s website was saved and reviewed to extract information on the service eligibility criteria, service features, geographic availability, targeted demographics, costs, and language of the respite care service [5]. Similar variables have been identified and used in previous research [3,27,38,39]. To foster a consistent approach, the data collection was done independently by 1 researcher. If any discrepancies arose, issues were discussed and resolved with the research team.

Step 5: Conducting Expert Consultations

Preliminary search strategy findings with a current list of services were sent to a group of 5 stakeholders (experts) comprising community members involved in respite care coordination and research. For review and feedback, experts were asked to verify our list of respite care services. They were also invited to direct us to any other respite care services in Quebec and identify any other essential feature required to describe the respite care services [39]. Stakeholders and identified organizations were also asked to provide feedback on the final paper and results.

Step 6: Data Analyses

Qualitative deductive content analysis was used to descriptively analyze and interpret the data using a predetermined coding framework consisting of the following categories: service features, length of services, setting, care provider, region, costs, language, eligibility criteria, and user profile [40]. A geographical map of the services by region was created using graphic design software.

A framework defining “access” to health care was also identified post hoc as part of our iterative data analyses for further analyzing the data related to “accessibility” [41]. Norris and Aiken [41] conceptualized access to health care as characterized by (1) the family’s amenability to receive services (ie, the client’s readiness and knowledge of service and contextual factors), (2) the services’ availability (including location and...
hours of operation), (3) the eligibility of the client to access such services (including costs), and (4) the compatibility between the service and individual needs. This framework helped contextualize and structure our analysis of the findings, whereby each predetermined coding category was matched to 1 of the 4 components of health care accessibility.

Results

Overview

We used descriptions of the services along with specific service features, according to Norris and Aiken’s [41] framework of personal access to health care, to determine the overall accessibility of the respite care services identified—amenability, availability, eligibility, and compatibility.

Amenability

A total of 100 searches were conducted, including 41 on the Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator, 18 on the L’Appui Resource Directory, and 41 on Google (including monthly search alerts) producing a total of 4757 search results. Of these results, 401 results corresponded to respite care services, 52 of which were included in our analyses as they offered in-home respite care targeted to individuals with palliative care needs. The remainder of services were offered in a designated location (eg, a hospice or care home) and targeted to other key populations (eg, children with chronic disabilities). The most common reasons for exclusion were that respite care services were offered outside the province of Quebec (n=94), that home support services did not mention respite (n=120), and overwhelmingly, that no services specific to the concept of respite care were found on the website (n=2111). A total of 2 services were ultimately excluded due to providing no contact information. In some cases, these identified websites corresponded to an unrelated database, caregiving resource, news article, miscellaneous service, or obituary. Figure 1 summarizes the results obtained through data collection and screening.

Google was the most successful database for the identification of relevant respite care services. In fact, 40 eligible services (40/52, 76%) were discovered through Google, 26 of which (26/40, 65%) were exclusive to this search engine and were not found in the Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator or L’Appui databases. The Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator enabled the identification of 23 eligible services (8 exclusively), while the L’Appui Resource Directory identified 7 eligible services (1 exclusively). The expert consultations uncovered 3 services, 1 of which is currently in development, as well as others already identified through the other search strategies. An overview of each respite care service’s characteristics is explored in the following sections and summarized in Multimedia Appendix 1.

Figure 1. Flowchart of respite care data collection strategy, adapted from Moher et al’s model [42]. CCS CSL: Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator.
Description of the Variety of Respite Care Services Offerings

The specific respite care offerings were characterized by a variety of activities and types of care for both the caregiver and the care recipient. All of these activities occurred in the context of a respite care visit, that is, this visit consisted of another person coming to the home to provide care for the care recipient so that the informal caregiver could leave the premises if they so desired.

The most common respite care activities offered were accompaniment (36/52, 69%), assistance with daily activities (29/52, 56%), personal care (19/52, 36%), and specialized care (17/52, 33%). In many cases, the organizations offered several types of specialized care, like palliative and cancer care. A total of 7 (14%) organizations specifically mentioned that they provided symptom and pain management as part of their respite care services. A total of 2 (4%) organizations listed restricted activities that they could not offer during the respite period (ie, medication administration and hygiene care). All organizations focused on assisting the care recipient. A total of 9 (17%) organizations also included some type of support for informal caregivers while on respite; for example, 1 organization had a rest lounge available for caregivers that the caregivers could visit while the respite care provider went to the care recipient’s home.

Availability, Including Flexibility

Respite care services were found primarily across Eastern Quebec, as can be observed in Figure 2. Only 1 service was identified in the regions of Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Nord-du-Québec, Nunavik and Terres-Cries-de-la-Baie-James. The greatest concentration of services was found in Greater Montreal, a densely populated metropolitan area comprised of the health regions of Montreal and Laval, as well as parts of Lanaudière, Laurentides, and Montérégie [43].

Figure 2. Locations of respite care services across Quebec health regions. The map was adapted from Qualifications Quebec and the Ministry of Health and Social Services [44,45]. Some services are offered in more than 1 region.

Agencies valued service flexibility. Many organizations emphasized individualized care for the unique situation of the family and explicitly specified that both planned and unplanned (emergency or on call) services were available (10/52, 19%). Some services had a designated telephone line for questions and service requests, available at all times (3/52, 6%). Furthermore, the majority of services report a 7-days-a-week (28/52, 54%), 24-hours-a-day (22/52, 42%) availability, for periods of a few hours (31/52, 60%), and at a frequency of once or twice a week (3/52, 6%). Some services explicitly advertised overnight respite services (9/52, 17%) and for lengths of over 24 hours (8/52, 15%). More flexibility with the number of hours and timing of the service was seen in some exceptional situations. A total of 4 (8%) organizations stated that overnight services were available for patients at the end of life. That said, it is unclear how quickly families can access respite care services identified in the sample due to delays between a request and service provision (14/52, 27%) due to requirements for initial consultations or waitlists.

Eligibility

The care recipient target population for the identified respite care services consisted of persons in palliative care, at the end of life, persons with specific diagnoses like cancer, older adults, and persons affected by a loss of autonomy. Caregivers and loved ones were also targeted by the services, with some services citing specific eligibility requirements such as being a care recipient at the end-of-life, in palliative care, with a cancer diagnosis, or residing in a specific region. However, many organizations did not disclose their eligibility criteria (24/52, 46%).

A total of 20 (38%) respite care services were free of cost for the users, while 27 (52%) had associated fees. Often, these fees were not defined or openly available on the organization’s website (23/27, 85%), thus, requiring families to contact the respite care organization for more information. The disclosed fees ranged from CAD $15 (US $11.08) per day to CAD $32 (US $23.63) per hour, with the bottom range much lower than...
Quebec’s minimum hourly wage of CAD $15.25 (US $11.26) [46].

Compatibility

Of the 52 services identified, 29 (56%) were offered by organizations classified as nonprofits as per Quebec’s Enterprise Register [47]. Respite care services often involved either volunteers (17/52, 33%); a team of multidisciplinary health professionals including patient care attendants and nurses (21/52, 40%); or a combination of volunteers and health care providers (4/52, 8%). Volunteer-provided services were most frequently free and accompaniment-based, whereas health care professional-provided services generally consisted of nursing-oriented care with associated fees. Nonetheless, staff, including volunteers, often had additional training for a specific clientele (23/52, 44%; eg, volunteers trained in end-of-life care). Agencies emphasized caregiver consistency and finding a good match between the caregiving dyad and staff.

A total of 29 (56%) organizations had a unilingual website (French or English) and 20 (38%) organizations had a bilingual website (French and English). The identified services’ websites often did not specify which languages were available for the provision of care (18/52, 35%), although some organizations specified language—some services were available only in 1 language (French, 9/52, 17%); others were bilingual (English and French, 17/52, 33%); or in 3 or more languages (8/52, 15%). Additionally, the websites of these services were not always available in the languages offered.

Member-Checking Feedback From Organizations

An email was sent to respite organizations to confirm the findings of our research. A total of 15 (29%) organizations provided feedback on the results and validation for our project. Most frequently, organizations added additional information to what was provided on their website. For example, many organizations clarified the nature of activities performed during the respite period (5/15, 33%), the languages of services (6/15, 40%), or the availability and length of services (3/14, 21%). In some cases, the information gathered from the website was inaccurate and further clarified by the organization. For example, one organization provided fees that differed from that of their website.

Discussion

Overview

Respite care services should strive for high quality and safety. Norris and Aiken’s [41] framework of personal access to health care was identified post hoc and seemed to match our themes nicely. We analyzed the results based on how these services fit into this framework of accessibility. We will use the following section to discuss the gaps affecting amenability, variety of types of services, limited availability of services, and eligibility and provider impacts, as well as the limitations, strengths of our research, and opportunities for future research.

Amenability: Gaps Affecting the Amenability of Respite Care Services

The need for advanced digital health literacy skills, incomplete information and language barriers are some of the key gaps affecting the amenability of respite care services that were identified as part of this environmental scan.

A significant time investment and high digital literacy skills were required to carefully create search strategies, sift through thousands of results, and retrieve a relatively small selection of respite care services relevant to palliative care families in Quebec. Even a sophisticated user may not have the mindset required to go through a tedious search process given the demanding nature of caregiving in the palliative care context. Caregivers or clinicians may not be familiar with internet search strategies or be in a position to dedicate time and energy to the search and identification process for respite care services. Thus, the intensive search and screening process constitutes an important accessibility barrier, needing to be addressed as part of best practice guidelines, and perhaps alleviated with the use of collaboratively developed digital tools (eg, chatbot) or care navigators [7,19,48,49].

Incomplete access to information was one of the most significant challenges encountered in this project. Many of the identified respite care organizations’ websites did not share critical information on their services, such as the fees, eligibility criteria, or availability. In some cases, this missing information could be obtained by reaching out to the organization directly. However, many organizations did not respond to our request for feedback. Incomplete information on respite care services is a deterrent to access, often resulting in caregivers having unanswered questions and unclear expectations [50]. In other words, caregivers may find it difficult to gauge if the respite care service is relevant to their unique situation, if they are eligible and what procedure they should follow to access the service. Clinicians may also find it difficult to know what services are available in the community, where to link or refer their clients and what the request procedure looks like. This challenge may be accentuated when respite care organizations’ websites are only available in 1 language, as was seen in our sample. With this in mind, respite care organizations may wish to make information about their services more widely available online and continue to incorporate clinician, caregiver, and care recipient feedback, so that their services can become more widely accessible, available, and family-centered [2,48].

Types of Services: Complex Variety of Respite Care Service Offerings

Respite care services take on many formats and should aim to address a variety of individualized needs [5,6,48,51,52]. Frequently cited priorities for end-of-life care at home include physical (eg, symptom management) and psychosocial care (eg, interpersonal connection), which nurses are often best equipped to provide [2,6,16,50,51,53,54]. Service offerings aimed at caregivers, like rest lounges or psychological care, may help to alleviate the caregiver burden in targeted ways so that they can be better equipped to cope and care for their loved one [9,52].
Our sample of 52 respite care services offers an array of respite care services and reflects the various priorities of families in a palliative stage of care. This data contradict the common criticism of respite care, that it is too often focused solely on caregiver needs and burdens while ignoring the care recipient’s needs [2]. These findings also suggest that services have the potential to address a wide range of needs and provide caregivers with greater flexibility to choose how they want to spend their time while on respite [3]. However, the variety in respite care service descriptions may make it difficult for users and clinicians to compare and contrast options in their community, and perhaps select what they need. Systematic reporting of basic services across all service providers, such as an easy-to-search database that is regularly updated, is needed to determine the best types of service provision. Organizations should also troubleshoot how to deliver effective services within a low-cost model, in an effort to improve at-home respite care across Quebec regions.

**Availability: Limited and Sparse Availability of Services**

Rural and Indigenous communities are often faced with service provisions not meeting the needs and preferences of families, nor supporting death at home [20,52]. Our research identified a lack of in-person services in Western and Northern Quebec, areas representing approximately 2.2% of Quebec’s population (estimated population of 195,409 in 2022) and 2.5% of Quebec’s deaths every year (1719 deaths in 2021) [43,55]. These findings accentuate the scarcity of resources described in the literature [56]. Therefore, to enable more caregiving dyads to benefit from adapted respite care, infrastructure, targeted funding, and service options need to be expanded, particularly in rural and Indigenous communities of Quebec. Inclusivity, community leadership, and family-centered approaches should take the forefront in these efforts [56].

Best practice guidelines for the provision of respite care stipulate that flexibility in service provision is a key criterion to ensure that the ever-changing needs of the caregiver and care recipient are being met, that a continuum of care is maintained, that the diversity of the clientele is respected and that caregiving dyads can make the most of the respite period [5,6,19,48]. In Quebec, the length of the 52 identified services’ availability and frequency were diverse. Flexible services, such as those available 24 hours a day and 7 days a week, for a longer period of time (hours to days) or with “on-call” availability, may enable the caregiving dyad to engage in a greater selection of activities (eg, sleeping, running errands, and social interactions), as opposed to respite care services limited to a specific time of the day. The services’ flexibility also potentially impacts how quickly caregivers can access respite care when an urgent or unplanned need arises, to ensure the care recipient is still being cared for. Given the results’ significant range of availabilities, we can conclude that some services are as flexible as current guidelines suggest. These results point to a larger issue of gaps and barriers affecting the accessibility and usage of respite care services.

**Eligibility: Eligibility Requirements Limiting Access to Respite Care Services**

The respite care clientele is diverse [11]. Hence, eligibility requirements have the potential to restrict access to families most likely to use such home-based nursing services, such as users with less financial resources or those who speak minority languages [3,7,16,20]. Services without specific eligibility criteria or free of charge may be more appealing to a greater population of families in need of respite. The organizations specifically mentioning eligibility criteria may help to ensure the population of a given region has access to services in their community, or that the respite care services meet the needs of that specific population (ie, services tailored to people at the end-of-life). However, the respite care services that were most flexible, were also generally fee-based. Consequently, financial barriers may limit access to such services for families who need them most.

**Compatibility: Provider Impact on the Compatibility of Respite Care Services**

The therapeutic relationship between the caregiver, care recipient, and respite care provider is essential in achieving satisfaction with a respite care service and is tightly linked with caregiver well-being [5,7,8,19,57]. Several organizations highlighted caregiver consistency in their description of services, which may play an important role in the development of a collaborative and trusting relationship. Thus, these results may prompt organization leaders to consider diversifying multidisciplinary teams, provide further training and aim for greater care provider consistency in an effort to achieve high-quality respite care service provision.

As suggested within best practice guidelines for respite care, service providers must be equipped with the skills, training, and experience to provide safe and high-quality care [5,19,48,57]. Volunteers are great resources for respite care service provision but may be limited in the offerings they are allowed to provide [8,48,58]. This may explain why many identified volunteer-provided respite care services in our sample were oriented around accompaniment, a service that may be more personalized and adaptable to a client’s unique psychosocial needs [59]. Volunteer limitations may also provide context for the restrictions in service provision, a potential deterrent for families, depending on their care requirements [5]. On the other hand, health care providers have the benefit of training and experience with structured, specialized interventions corresponding to the common requests of caregivers, the needs of the care recipient, and the specialized nature of palliative care in general [50,51,54,59]. Nurses, in particular, are heavily involved in clinical, coordination, and leadership positions associated with palliative care and home care services due to the holistic nature of their role and therapeutic relationship with families [60,61]. In our sample of services, health care professional-provided services were often centered on physical care, symptom management, and other nursing interventions. Collaborations between health care professionals and volunteers, as seen in the sample, potentially contribute to providing cost-effective and family-centered respite care, while overcoming challenges associated with limited health care.
resources [60]. Similarly, additional training, showcased by some agencies, may further clarify the roles and responsibilities of the care provider while enabling them to provide high-quality care specifically targeted to individuals with cancer, at the end-of-life or in palliative care, for example [48]. This centralized information about respite care providers may encourage involvement in local respite care organization activities, for example, by creating a network of respite care providers and collaborative training opportunities.

**Limitations and Strengths**

Limitations to this research include the availability of information on the internet, the use of specific languages (ie, only English and French), limited data collection (ie, restricting to 3 search databases or engines, and 100 results per return), and the impact of digital algorithms. This analysis represents only the information available on the internet and feedback from a limited number of organizations, which may slightly differ from actual respite care service features or currently available services. Many excluded services highlighted the provision of home care services without specifically mentioning a respite component. Therefore, relevant services that provide respite care without explicitly advertising these services may have been excluded. However, “respite care” is the term most commonly found in the literature and that caregivers are most likely to use when seeking a break from their dyadic informal caregiving roles [2,8]. Future research could examine subsets of this project’s ineligible services (ie, home care services and respite care services outside the home) in an effort to better understand the breadth of community health care services available to informal caregivers and care recipients.

Additionally, due to resource constraints, not every postal code could be searched in the L’Appui Resource Directory, and only the first 100 results for each search were screened. This cut-off point was chosen in other grey literature searches and justified by the amount of traffic received by results on the first page of Google compared to any additional pages [35,36,62]. Nonetheless, there is still the possibility that some less popular or poorly advertised respite care services may have been missed in the search process. Similarly, Google algorithms may have played a role in the display and order of search results despite taking precautions to disable such alterations. However, we believe our multimethod approach helps to overcome these limitations.

All things considered, the environmental scan methodology devised for this project was successful at identifying diverse at-home palliative respite care services across the province of Quebec and synthesizing service features [21,63]. Novel methodologies used in the project, such as combining search engines and internet-based community health databases, using postal codes to search for services, as well as seeking expert feedback via member-checking, may be useful for other researchers attempting to comprehensively map other types of services while reducing bias [64]. There is also a potential to further expand our search strategy by including other tools (eg, Google Maps and artificial intelligence chatbots), strategies we have attempted but ultimately abandoned due to the lack of existing methodologies and the current functioning of these tools not showcasing relevant results.

**Opportunities for Future Research**

Caregivers are often challenged by overwhelming amounts of information when seeking health care services on the internet, hence, a coordinated database is an important unmet caregiving need [6,7,19,53]. Therefore, concise and complete records of respite care services are warranted to (1) improve families’ knowledge of the services available in their community and how to access them, (2) to improve clinicians’ ability to share and refer clients to such services, and (3) to promote the expansion of existing services and development of complementary resources [49]. Best practice guidelines and digital databases should be updated, further developed, and validated by users and organizations, to reflect health care service search and identification challenges. For example, key filters like type of respite care provider, fees, service offerings, and eligibility criteria, could be included as part of a digital database. Moreover, the methodology and findings may be of interest to referring clinicians and policymakers responsible for planning future needs as Canada moves away from institutional care, toward holistic community care.

**Conclusions**

Comprehensively identifying available respite care services is essential for assessing the overall availability of respite services, as well as identifying potential barriers that individuals and clinicians face when seeking out these services [4,48]. The findings of this project emphasize that the identification, navigation, and access to such services likely remain challenging for individuals in need of respite and clinicians looking to refer their patients. These results stress the need for a centralized searchable database to render accessible information on respite care services available in communities across Québec. The proposed methodology, consisting of combining several data sources, may guide researchers in conducting other community health service environmental scans.
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Multimedia Appendix 1
List of 52 Quebec respite care services offered at home to families with palliative care needs identified via Google, in the Canadian Cancer Society Community Services Locator or the L’Appui Resource Directory.
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Abstract

Background: Multimedia interventions may play an important role in improving patient care and reducing the time constraints of patient-clinician encounters. The “MyStay Cardiac” multimedia resource is an innovative program designed to be accessed by adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the uptake of the MyStay Cardiac both during and following the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A prospective observational study design was used that involved the evaluation of program usage data available from the digital interface of the multimedia program. Data on usage patterns were analyzed for a 30-month period between August 2020 and January 2023. Usage patterns were compared during and following the lifting of COVID-19 pandemic restrictions. Uptake of the MyStay Cardiac was measured via the type and extent of user activity data captured by the web-based information system.

Results: Intensive care unit recovery information was the most accessed information, being viewed in approximately 7 of 10 usage sessions. Ward recovery (n=124/343, 36.2%), goal (n=114/343, 33.2%), and exercise (n=102/343, 29.7%) information were routinely accessed. Most sessions involved users exclusively viewing text-based information (n=210/343, 61.2%). However, in over one-third of sessions (n=132/342, 38.5%), users accessed video information. Most usage sessions occurred during the COVID-19 restriction phase of the study (August 2020-December 2021). Sessions in which video (P=.02, phi=0.124) and audio (P=.006, phi=0.161) media were accessed were significantly more likely to occur in the restriction phase compared to the postrestriction phase.

Conclusions: This study found that the use of digital multimedia resources to support patient education was well received and integrated into their practice by cardiac nurses working in acute care during the COVID-19 pandemic. There was a pattern for greater usage of the MyStay Cardiac during the COVID-19 pandemic when access to the health service for nonfrontline, essential workers was limited.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e54317) doi:10.2196/54317
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Introduction

In 2020, a total of 14,408 cardiothoracic operations were performed in 39 specialist cardiac surgery centers in Australia [1]. Open-heart surgery that involves sternotomy includes an intensive care unit (ICU) stay for 24-72 hours, followed by 5-7 days in a specialist cardiac ward for treatment optimization and recovery. Optimal recovery outcomes following major surgery require patients to be informed about and engaged in their care [2]. When patients are engaged in their own care, there is evidence to suggest that their recovery is both informed and safer [3,4]. The therapeutic relationship that develops between patients and nurses in acute care is the foundation for patient-centered care, which is required by the National Safety and Quality Health Service standards [5].

The journey of patients who underwent cardiac surgery through the hospital system begins prior to and on admission and is facilitated by a multidisciplinary team, including cardiac nurses, who educate patients about what can be expected during their ICU and cardiac ward admission. To aid and support patient recovery post cardiac surgery, administration of adequate analgesics to expedite participation in chest physiotherapy and early mobility [6-10] is a key recovery intervention facilitated by the multidisciplinary team [2,11]. Despite its importance, patient education can be time-consuming in acute care when the acuity of illness, an unfamiliar environment, and the effects of medications and treatment impact patients’ information recall [12].

Multimedia interventions may play an important role in improving patient comprehension and reducing the time constraints of patient-clinician encounters [13,14]. The use of multimedia interventions in patient care may help minimize time requirements that are usually needed for clinicians to provide patient education [12]. Several studies have shown that patients learn and retain information better when they are provided with both visual and verbal information presented together [15,16]. A major strength of using multimedia resources is the consistency of messaging and the fact that patients can access the resources at their convenience, which aids in the recall and retention of key information [14,17]. This is particularly important in the early stages of acute recovery when patients are busy with procedures and treatments that affect cognition. Having a resource for patients that is available when they have the opportunity, is easy to use, can be delivered when the patient is ready, and may help mitigate inequalities related to reading ability, viz, text-only patient education, are also important considerations [12,17].

It is important to evaluate the feasibility of nurses using digital multimedia to promote patient engagement and participation in care. Furthermore, with the increasing use of digital technology to promote patient participation in care [14,18,19], it is important to review the implementation of such technology in the postoperative setting [20]. The “MyStay Cardiac” multimedia program is an innovative program designed to be accessed by adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery. The program can be accessed by patients independently or with the assistance of nurses in the ICU, coronary care unit and cardiac ward to monitor their pain intensity score and mobility improvement while in hospital, recovering from cardiac surgery. The aim of the MyStay Cardiac program is to support the recovery of patients being admitted for cardiac surgery in the pre- and postoperative phases [17].

The MyStay Cardiac multimedia program was implemented at a private metropolitan hospital in Melbourne during the COVID-19 pandemic in July 2020. During 2020, with the escalating COVID-19 pandemic, the provision of nonmandatory staff education in hospitals was limited [21], and as such, a passive diffusion approach to implementation was used [22]. Evidence suggests that both patients and staff require structured education and support to implement such a program successfully [23]. It is therefore both important and interesting to gain an understanding of how successful the program has become without ongoing structured facilitation in the clinical practice setting [22].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the uptake of a multimedia intervention, MyStay Cardiac, both during and following the COVID-19 pandemic. The specific aims of this study are provided in Textbox 1.

Textbox 1. Specific aims of this study.

- To explore the uptake and use of different modules and functionalities within the Cardiac MyStay multimedia program.
- To compare use of the MyStay Cardiac during periods of restricted access to hospitals due to the COVID-19 pandemic (August 2020-December 2021) to use once the COVID-19 restrictions were no longer in place (January 2022-January 2023).

Methods

Study Design

A prospective observational study design was used that involved the evaluation of program utilization data available from the digital interface for the multimedia program. Sampling was consecutive; all patients on the ward were offered the opportunity to use the MyStay app during their stay and to participate in this research. The inclusion criterion was as follows: patients on the cardiac ward who used the MyStay app. Patients who did not use the MyStay app were excluded from the study. Though not formally excluded on the basis of such characteristics, it is possible that adverse health events, language, or cognition issues may have precluded some patients from using the MyStay app and thus from participating in the study.
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Study Site
The study was conducted at a large private health service in Victoria, Australia.

MyStay Cardiac Multimedia Resources
The MyStay Cardiac multimedia program combines text, audio, video, and 3D animations to deliver information to patients and their families to enhance their understanding of, and participation in, postoperative care, meeting recovery goals, and discharge planning [17]. The web-based platform allows patients to access MyStay both prior to and following their surgery. Content within the cardiac surgery app is organized into the following modules: (1) ICU stay, (2) ward stay, (3) exercise and rehabilitation, (4) pain management, (5) keeping you safe (preventing complications), and (6) preparing for discharge. The program can be accessed by patients independently or with the assistance of nurses in the ICU, coronary care unit, and cardiac ward to monitor their pain intensity score and mobility improvement whilst in hospital, recovering from cardiac surgery. During the study period, MyStay pain intensity scores were used for informational purposes only, that is, for patients’ records and understanding, to encourage participation in their care, and not as a part of formal, clinical assessments of pain.

Implementation of the MyStay Cardiac at the Study Site
The MyStay Cardiac program was made available to cardiac nurses and allied health staff in July 2020, following a web-based education session. During this session, each module of the MyStay Cardiac was demonstrated to the cardiac nurse educators, cardiac ward nurses, and physiotherapy team. Hospital information technology administrators made the program accessible to the wide-screen, bedside computer terminals located in all patients’ rooms. The clinicians were provided with a generic login and password so they could access the MyStay resources and familiarize themselves with the content. Clinicians were then encouraged to integrate the MyStay Cardiac resources into their interactions with patients undergoing cardiac surgery at the study site. Following this initial education session, further structured implementation by the research team was not possible in 2020-2021 due to state government restrictions on nonessential staff attending hospital sites during the COVID-19 pandemic in Melbourne, Australia [21]. There was no change to the physical infrastructure and availability of the app to patients either before or following COVID-19 restrictions. Further, in addition to using the bedside computers, patients could also access the app via their personal devices (eg, smartphones, tablets, and laptops).

To verify how the MyStay Cardiac resources were being used, 3 cardiac nurses (2 cardiac educators and 1 nurse manager) were interviewed in late 2021 and indicated high levels of satisfaction with the MyStay Cardiac resources, stating they were accessible, relevant to care delivery both before and after the cardiac survey, and a usefuladjunction to the usual cardiac education they provided to patients during their admission. The cardiac nurse educators reported predominantly using the MyStay Cardiac resources in structured preoperative patient education sessions provided on the day prior to surgery. The availability of animations demonstrating what to expect during an ICU admission provides an alternative to an in-person ICU visit the day before surgery. As part of the standard routine of the cardiac wards, structured postoperative education sessions focusing on preparation for discharge, care, and recovery at home are provided regularly on Wednesdays.

Uptake and Usage of the MyStay Cardiac
Uptake of the MyStay Cardiac was measured via the type and extent of user activity data captured by the web-based information system: page visits; button clicks; audio starts and stops; and video starts and stops. Records were outputted into a spreadsheet. Specific data fields included: activity day of the week and date; activity duration; and activity location and type (Multimedia Appendix 1).

To aid the analysis of activity data, MyStay Cardiac usage records were partitioned into discrete usage sessions. A MyStay session was defined as an unbroken sequence of user activity, with gaps between activities not exceeding 15 minutes. The duration of an activity was calculated by the length of time between 2 consecutive activities. As no usage followed the final activity of each MyStay session, we substituted this value with the total mean activity duration for the corresponding MyStay session. Each session was categorized according to the combination of MyStay material accessed by users. Categories were as follows: (1) ICU stay information only (ICU and day 1 or 2); (2) ward stay information only (ward and day 3+); (3) exercise information only; (4) ICU and exercise information; (5) ward and exercise information; (6) ICU and ward information; and (7) all the above.

To evaluate the impact of the COVID-19 lockdowns that restricted access to health services by nonessential personnel, the following time periods were defined: restricted access to acute hospitals, August 2020-December 2021, and unrestricted access, January 2022-January 2023.

Data Analysis
Data summaries were performed using descriptive statistics. The normality of continuous variables was assessed via visual inspection of histograms: total items clicked; average activity duration; and total session length. Where continuous variables were non-normal, central tendency and spread were reported according to the median and IQR. Otherwise, means and SDs of continuous data were reported.

Strengths of association between categorical variables measuring app usage were evaluated using chi-square tests of Independence: ICU recovery material accessed; ward recovery material accessed; goals accessed; exercise material accessed; pain record accessed; video accessed; audio accessed; and access during patient education days (Wednesdays). Where contingency tables were 2×2, a continuity correction was applied to chi-square analyses. Between-group comparisons of continuous data were performed using Kruskal-Wallis H tests, with post hoc analyses performed using Mann-Whitney U tests. Data were summarized and analyzed in SPSS Statistics (version 29; IBM Corp.).
Ethical Considerations

This paper reports on a specific component within a larger observational program of research on the MyStay multimedia app. Ethics and research governance approval for the larger study was given by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the participating university (#2020-053) and the Research Governance Unit of the hospital site, respectively. Participants engaged in the formal data collection methods within the larger study are required to give informed written consent to participate. However, the data collected as part of the protocols reported in this study were only anonymous application usage data, routinely collected by the technology platform as part of usual care. Consent to participate in these specific protocols was implied; the MyStay app indicates in its terms of service that users agree that de-identified, aggregated usage data may be used for the purposes of conducting health-related research.

Results

Principal Findings

Data on usage patterns of the MyStay Cardiac resources was analyzed for a 30-month period between August 2020 and January 2023.

Table 1. Usage of the MyStay Cardiac during COVID-19 restriction and post–COVID-19 restriction phases (August 2020-January 2023).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of MyStay Cardiac</th>
<th>COVID-19 restrictions</th>
<th>Post-restriction phase</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restriction phase</td>
<td>Post-restriction phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of usage sessions, n (%)</td>
<td>213 (62.1)</td>
<td>130 (37.9)</td>
<td>343 (100)</td>
<td>_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of material accessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU^d recovery, n (%)</td>
<td>141 (66.2)</td>
<td>96 (73.8)</td>
<td>237 (69.1)</td>
<td>.17^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward recovery, n (%)</td>
<td>81 (38)</td>
<td>43 (33.1)</td>
<td>124 (36.2)</td>
<td>.42^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals, n (%)</td>
<td>77 (36.2)</td>
<td>37 (28.5)</td>
<td>114 (33.2)</td>
<td>.18^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (30.5)</td>
<td>37 (28.5)</td>
<td>102 (29.7)</td>
<td>.78^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain record, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (8)</td>
<td>3 (2.3)</td>
<td>20 (5.8)</td>
<td>.05^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessed audio-visual material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video, n (%)</td>
<td>92 (43.2)</td>
<td>40 (30.8)</td>
<td>132 (38.5)</td>
<td>.03^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (9.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>23 (6.7)</td>
<td>.006^e,f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient education days (Wednesday), n (%)</td>
<td>81 (38)</td>
<td>45 (34.6)</td>
<td>126 (36.7)</td>
<td>.60^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total items clicked, median (IQR)</td>
<td>13 (0.0-27.5)</td>
<td>9.5 (0.0-24.5)</td>
<td>12 (0.0-26.0)</td>
<td>.45^e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity duration (seconds); median (IQR)</td>
<td>13 (2.5-23.5)</td>
<td>18 (6.5-29.5)</td>
<td>15 (4.0-26.0)</td>
<td>.11^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total session length (minutes); median (IQR)</td>
<td>181 (0-586.5)</td>
<td>210 (0.0-810.0)</td>
<td>192 (0.0-706.0)</td>
<td>.67^f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Restriction phase August 2020-December 2021.
^c Not applicable.
^d ICU: intensive care unit.
^e Chi-square test of independence.
^f Continuity correction applied.
^g Mann-Whitney U test.

Usage outcomes of MyStay Cardiac are reported for the 2 study phases in Table 1. ICU recovery information was the most accessed information, being viewed in approximately 7 in 10 usage sessions. Ward recovery (n=124/343, 36.2%), goal (n=114/343, 33.2%), and exercise (n=102/343, 29.7%) information were routinely accessed. However, access to the patient pain record was very infrequent (n=20/343, 5.8% of sessions). Most sessions involved users exclusively viewing text-based information (n=210/343, 61.2%). However, in over a third of sessions (n=132/343, 38.5%), users accessed video information. Further, over a third of sessions occurred were on patient education that was provided to patients on Wednesday during their acute care admission. The focus of these sessions was on recovery following surgery and rehabilitation following acute care discharge. This finding is in line with the report from the cardiac nurse educators that they predominantly used the MyStay Cardiac for pre- and postoperative education sessions.
Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic Restrictions on MyStay Cardiac Usage

Most usage sessions occurred during the COVID-19 restriction phase of the study (August 2020-December 2021). Sessions in which video \((P=0.02, \phi=0.124)\) and audio \((P=0.006, \phi=0.161)\) media were accessed were significantly more likely to occur in the restriction phase compared to the post-restriction phase. Information on ICU recovery was the most used module, with MyStay Cardiac being accessed by users in 237/343 (69.1%) usage sessions. This pattern of usage reflects the use of the MyStay Cardiac for preoperative education on the day of admission to the hospital. No significant associations were present between the study phase and whether system access occurred on patient education days or whether ICU recovery, ward recovery, goal, exercise, or pain record material was accessed during sessions. Furthermore, no significant differences in total items clicked, activity duration, and total session length between the restriction and postrestriction phases were found.

### Usage Patterns

Associations and differences in usage outcomes between the 3 session types (rapid view, content exploration and in-depth look) are reported in Table 2. Chi-square tests of independence indicated statistically significant associations between patterns of MyStay use and the type of MyStay material accessed: ICU recovery \((P<.001, \text{Cramer V}=0.373)\); ward recovery \((P<.001, \text{Cramer V}=0.289)\); goals \((P<.001, \text{Cramer V}=0.330)\); exercise \((P<.001, \text{Cramer V}=0.531)\); audio content \((P<.001, \text{Cramer V}=0.250)\); and pain records \((P=0.009, \text{Cramer V}=0.166)\). Examination of adjusted standardized residuals revealed that content exploration and in-depth look users were significantly more likely than rapid view users to access ICU recovery, ward recovery, and goal information \((z_{\text{res}}\geq 2.0)\). In-depth users were significantly more likely than rapid view content exploration and in-depth look users to access exercise, audio, and pain recording content \((z_{\text{res}}\geq 2.0)\). No statistically significant association was found between patterns of MyStay use and use of the applications during the inpatient education sessions provided prior to hospital discharge.

#### Table 2. Usage of the MyStay Cardiac by session type: rapid views, content exploration, and in-depth looks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session type</th>
<th>Rapid view</th>
<th>Content exploration</th>
<th>In-depth look</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of usage sessions, n (%)</td>
<td>154 (44.9)</td>
<td>102 (29.7)</td>
<td>87 (25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of material accessed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home page only, n (%)</td>
<td>40 (26)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU recovery, n (%)</td>
<td>77 (50)</td>
<td>87 (85.3)(^b)</td>
<td>73 (83.9)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward recovery, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (20.8)</td>
<td>49 (48)(^b)</td>
<td>43 (49.4)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (16.2)</td>
<td>45 (44.1)(^b)</td>
<td>44 (50.6)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (5.8)</td>
<td>36 (35.3)</td>
<td>57 (65.5)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio content, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>8 (7.8)</td>
<td>14 (16.1)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain record, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>7 (6.9)</td>
<td>10 (11.5)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access day</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient education days (Wednesday), n (%)</td>
<td>53 (34.4)</td>
<td>38 (37.3)</td>
<td>35 (40.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total items clicked, median (IQR)</td>
<td>5 (1.9-8.1)</td>
<td>20 (6.1-33.9)(^c)</td>
<td>44 (7.0-81.0)(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity duration (seconds); median (IQR)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.5-12.5)</td>
<td>23.5 (11.5-35.5)(^c)</td>
<td>22 (10.0-34.0)(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total session length (minutes); median (IQR)</td>
<td>33 (6.1-59.9)</td>
<td>524.5 (233.3-815.8)(^c)</td>
<td>896 (399.0-1393.0)(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\text{ICU: intensive care unit.}\)  
\(^b\text{Standardised residuals of Chi-square test of Independence indicate statistically significant contribution of cell \((z_{\text{res}}\geq 2.0).\)\)  
\(^c\text{Post-hoc Mann-Whitney U test, } P<.001.\)

There was a significant effect of the patterns of MyStay use on the total number of items clicked \((H=185.58, P<.001, \eta^2=0.53)\), mean activity duration \((H=78.072, P<.001, \eta^2=0.22)\), and total session length \((H=241.861, P<.001, \eta^2=0.70)\). Post-hoc Mann-Whitney \(U\) tests revealed that content exploration and in-depth sessions involved significantly more total items clicked, and significantly higher mean activity and total session durations (all \(P\) values<.001).

Figures 1-3 describe the total usage sessions per month, the mean number of website clicks per month, and total clicks per month, respectively. Elective surgeries restarted following COVID-19 restrictions in July 2021 and corresponded with a spike in MyStay Cardiac usage (Figure 1). Figures 2 and 3 demonstrated that the most frequently accessed resources were information about the patients’ ICU stay, followed by information about their recovery on the ward and a recommended exercise program.
Figure 1. MyStay Cardiac—total number of usage sessions per month. Dotted lines denote the 95% CI around the mean.

Figure 2. MyStay Cardiac—mean number of clicks within usage sessions per month. ICU: intensive care unit.
Discussion

Background

This study found that the use of digital multimedia resources to support patient education was well received and integrated into their practice by cardiac nurse educators working in acute care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Usage patterns fluctuated, reflecting variations in the number of elective cardiac surgery cases being treated at the study site over time. Data suggested a pattern of greater usage of the MyStay Cardiac during the COVID-19 pandemic when access to the health service for nonfrontline, essential workers was limited. Following the lifting of these restrictions, use of the MyStay Cardiac resources has been steady, reflecting ongoing use by the cardiac team for pre- and postoperative education. We acknowledge the possibility that the widespread diffusion of communications technologies during the COVID-19 pandemic may have increased patients’ capacity to interact with health technologies in the post–COVID-19 era [24]. Despite this, observed trends for decreased use of the MyStay Cardiac in the final year of the follow-up period may reflect that clinicians were able to provide more in-person education to patients following the lifting of COVID-19 restrictions or that the novelty of using a new digital multimedia resource had decreased with time. This finding highlights the need for ongoing updates of educational materials and new approaches to engaging staff to use these resources in their practice to sustain staff interest over the longer term.

The finding that approximately a third of access sessions involved users reviewing the website content more extensively and a quarter of sessions involved the in-depth use of the website suggested that use of the multimedia resources was acceptable to consumers. This finding is in line with recent research using digital multimedia and animation with adults undergoing chemotherapy treatment [25]. In this study, the most popular resources were the animation providing advice about “COVID-19 and Oncology care,” a general orientation to cancer care, and a video about receiving chemotherapy treatment.

The data on resource usage patterns and the length of time spent reviewing different sections of the program indicated that the cardiac nurses using the resource for patient education focused on information provision in relation to the patients’ ICU and ward stay, rather than focusing on engaging patients to participate in their care. Review of patient recovery goals and recommended exercises only accounted for approximately a third of resource usage, while accessing information about pain management and the patient pain record accounted for 6%-10% of usage.

The lack of focus on promoting patient participation in their recovery goals may highlight some of the disadvantages of using a passive diffusion approach to implementation [22]. Cardiac nurses integrated the MyStay resources into their own workflow efficiently but did not use the digital materials to promote patient engagement in line with the initial design of the app. Rather, their focus was on the effective communication of information rather than the promotion of patient participation [26]. These findings highlight that to achieve all the potential benefits of using the MyStay Cardiac resources in clinical care, further implementation needs to be supported by a structured approach to upskilling nurses working in acute care through the use of coaching and rapport-building communication techniques that promote greater patient participation in their care [27]. Developing nurses’ communication skills in partnering with consumers is particularly relevant with the increasing focus on developing comprehensive care plans that focus on achieving patient goals rather than clinician goals of care during an acute care admission [5].

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of digital technology in health care settings [28]. A systematic review of...
literature published in 2020 (reflecting the height of pandemic-related health care activity worldwide) found 124 studies reporting the adoption of digital technology. Most studies reported digital technology use for diagnosis (n=64, 52.4%), surveillance (n=46, 37.1%), or prevention (n=37, 30.6%). Nine percent (n=11) of the included studies used digital technology to promote patient engagement in care [29]. During the pandemic, health service providers developed web-based platforms to facilitate patient engagement in a range of administrative tasks and access telehealth appointments [30]. However, the authors found that it was common for the level of sophistication of these websites and apps to insufficiently support patients in completing administrative tasks independently.

The use of electronic communication and digital communication technologies during COVID-19 was rapidly accepted by consumers from a diverse range of backgrounds, providing evidence that digital technology use in health care has been accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic [31-33]. Cadel et al [31] conducted a scoping review of patient engagement activities used during the COVID-19 pandemic and found most activities focused on clinical interactions such as telehealth consultations, family visits, and community outreach using digital technology, and that most patients (>90%) were highly satisfied with their experiences of telehealth. Zeng et al [32] surveyed health care consumers in the United States on the use of electronic communication for health care before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and reported that the odds of technology use substantially increased during the COVID-19 pandemic (adjusted odds ratio 1.99, 95% CI 1.18-3.35). Individuals in the highest-income group were more likely to use technology than those in the lowest-income group. Despite this, individuals with lower educational attainment had similar growth in the use of electronic communication during the pandemic to those with postgraduate education.

**Strengths and Limitations**
The strength of this study is that it provides long-term follow-up data demonstrating the acceptability and durability of implementing the use of digital multimedia resources to support patient education and participation in the acute care context. Considering its unusual “diffusion” approach to implementation, this research has provided baseline data of particular relevance to future investigations in the uptake of multimedia education resources and to assess future enhancements such as multilingual functions to reduce health inequalities in the accessibility of such resources. Study limitations are that only group level data on usage patterns was available for analysis from the study site, meaning that it was not possible to evaluate individual patient factors that may influence engagement and uptake of the My Stay Cardiac resources. Further research will focus on evaluating patient factors that influence engagement and further development and evaluation of the MyStay Cardiac using a co-design approach involving both the multidisciplinary clinical team and consumers. Future research would also benefit from randomized controlled studies to demonstrate the efficacy and effectiveness of the platform, and such studies are planned.

**Conclusions**
The use of digital multimedia resources to support the education of patients undergoing cardiac surgery appeared to be well received by cardiac nurses and successfully integrated into usual practice during the COVID-19 pandemic. The acceptability of the MyStay Cardiac multimedia program to acute care nurses was demonstrated by sustained usage to support the provision of patient education over a 30-month follow-up period.
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Abstract

Background: The transformation in global demography and the shortage of health care workers require innovation and efficiency in the field of health care. Digital technology can help improve the efficiency of health care. The Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution is an example of digital technology. The system is connected to a hybrid mattress and is able to detect patient movement, based on which the air pump either starts automatically or sends a notification to the app. Barriers to the adoption of the system are unknown, and it is unclear if the solution will be able to support health care workers in their work.

Objective: This study aims to gain insight into health care workers’ expectations of factors that could either hamper or support the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare unit connected to a Mercury Advance mattress to help prevent patients from developing pressure injuries in hospitals and long-term care facilities.

Methods: We conducted a generic qualitative study from February to December 2022. Interviews were conducted, and a focus group was established using an interview guide of health care workers from both the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. Thematic analysis was performed by 2 independent researchers.

Results: A total of 14 participants took part in the study: 6 (43%) participants joined the focus group, and 8 (57%) participants took part in the individual interviews. We identified 13 factors based on four themes: (1) factors specifically related to SMARTresponse, (2) vision on innovation, (3) match with health care activities, and (4) materials and resources involved. Signaling function, SMARTresponse as prevention, patient category, representatives, and implementation strategy were identified as facilitators. Perceptions of patient repositioning, accessibility to pressure injury aids, and connectivity were identified as barriers.

Conclusions: Several conditions must be met to enhance the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution, including the engagement of representatives during training and a reliable wireless network. The identified factors can be used to facilitate the implementation process.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e47992) doi:10.2196/47992
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Introduction

Background

In recent years, the world’s population has increased rapidly. In 1950, there were 2.5 billion people on our planet. In 2019, this number had increased to 7.7 billion [1]. Since the 1900s, the global average life expectancy has more than doubled and currently stands at >70 years [2]. Together with the global shortage of health care workers, in particular, nurses and midwives, this results in challenges for patient care [3]. One of the 5 most common injuries experienced by patients is a pressure injury. In 2016, the overall number of patients developing pressure injuries was estimated to be 2.5 million worldwide [4]. Patients with pressure injuries have higher 30-day readmission rates [4], and damage due to pressure injuries can result in complications such as amputation, septic infection, impaired health-related quality of life, and premature death [5]. Along with the global increase in life expectancy, innovation and efficiency in the current health care practices are necessary to preserve the quality of care for patients who are at risk of developing pressure injuries.

Current ways to prevent or treat pressure injuries usually include pressure-relieving devices, wound care, and patient repositioning [6]. For pressure-relieving devices, there are beds, mattresses, and mattress toppers. A subdivide can be made between reactive static surfaces (constructed using foam, fiber, air cells, or water bags) that apply constant pressure to the skin and active (alternating pressure) surfaces that regularly redistribute the pressure underneath the body. However, according to a recent Cochrane systematic review [6], there is low-certainty evidence that the alternating pressure of active air surfaces reduces the risk of developing new pressure injuries compared to foam surfaces alone. A combination of reactive static surfaces and active surfaces is called a hybrid mattress, which usually consists of foam and air cells and can be connected to a control unit that is used to power the alternating air function.

The hybrid mattresses provided by Direct Healthcare Group (DHG) were clinically effective in a multisite evaluation study [7] conducted in 8 hospitals in the United Kingdom. DHG recently developed a control unit called Mercury Advance SMARTcare [8]. This unit is used to power the alternating air function on their alternating pressure (active) air surfaces (Mercury Advance mattress). The control unit can be connected to an app that can automatically turn on the alternating air function after a detected period of patient nonmovement. The app can also notify the health care professional of the detected patient’s nonmovement; therefore, the health care professional can turn on the alternating air function remotely. This app (using digital technology) could potentially be an effective intervention for optimizing efficiency in the prevention and treatment of pressure injuries. However, digital technology is not always as useful as intended.

Prior Work

Digital technology has been studied comprehensively, and according to a barrier analysis published by Mathijssen et al [9], there is a mismatch between the available digital technology and the adoption of digital technology. In this analysis, a scoping review and a survey were conducted, and the barriers and facilitators were classified according to the capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior (COM-B) model by Michie et al [10]. Most barriers in the analysis were found in the opportunity domain (eg, technical issues). Health care workers reported that digital technology should provide support in delivering health care instead of replacing it. The accessibility and reliability of digital technology were identified as facilitators in the adoption of digital technology. The privacy and security of patient data, training of health care workers, and practical support regarding digital technology were also marked as facilitators [9].

Barriers and facilitators regarding the adoption of digital technology in health care practices have been identified. It is currently unclear whether the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution can support health care workers in their daily practices. DHG’s hybrid mattress (Mercury Advance) has proven to be clinically efficient in hospital settings [7]. However, the expectations of health care workers will determine whether the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution is suitable for adoption before clinical effectiveness can be investigated. The Mercury Advance SMARTcare unit is currently being tested at several sites in the United Kingdom, and the effectiveness of the app thus far is unknown. To reveal preconceptions, a study with an explorative design is suitable. Consequently, a generic qualitative study to investigate the expectations of health care workers of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution connected to the Mercury Advance mattress is necessary.

Objective of This Study

The objective of this study is to gain insight into health care workers’ expectations of factors that could hamper or support the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare unit connected to a Mercury Advance mattress to prevent patients from developing pressure injuries in hospitals and long-term care facilities. The results can be used to improve the implementation process of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution and help identify potential knowledge gaps.

Methods

Study Design

A generic qualitative study with health care workers was conducted between February and December 2022 using in-depth individual interviews and a focus group. The focus group provided interaction between the participants, which is especially suitable for explorative research [11]. Individual interviews may reveal sensitive concepts, which may be left undiscussed in focus groups. In addition, the results of the individual in-depth interviews confirmed the findings of the focus group and contributed to method triangulation [12].

Setting

Sampling Technique

A purposive sampling technique was adopted for the recruitment of health care workers in both the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. The objective was to gain insight into health care workers’ expectations of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare...
unit connected to the Mercury Advance mattress. More information about the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution can be found on the developer’s web page [8].

Eligibility
Most of the time, initial contact with study sites was maintained by a DHG product specialist. A team manager of the potential participants had initial contact by email consistently throughout the study. The eligibility criteria were adopted to ensure that participants were able to provide meaningful insights regarding the subject of this study. The required inclusion criteria for the participants were being a registered nurse, physiotherapist, or occupational therapist; working with patients directly; dealing with pressure injury prevention or treatment; and being able to read, write, and speak English or Dutch. When a participant agreed to participate, they would receive a participant information sheet, and an interview with them would be scheduled. Besides the purposive sampling technique, a snowballing selection strategy was used, signifying that the researcher asked the participants included if they knew the potential participants who met the abovementioned eligibility criteria.

Domain
The occupational sites of the participants included general hospital wards, psychiatric wards, and a rehabilitation center located in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. The study population can be considered homogeneous, with health care workers working on preventing and managing pressure injuries. To achieve data saturation in a study, according to Holloway and Wheeler [13], a sample size of 6 to 8 participants is considered sufficient in a homogeneous sample. That is why we included a total of 14 participants. Of these 14 participants, 6 (43%) were scheduled for the focus group interview and the remaining 8 (57%) were scheduled for the individual interviews.

Data Collection
Qualitative data were collected using a focus group and in-depth individual interviews, which were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Sessions were held face-to-face at a location picked by the participants or via Microsoft Teams (version 1.4.00.22472; Microsoft Corp). Audio recordings were the main source of data. An interview guide for the focus group and the individual interviews was put together beforehand to facilitate a semistructured approach.

To make the participants feel comfortable, the interview started with the following question: “On a scale from 0-10, how important is pressure injury prevention for patients in general?” This question aimed to encourage the participants to narrate their views on patient care and to remember the importance of their work. Subsequently, our interview guide included the following topics, which were discussed using open-ended questions: (1) pressure injury equipment and current procedures (eg, “What is your experience with the pressure ulcer prevention tools that are currently in use in your department?”), (2) technology in health care, (3) SMARTresponse app video, (4) expectations of the SMARTresponse app, and (5) training regarding the SMARTresponse app (eg, “In your opinion, what is required in order to use the SMARTresponse application?”).

Our interview guide topics were based on the barriers and the facilitators as described by Mathijssen et al [9]. During the interview, the participants were shown a short video (3 min) of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution to ensure that they could vividly imagine the application in their work environment. In the video, a trainer explained the use of the Dyna-Form SMARTresponse control unit and its connectivity to an Apple iPad. The activation of the automatic and manual functions was demonstrated on the iPad, using an app, including how it was applied to the control unit.

The interview guide was pilot-tested with 2 occupational therapists and 2 nursing science students at Utrecht University. A pilot interview was performed in English to improve its feasibility, as the interviewer was not a native English speaker. This gave the novice researcher (JS) the opportunity to get comfortable with the interview guide and to test the amount of content-specific information included in the interview guide. All individual interviews were carried out by JS. The focus group was facilitated by JS and moderated by a second researcher (TvH), who has experience in qualitative research. During the individual interviews and the focus group meeting, observational notes were made to gather nonverbal aspects regarding the data collection and to enhance the credibility of the findings [13].

Data Analysis
The data were analyzed by 2 independent researchers (JS and TvH) and was based on thematic analysis, as described by Braun and Clarke [14]. Considering the data analysis, an inductive (data-driven) approach was chosen. Data collection and analysis were performed simultaneously to contribute to the constant comparison approach [12]. The audio recordings were transcribed verbatim by JS, and these transcriptions were checked for inconsistencies by TvH. To support the data analysis, ATLAS.ti software (version 22; ATLAS.ti Scientific Software Development GmbH) was used. Entire transcripts were read and reread to get familiar with the data. The initial coding was done by JS and carried out inductively. Codes were discussed with TvH, and a code list was put together. Subthemes were generated to collate all codes from the code list. During this process, a mind mapping approach was used to get familiar with the structure of the data. All codes were run to determine whether they were associated with multiple subthemes. The 2 researchers discussed overarching candidate themes, subthemes, and related codes. During this process, insights were gathered, and the interview guide was adjusted accordingly. Factors emerged from the data, which were summarized by JS, who added illustrative quotes. Next, these factors were confirmed by TvH. Factors were divided into barriers and facilitators according to the objective of the study.

For further involvement in the underlying process of the data analysis, expert validation of preliminary findings was performed by a tissue viability nurse specializing in wound care and management. The findings were acknowledged and presented to the participants for a member check to enhance the credibility and validity of the study [13].

https://nursing.jmir.org/2024/1/e47992 JMIR Nursing 2024 | vol. 7 | e47992 | p.127 (page number not for citation purposes)
Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation [15] and the Declaration of Helsinki [16]. All participants provided informed consent before the study. The participants of the study were not subjected to procedures, actions, or behavioral rules. The expectations of health care workers were the primary study parameters, which fall outside the scope of medical or scientific research. According to the Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects, this study does not apply to the Medical-Scientific Research with People Act and was therefore not reviewed by a Medical Research Ethics Committee [17]. In addition to the interview, participants’ characteristics were recorded to describe the study population. Participant identification codes were generated to ensure the participants’ anonymity. Transcribed interviews, signed consent forms, participant identification codes, and study metadata were all stored on the university’s research drive (HU University of Applied Sciences) [18], which is designed for the handling and storage of research data. This cloud service acquired the International Organization for Standardization 27001 certification and, therefore, legally adheres to the General Data Protection Regulation [15].

Results

Demographics

A total of 18 participants agreed to participate in the study, however, 4 (22%) were not able to schedule an interview with the researchers or attend the focus group meeting. Of the 14 participants included in the study, 6 (43%) attended the focus group and 8 (57%) participated in the individual interviews. One (7%) interview was carried out with a participant working in the United Kingdom; the remaining interviews and the focus group meeting were held with participants working in the Netherlands. The duration of the individual interviews was 32 to 67 minutes, with a mean interview time of 51 (SD 11) minutes. The duration of the focus group meeting was 96 minutes. The characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants (N=14).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant ID</th>
<th>Age (y), rangea</th>
<th>Data collection method</th>
<th>Duration (min)</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Work experience (y)</th>
<th>Highest educational level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Tissue viability nurse</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>HPEb nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SVEc nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Physiotherapist</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>HPE physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>SVE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SVE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>SVE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>Focus group</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>SVE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Tissue viability nurse</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>SVE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HPE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HPE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>HPE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HPE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Tissue viability nurse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>HPE nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14</td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Tissue viability nurse</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>SVE nursing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Age is presented as a range to ensure participants’ anonymity.
b HPE: higher professional education.
c SVE: secondary vocational education.

Overview

In total, 13 factors were identified that could hamper or support the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution. These 13 factors were included in the 22 subthemes as identified during the first phases of the qualitative analysis. The 22 subthemes were collated into four overarching themes: (1) factors specifically related to SMARTresponse, (2) vision on innovation, (3) match with health care activities, and (4) materials and resources involved. An overview of the themes, subthemes, and related factors is presented in Multimedia Appendix 1. During the final phases of the qualitative analysis, the 13 factors were divided into barriers or facilitators. To ensure that data saturation was achieved in the analysis of the study, 2 individual interviews were conducted after the division into barriers and facilitators. Analysis of these last interviews did not provide further insights into the barriers or facilitators overview. Using illustrative quotes, the Multimedia Appendix 2 shows how the factors can hamper (barriers) or support (facilitators) the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution.
Factors Specifically Related to SMARTresponse

The factors specifically related to SMARTresponse included three subthemes: (1) SMARTresponse, (2) training, and (3) supplier. Six facilitators were identified within these subthemes (reference to Q in the text refer to specific quotes in Multimedia Appendix 2). The Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution may have a signaling function (Q1-Q3) or a preventing function before pressure injuries occur (Q4-Q6). The adoption of the app is conditional upon the patient category (Q13-Q16). Patient involvement may help gain insight into patient movement, but, as a result, evaluation of adherence to the app is necessary (Q11 and Q12). Real-life practice with the app and available representatives with knowledge of the app are facilitators for both training and implementation of the system (Q23-Q30).

Four barriers were identified. The system will most likely not be suitable for a psychiatric ward (Q7 and Q8). The lights on the pump unit were a point of interest, especially at night (Q20-Q22). Although participants said that the supplier is required to manage the training (Q32), maintaining contact with the ward by the supplier was said to be undesirable (Q31). Finally, this app would require a lot of effort and persistence in the beginning, and the added value of it was discussed (Q17-Q19).

Vision on Innovation

The vision of the health care workers on innovation included six subthemes: (1) vision on pressure injuries, (2) adoption of innovation, (3) vision on technology, (4) pressure injury impact and present performance, (5) reflection on self, and (6) remote health care. Three facilitators were identified within these subthemes. The app could serve as a preventive aid (Q42 and Q43), and it could support the health care workers by acting as a signaling function (Q33 and Q34). The nature of the introduction of the system may help encourage the health care workers to use it (Q38-Q40).

Two barriers were identified. The app does not appear to be suitable for patient involvement in the neurological patient category (Q41). Participants’ perception of patient repositioning changes whenever a pressure injury unit is adopted. Patient repositioning is less prioritized or even considered redundant (Q35-Q37 and Q44-Q46).

Match With Health Care Activities

The match with health care activities included six subthemes: (1) patient factors, (2) nurses’ tasks, (3) patient repositioning, (4) patients’ comfort, (5) mattress change, and (6) hygiene. A total of 4 facilitators were identified within these subthemes. The app can be useful whenever the patient’s movement is unknown (Q47). Patient involvement results in control (Q48 and Q49). Cutoff values can determine the adoption of the app (Q52-Q54). The app can save a lot of time and effort (Q56 and Q57).

Five barriers were identified. A psychiatric ward may not be a suitable environment for the app (Q51 and Q52). Unlike the last facilitator described in the previous paragraph, the app requires new tasks as well (Q55). The frequency of patient repositioning is not clear, resulting in a debate among colleagues, especially when mattresses are used (Q58 and Q59). A mattress change is often performed during the work shift and does not require much effort for the health care workers (Q62 and Q63). Finally, some participants described that a hybrid mattress feels hard (Q60).

Materials and Resources Involved

The materials and resources involved included seven subthemes: (1) pressure injury equipment, (2) organization, (3) dynamic support surfaces, (4) performance appliances, (5) materials, and (6) devices, and (7) time. Three facilitators were identified. In most organizations, representatives with specific areas of interest are present, which could help support the implementation of the system (Q66-Q68). In some centers, devices compatible with the app were readily available (Q73 and Q74). Patients experienced a dynamic mattress to be less comfortable than a hybrid mattress, resulting in supportive opinions about the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution (Q69 and Q70).

Four barriers were identified. Some participants said that a dynamic mattress with configurable settings felt more comfortable than a hybrid mattress (Q64). The performance of appliances, such as the wireless network, needs to function sufficiently for the app to run properly (Q71 and Q72). At times, there was no access to devices compatible with the app. Participants described the adoption of a personal smartphone as undesirable, which can be considered a barrier (Q75 and Q76). Finally, the adoption of the system requires effort and time, which are not always available (Q77 and Q78).

Discussion

Principal Findings

This study found 13 factors that could hamper or support the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution. Factors from four overarching themes were included: (1) factors specifically related to SMARTresponse, (2) vision on innovation, (3) match with health care activities, and (4) materials and resources involved. Factors were often identified as either a facilitator or a barrier, but occasionally a factor was identified as both. This was the case with the following factors: patient involvement, implementation engagement, time consuming, accessibility to devices compatible for the app, and comfort. Signaling function, SMARTresponse as prevention, patient category, representatives, and implementation strategy were identified as facilitators. Perception toward patient repositioning, accessibility to pressure injury aids, and connectivity were identified as barriers.

This explorative study identified several factors that seem to influence the adoption of the Dyna-Form SMARTresponse app, according to the expectations of health care workers. The Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution could help support health care workers in their daily practices as a preventive aid with certain conditions in mind.

Patient involvement may serve as a facilitator, which was unknown according to the brochure for the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution [8]. Therefore, patients need to be
involved in the training aspect of the system whenever this is possible.

Before the implementation of the system is commenced, preliminary conditions apply. A guideline specifying the patient category or facility for which the system is suitable is needed. Health care workers are required to have and be able to operate a smartphone or tablet; otherwise, the app cannot be operated. Finally, the wireless network must function properly to make the app run smoothly and, as a result, reduce the risk of health care workers feeling agitated about the performance of the app.

Whenever the system is adopted in health care settings, training the health care workers is an important aspect of enhancing the success rate. Representatives from a specific area of interest need to engage more in training to ultimately support other health care workers and to act as an early adopter. Practical training in which the health care workers can experiment with the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution could enhance the proportion in which the health care workers will adopt the system.

Although this study has identified barriers and facilitators that can hamper or support the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution, a knowledge gap still remains regarding health care workers who work in home care nursing, as these workers were not included in the study population. Therefore, more research on health care workers working in home care nursing is necessary to acknowledge the findings of this study. Subsequently, an implementation project is recommended for the promotion of the app in health care facilities and to determine its effectiveness regarding pressure injury prevention.

**Comparison to Prior Work**

During the analyses, similarities were observed between the subthemes and the diffusion of innovation theory [19]. This theory describes five categories of adopters in the context of technological adoption: (1) technology enthusiasts, (2) visionaries, (3) pragmatists, (4) conservatives, and (5) skeptics. The theme vision on innovation demonstrates the participants’ preconceptions of digital technology and their views on the adoption of the SMARTresponse app. A division was observed among the participants, with some being obvious skeptics and others appearing to be visionaries or technology enthusiasts. In addition, the diffusion process among colleagues, as explained by the participants, clearly emerged from the data. Most participants reported that enthusiastic colleagues or representatives play a crucial role in the adoption process of a new product, practice, or idea.

Several studies have investigated the adoption of sensors to detect patient movement and increase adherence to patient repositioning protocols [20-23]. All studies reported that adherence to turning protocols increased whenever a sensor was adopted in intensive care units. According to the study by Yap et al [23], participants expressed satisfaction with the monitoring system and recommended improvements to support the adoption and use of technology. Our study included participants working at hospitals, psychiatric wards, and a rehabilitation center, which are considerably different from an intensive care unit. Moreover, the patient sensors that were adopted in the previous studies [20-23] are not comparable with the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution, which uses a control unit to detect patient movement. However, qualitative outcome measures from a previous study [23] are in line with the findings of this study, and quantitative measures from previous studies [20-23] suggest that health care workers’ awareness of a patient’s movement or nonmovement increases when sensors are adopted.

**Strengths and Limitations**

An important strength of this study was the inclusion of 4 tissue viability nurses and 1 physiotherapist instead of nurses only. All these health care workers worked with pressure injury aids and cared for patients with pressure injuries on a regular basis. That is how it was possible to identify an extensive scope of perspectives from health care workers with different opinions regarding pressure injury prevention and treatment. An additional factor was identified during the analysis of the 12th transcript, with data saturation not being confirmed at first. For that reason, 2 more individual interviews were conducted to confirm data saturation and identify themes and factors regarding the first 12 transcripts. Furthermore, preliminary findings were presented repeatedly in a research group with experienced researchers, which enhanced the confirmability of the findings [13].

This study also has limitations. The initial interview with participant 1 had a duration of 32 minutes, which is relatively short compared to the other interviews. However, the subthemes identified in the first interview were also present in the other interviews. Although the occupational sites are considered heterogeneous, home care nursing was not incorporated as a study population site. Therefore, the perspectives of health care workers who work in home care are not incorporated in this study, despite them being an interest group according to the National Pressure Injury Advisory Panel [24]. Furthermore, in focus groups, participants may not contribute equally, leaving opinions and views on a specific topic undiscovered. When conducting focus groups in addition to individual interviews, different concepts might have been identified compared to individual interviews alone. However, we believe that conducting individual interviews felt inaccurate in this exploratory study because participant interactions would not have been revealed. Finally, the data collection for this study was conducted by a novice researcher (JS) with limited experience in qualitative research. To overcome this limitation, a second researcher with noticeable experience in qualitative research checked the first transcripts of the recorded interviews to confirm content validity.

**Conclusions**

This study explored the expectations of factors that could hamper or support the adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare unit connected to a Mercury Advance mattress to prevent patients from developing pressure injuries in hospitals and long-term care facilities. The system is developed to support health care workers in their daily practices, especially as a preventive aid and due to its signaling function. However, several conditions need to be met to enhance the adoption of the system, such as guidelines concerning adherence to patient repositioning, the engagement of representatives in training,
and a reliable wireless network. The factors identified in this study can be used to facilitate the implementation process and adoption of the Mercury Advance SMARTcare solution and to help provide quality care to patients who are at risk of developing pressure injuries.
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Abstract

Technology has a major impact on the way nurses work. Data-driven technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), have particularly strong potential to support nurses in their work. However, their use also introduces ambiguities. An example of such a technology is AI-driven lifestyle monitoring in long-term care for older adults, based on data collected from ambient sensors in an older adult’s home. Designing and implementing this technology in such an intimate setting requires collaboration with nurses experienced in long-term and older adult care. This viewpoint paper emphasizes the need to incorporate nurses and the nursing perspective into every stage of designing, using, and implementing AI-driven lifestyle monitoring in long-term care settings. It is argued that the technology will not replace nurses, but rather act as a new digital colleague, complementing the humane qualities of nurses and seamlessly integrating into nursing workflows. Several advantages of such a collaboration between nurses and technology are highlighted, as are potential risks such as decreased patient empowerment, depersonalization, lack of transparency, and loss of human contact. Finally, practical suggestions are offered to move forward with integrating the digital colleague.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e56474) doi:10.2196/56474
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Introduction

The growing preference of older adults to age in place requires technologies that can help them to do so. One potential technology is artificial intelligence (AI)–driven lifestyle monitoring, based on data collected from ambient sensors in an older adult’s home. However, designing and implementing this technology in such an intimate setting requires collaboration with nurses experienced in long-term and older adult care. This viewpoint paper emphasizes the need to incorporate nurses and the nursing perspective at every stage of designing, using, and implementing AI-driven lifestyle monitoring in long-term care settings. The goal of this collaboration for nurses would be to gain a tool that does not replace them in their role but rather acts as a sort of coworker providing care support and data insights, seamlessly integrating into nursing workflows. Let us welcome this new digital colleague.

While definitions of AI differ, a simple one would be “computers mimicking human behavior” [1]. In the simplest terms, AI is computers learning to interpret large amounts of data and come to conclusions based on that interpretation. AI is essentially a system that gets smarter the more information it is given and uses that knowledge to provide solutions or create products. AI works by taking a large quantity of heterogeneous data, finding patterns in it, and using those learning elements to make more accurate predictions [1]. While AI may appear to be a fairly new technological advancement, its roots actually date back to the 1950s, with its trajectory experiencing various periods of growth and decline over time [2]. In recent years, however, attention toward AI has grown significantly. In particular, so-called generative AI (for example ChatGPT [3], which can “create” outputs such as texts and photos) has received a lot of attention and opened new possibilities [4].

However, the impact of AI is far greater than text and photo generation. AI has been dubbed a general-purpose technology; a game-changer that affects many parts of our lives and industries [5]. Other examples of such breakthrough technologies are the steam engine, electricity, and computers [6]. AI can do many things, from helping doctors diagnose diseases to streamlining business processes. However, as we use AI more and more and increase our reliance on it, we need to make sure we apply it responsibly and intelligently. The growing attention toward AI is also reflected in national and international reports describing AI strategies, with more than 25 countries having developed such a strategy, though views toward AI vary widely around the world [7]. While national AI policies strongly differ from each other, there is generally a lot of attention paid to AI expertise and data policies, whereas attention toward human-computer cooperation has been mostly lacking. In recent years, legislation around AI has received more consideration, with several AI-specific acts and legal frameworks developed around the world [8], focusing on topics such as responsible, validated, and fair data exchange. For instance, the use of AI in the European Union will be regulated by the European Union AI Act [9].

The use of AI leads to a need to consider some broader societal implications including potential downsides. In particular, the prospect of increasing our reliance on automation raises concerns about loss of compassion and humanity in interactions with the subjects of data [10-12]. Indeed, overreliance on algorithms risks increasing bias by a range of societal factors such as age, gender, ethnicity, ability, and socioeconomic status [12-14]. Furthermore, there are privacy concerns: given that AI potentially involves novel uses of sensitive data, there is a need to ensure that this data (and by extension, its subjects) are still protected [15-17].

AI in Nursing

The added value of AI in health care is evident in various aspects, including the enhancement of health care research equity and versatility, the streamlining of workflows in health care practice, and the personalization of learning within health care education [18]. However, while there is extensive available literature on how AI changes health care in general, the influence on nursing in long-term care is less commonly discussed [19,20]. Especially in more clinical settings, the use of AI is further developed and already being used; for instance, for breast cancer detection in screening mammography [21]. From previous research, the reception of the prospect of a more AI-involved future for nursing has been mixed, with concerns having been expressed regarding the complexity of AI and how its use may affect human interaction and professional autonomy [20,22,23]. This has been especially well-studied among nursing students, who can reasonably expect to see more AI used during their careers [23,24]. Given the relative newness of this area, the precise impact of AI on the nursing field in long-term care is still up in the air. The potential impact includes opportunities for in-home assessment of patients, offering greater time savings and convenience for both patients and health care professionals such as nurses [15,25-28]. This aspect of time savings could also be helpful given the shortage of nurses [29] as the use of technology could potentially reduce the nursing workload [30]. Furthermore, the general provision of more evidence-based, personalized care based on algorithmically derived health information [19,31] can help to overcome intuitively based decisions. The advantage of automation is the ability to take away some of the repetitive drudgery of background work, such as gathering information and administration, as this is handled by the algorithm. Rather, professionals can spend more time on directly action-oriented tasks [31].

AI-Driven Lifestyle Monitoring System

This paper will focus on the use of AI-driven lifestyle monitoring systems such as those often implemented in smart living environments and smart homes [32]. These systems can be used in long-term care, where over time people might struggle to maintain the basic abilities necessary to keep living well. AI-driven lifestyle monitoring systems are used to obtain insights into a person’s behavior. Examples of such are their daily routine, habits, and activity patterns [25,33,34]. These insights can be used to assist nurses in providing personalized care and support older adults to age in place [15,33,35]. AI-driven lifestyle monitoring systems (Figure 1) work by getting input from ambient and environmental sensors in the
The common pattern can also be detected [38]. Examples of such deviations include a noticeable decrease in movement, more frequent use of the toilet, or a more restless sleep pattern [36]. The system will then give some form of output (e.g., reports or alerts to care providers) by presenting the findings to the user [39]. These findings could potentially support nurses in clinical decision-making, although it is important to include the perspective of nurses when designing these systems, to make the output meaningful for practice [19,40] (Textbox 1).

**Figure 1.** Visualization of the cooperation between nurses and the new digital colleague: artificial intelligence–driven lifestyle monitoring systems.

**Textbox 1.** The use of artificial intelligence–driven lifestyle monitoring in practice.

**Case**

Emma Smith, a 53-year-old nurse, is deeply committed to the residents she cares for. She works at a long-term care facility for people with early-stage dementia. Recently, artificial intelligence–driven lifestyle monitoring has been introduced in her department. The residents are monitored using various sensors. Emma finds this both convenient and a bit nerve-wracking. She wonders, “Can I truly rely on the system? What if it misses something?” She also feels slightly uneasy about the sensors taking over a part of her job. However, she appreciates that these tools provide her with a better understanding of the residents’ situations. Every morning, she opens the overview that is generated by the system and sees in one glance if the system has identified any deviations in the metrics of the residents she cares for. Additionally, if there is an incident like a fall, the system immediately sends an alert. “It took me some time to incorporate this in my daily workflow, but now it is part of my routine.”

This technology is of interest due to its potential impact on several trends in nursing that are expected to receive increased attention in coming years: personalized care, aging in place, and positive health. Regarding the first, greater use of AI-driven lifestyle monitoring facilitates understanding of patient health, which in turn can be used to optimize their care plan [12,34]. Furthermore, AI-driven lifestyle monitoring can provide better oversight for patients living at home, meaning they can potentially remain in their preferred living environments longer [27,41], which is desired by many older adults [42]. Last, positive health revolves around the ability to not focus on the signs, symptoms, and restrictions of disease, but rather to focus on what is possible for the person [43]. AI has the potential to enhance positive health by providing predictive care for older adults [12], thus helping them to maintain or even improve their health.

The potential implications for nurses with the growing integration of AI-driven lifestyle monitoring need attention. Nurses are the largest group of health care professionals worldwide [44], and as such they play a crucial role in the provision of health care. Although it is not commonly expected that AI will replace nurses [10,45], and indeed this is a discussion of complementing nurses rather than replacing them, it is suggested that the dynamics between health care professionals and their patients might be altered by the adoption of AI [46]. For instance, by using AI systems, health care professionals can save time on administrative tasks, thus enhancing efficiency and allowing them to devote more time...
to establishing trust-based relationships with their patients [20]. Moreover, AI is expected to influence other dimensions of job design such as autonomy, skills, and job demands [20,45]. For example, if AI-driven lifestyle monitoring provides patients with increased information about their health, nurses play a crucial role in guiding and explaining the outcomes to patients, acting as a sort of advisor. As a result, the skills required for health care professionals to effectively interact with both AI systems and increasingly informed patients are undergoing significant changes [20].

Complementarity Between Nurses and AI-Driven Lifestyle Monitoring

We argue that the qualities of nurses and AI-driven lifestyle monitoring systems in long-term care complement each other, leading to increased value when combined. Nurses excel in the relationship domain, offering emotional support, empathy, and compassion, and working toward the benefit of other humans [13,24], also known as the humane element of nursing and recognized as part of fundamental care [47]. They are good at considering contextual variables to get a holistic view of a patient, are compassionate, and can make genuine connections with the persons for whom they provide care [13]. However, there are limitations to human capabilities. Nurses cannot be present or observe patients around the clock, making it challenging to maintain an objective and comprehensive understanding of a patient’s condition.

On the other hand, AI-driven technologies are particularly skilled at handling tasks that involve analyzing large amounts of data and require substantial computational power [24,36]. AI-driven lifestyle monitoring is capable of identifying long-term behavioral patterns and synthesizing these with data collected from various scenarios. Furthermore, this type of technology can provide continuous monitoring around the clock, even between nursing visits [15,28]. However, AI-driven lifestyle monitoring does lack certain health care–relevant abilities such as dealing with unpredictable situations, considering contextual nuances [36], and the human element of caregiving [16]: skills that are second nature to nurses.

If we were to make use of the qualities of nurses on the one hand and AI-driven lifestyle monitoring systems on the other, we would be able to have the “best of both worlds.” In this situation, we could enrich the nursing caregiving process by adding additional insights from lifestyle monitoring technology and using nursing expertise and patient experience to improve the technology’s practical applications [39] (Figure 1). Next to the human input of the nurse and patient in the care process, a new stream of technical input is provided, formed by the sensors and algorithms of the AI-driven lifestyle monitoring, leading to a visually-presented output [19,28,40] which could provide decision support for nurses [19,40]. For example, sensors could detect disrupted sleep patterns, bathroom use, or changes in how a person moves and walks [27]. If nurses could enrich these findings with nursing expertise and integrate them into clinical knowledge and experience, this would greatly influence the care given. When the output of the sensors is combined with nursing expertise, there is greater potential for care that is better tailored to the current situation and where less time is consumed by gathering information and administration, leaving more time for human contact between the nurse and patient. The AI-driven technical input could be seen as a new digital colleague for the nurse, an idea previously mentioned by Swan [22]. This digital colleague provides deeper insights into the needed care of the older adult and could potentially enhance nurses’ ability to offer more compassionate [13], personalized [12,13,19], and evidence-based [19] care.

Challenges of the New Digital Colleague

Although we show that cooperation between nurses and AI-driven lifestyle monitoring has promise, it also raises several valid concerns among nurses that should be discussed and considered. First among these is the possibility of decreased patient empowerment and depersonalization, as an overreliance on algorithms could neglect the individual circumstances, preferences, and abilities of the patient [11,12]. In such a situation, actions that are in actuality against the patient’s interest may be justified by the person doing them because they were recommended by the algorithm, as opposed to any normative evaluation by a nurse [11]. At this point, questions of transparency come to mind, as well as the chance of turning care into part of a “black box society,” wherein decisions are made or recommendations are given automatically with limited recourse [17,24]. A lack of algorithmic transparency (ie, poor clarity in how the AI came to the recommendations that it presents) makes it difficult to interrogate those recommendations and decide whether to accept them.

This, in turn, raises a more philosophical, ethical, and methodological concern regarding the use of AI in nursing: given that humanity and human contact have traditionally been seen as a crucial part of the role, there are concerns of this being lost if too much of the care process is based in machines and algorithms [31]. Nurses spend a lot of time interacting directly with patients, often on a personal level. Thus, they are better equipped than most health care professionals to build relationships with those patients and more holistically observe their well-being, meaning they can more easily catch issues that might be missed in clinical assessment [12,31]. As such, it has been argued that overreliance on technology could lead to the dehumanization of patients and overall poorer care [11,12]. Last, on a practical, implementation level, not all nurses currently possess the competence or comfort of working with AI-based systems [24,48,49]. As such, expanded use of AI could lead to more work for nurses, who are often already overextended in their responsibilities [49]. These issues are often exacerbated by poor usability design of the AI interfaces, which may make use of the AI unintuitive and difficult to navigate [10,50].

How to Collaborate With Our New Digital Colleague

We propose that greater involvement of nurses in the actual design, use, and implementation of AI—in a way, shaping their digital colleague—offers a way to mitigate some of the risks.
For example, the nurse’s understanding of patient behaviors and circumstances could act as a sort of counterbalance to the depersonalization of the algorithm [31]. This can be used during the delivery of care, and nurses should certainly be encouraged to not always take the output of the algorithm at face value. However, only having this quality assessment happen at that end point, where nurses have many other tasks and priorities to manage, is not reasonable; far more benefits could be realized by appreciating the role of nurses as knowledge-holders during the algorithm and interface design [1,32,36]. To optimize meaningful health-related features and functionality, it will be necessary to integrate clinical nursing knowledge in the design of the AI. For example, to train AI-driven lifestyle monitoring to identify the early signs of urinary tract infections [27], clinical knowledge provided by nurses should be merged with data from sensors [36]. In short, nurses should be involved in the design process of AI technology [51], also referred to as “nurse-in-the-loop” [27].

Based on their knowledge, nurses may act as advocates for their patients, thereby supporting patient empowerment. Furthermore, with a greater understanding of the AI systems and how the algorithm comes to certain conclusions, nurses are afforded more transparency that they may then pass on to their patients [45]. In practical terms, this would enable them to understand the argumentation of how AI-driven lifestyle monitoring comes to certain conclusions, and therefore could act more critically toward faults or biases. In other words, the much-feared black box society is easier to avoid with a workforce of experienced, knowledgeable nurses who can “shine a light” into that black box [12]. To do this, it is essential to determine the specific competencies required to work with AI-based lifestyle monitoring systems [22,24] and to discuss the responsibilities of individual nurses who work with AI-driven lifestyle monitoring. Nurses should be continuously educated based on these needed competencies [19].

**Conclusion**

AI is not, and cannot be, a replacement for nurses. We argue that instead of replacing nurses, AI-driven lifestyle monitoring in long-term care should be seen as a new digital colleague that provides data-based insights to support nursing care. The complementarity of the humane quality of nurses on one side and the AI technology on the other side could lead to more compassionate, personalized, and evidence-based long-term care and can support older adults to age in place. The humane qualities of nurses are enriched by the insights from AI, and vice versa.

This collaboration does come with concerns such as the potential of decreased patient empowerment, depersonalization, and a lack of transparency due to an overreliance on data insights. Furthermore, humanity and human contact could be at stake as the role of AI technology grows. However, these concerns may be addressed with greater nurse involvement. From a practical point of view, to work with AI-driven lifestyle monitoring, specific competencies are required for nurses and the technology should be co-designed in such a way that it fits within nursing workflows. It is therefore crucial to identify the needed competencies to work with AI technology and to gain insight into the needs and wishes of nurses to ensure the design fits within nursing workflows.

**Recommendations**

We recommend that long-term care nurses be involved in the actual design, use, and implementation of AI-driven lifestyle monitoring, thus shaping their new digital colleague. This way, nurses can advocate for patient empowerment, add to the transparency of the AI systems, and design the technology to fit within nursing workflows. Furthermore, we recommend prioritizing the development of educational programs to educate our current and future generation nurses to appreciate the potential of AI and be able to collaborate with their new digital colleague.

**Lessons Learned in This Paper**

First, AI-driven lifestyle monitoring in long-term care can be seen as a new digital colleague, complementing the qualities of human nurses.

Second, increased use of AI-driven lifestyle monitoring in long-term care comes with some potential risks such as decreased patient empowerment, depersonalization, lack of transparency, and loss of human contact.

Third, the involvement of long-term care nurses in the design, use, and implementation of AI-driven lifestyle monitoring systems could mitigate these challenges.

Finally, AI-driven lifestyle monitoring promises to be a valuable type of AI in long-term care and could potentially enhance long-term care nurses’ ability to offer more compassionate, personalized, and evidence-based care.
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Abstract

Background: The behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) are common among people with dementia and have multiple negative consequences. Artificial intelligence–based technologies (AITs) have the potential to help nurses in the early prodromal detection of BPSD. Despite significant recent interest in the topic and the increasing number of available appropriate devices, little information is available on using AITs to help nurses striving to detect BPSD early.

Objective: The aim of this study is to identify the number and characteristics of existing publications on introducing AITs to support nursing interventions to detect and manage BPSD early.

Methods: A literature review of publications in the PubMed database referring to AITs and dementia was conducted in September 2023. A detailed analysis sought to identify the characteristics of these publications. The results were reported using a narrative approach.

Results: A total of 25 publications from 14 countries were identified, with most describing prospective observational studies. We identified three categories of publications on using AITs and they are (1) predicting behaviors and the stages and progression of dementia, (2) screening and assessing clinical symptoms, and (3) managing dementia and BPSD. Most of the publications referred to managing dementia and BPSD.

Conclusions: Despite growing interest, most AITs currently in use are designed to support psychosocial approaches to treating and caring for existing clinical signs of BPSD. AITs thus remain undertested and underused for the early and real-time detection of BPSD. They could, nevertheless, provide nurses with accurate, reliable systems for assessing, monitoring, planning, and supporting safe therapeutic interventions.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e54496) doi:10.2196/54496
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Introduction

Demographic aging is a worldwide phenomenon, with significant growth in the number of older adults expected in the coming decades [1]. The number of people aged 80 years or older is expected to reach 426 million by 2050, with a high prevalence of dementia and other mental health disorders [2]. According to the World Health Organization, more than 55 million people worldwide endure dementia, and around 10 million new cases are diagnosed yearly [3]. More than 90% of them are affected by 1 or more of the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) and 80%-90%
live in nursing homes. The BPSD, also known as neuropsychiatric symptoms related to dementia, is characterized by changes in behavior, perception, thought content, and mood [4]. The most common symptoms are apathy, aberrant motor behaviors, mood disturbances, aggression, anxiety, irritability, and sleep disorders [4]. The BPSD has a negative impact on the quality of life, accelerating functional decline and leading to earlier mortality [5,6]. The BPSD can be the source of social isolation, abuse, and burdens for informal caregivers [7,8]. For health care professionals, including nurses, managing BPSD can lead to work overload, stress, burnout, reduced quality of care, and the risks of patient abuse [9-11]. Finally, BPSD can increase health care system costs through more consultations, hospitalizations, and the prescription of more psychotropic drugs and mood stabilizers [12].

The etiopathogenesis of the BPSD is complex. Although dementia is a prerequisite for the onset of its behavioral and psychological symptoms, it is not the sole determinant. The BPSD can result from a convergence of factors, including neurological alterations, somatic problems, psychological factors, environmental conditions, and individual patient characteristics [13]. Moreover, the frequency, intensity, and types of symptoms vary considerably from 1 person to another. Thus, effectively managing the BPSD requires a structured approach that identifies and acts on various trigger factors [4,13-15]. The literature suggests different models or approaches, but all agree on three distinct steps which are (1) assessing manifestations of the BPSD that the patients present with, (2) formulating a hypothesis to help understand them, and (3) designing 1 or more interventions targeting their trigger factors [4,13-15]. Interventions can be psychosocial, pharmacological, or a combination of both [4,13-15]. Traditionally, detecting symptoms, monitoring their evolution, and evaluating treatment efficacy are based on nursing observations documented using assessment scales (Neuropsychiatric Inventory and Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory) or solely using written notes in the patient’s medical record [15,16]. However, this process may prove ineffective for the early detection of the signs of BPSD, and nurses may perceive it as a potential factor in work overload [12]. Indeed, the first 2 steps require the investment of health care professionals, informal caregivers, and other individuals, and the third is more complex due to the variability and multifactorial nature of the BPSD. The BPSD challenges nurses daily, often triggering crises that are extremely complex to manage. Responding effectively and efficiently to these clinical issues requires more intensive observation and specialized care, with a greater emphasis on the prodromal detection of warning signs. However, due to an aging workforce and difficult working conditions (eg, high levels of stress and burnout, job dissatisfaction, and low levels of retention), the health care sector is facing a shortage of nursing staff [17]. The International Council of Nurses estimates a need for 13 million extra nurses to fill the worldwide shortages in the profession [18]. This shows the limitations of current human resources–based strategies, with the corollary need to explore innovative and sustainable solutions.

In recent decades, new information technologies have been adopted by every area of health care [19]. The first information technologies to be integrated into health care were electronic medical and health records, clinical information systems, and health information exchanges. More recently, other technologies have emerged, such as clinical decision support systems, mobile health apps, telehealth, telemedicine, robotics, wireless medical devices, and virtual reality [19-21]. Technological development in the health care sector, including nursing, is currently focused on artificial intelligence (AI) [19]. In the field of health care, AI usually refers to software capable of interpreting clinical data, learning from it, and helping clinical decision-making [19,22]. Combined with critical thinking and human judgment, AI has the potential to improve nurses’ clinical reasoning by increasing the speed and accuracy of assessment, anticipation, synthesis, and knowledge generation [23]. From 1985 to date, the PubMed database lists 1086 publications on AI in nursing. There has been a significant growth in the number of these publications since 2020, reinforcing the nursing sciences’ aims of developing and adapting nursing practices in line with sociodemographic changes and health care system, and medical and technical progress. [24]. Promoting the development, adoption and effective use of AI-based technologies (AITs) in health care has been identified as a key strategy to address the challenges related to both the complexity of managing the BPSD and limited resources [19,25-28]. Despite significant recent interest in the topic and the increasing number of technical devices on the market, little information is available on introducing AITs to help nurses attempting to detect BPSD as early as possible. This narrative review aims to identify and summarize the characteristics of existing publications concerning the use of AITs to support nurses in the early identification and management of BPSD.

**Methods**

**Search Strategy**

This narrative review was conducted following the Toronto and Remington guidelines [29]. The research question used to guide it was as follows:

*What are the available publications on the use of artificial-intelligence-based technologies in neuropsychiatric symptoms related to dementia?*

We consulted the PubMed database in September 2023 using the descriptors and keywords “artificial intelligence,” “behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia,” and “neuropsychiatric symptoms” (Multimedia Appendix 1).

**Eligibility Criteria**

Publications addressing the concept of dementia were included because the literature often links the concepts of dementia and the BPSD. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Textbox 1.

A total of 30 publications were identified and included after their titles and abstracts were reviewed. Following a thorough examination of their full texts, 5 publications were excluded because they focused on mental health issues unrelated to dementia or BPSD. In total, 25 publications were included.
Textbox 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial intelligence–based technology type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial intelligence–based technology use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No restrictions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other mental health disorders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Extraction and Synthesis
Information extracted from the publications retained for analysis included study design, country, journal title and category, mental health disorder addressed, type, subtype, use of the AITs, and health care setting. The type, subtype, and use of each AIT were identified via a basic qualitative content analysis, based on the authors’ stated aims and objectives (information found in the introduction and methods sections of the papers retained). In the context of mental health care, the type of AIT used was categorized according to the groups proposed by Jin et al [30], which are, machine learning, natural language processing, and digital health. Once this information was extracted, keywords were chosen to categorize the AIT’s use, with keywords determined based on the verbs used in each paper’s objectives (eg, measure, evaluate, screen, manage, and predict). The results are reported using a narrative approach.

Results

Study Characteristics
Publications from 14 countries were identified, with publication dates ranging from 2006 to 2023 (Figure 1). A total of 8 publications addressed acute care settings [31-38], 6 looked at nursing homes [39-44], and 3 examined community care [45-47]. The majority described prospective observational studies published in journals covering geriatrics and psychogeriatrics [31,33,34,36,46-48] (Multimedia Appendix 2) [31-55].
Figure 1. Number of publications per country.

Uses of AITs
A total of 12 publications reported using machine learning–type AITs, including the facial expression recognition and predictive modeling subtypes [31,34-38,43,46,47,49,50,55], 11 publications explored digital health–type AITs, including the wearable technologies and robotic subtypes [32,39-42,44,45,51-54], and 2 publications examined natural language processing–type AITs [33,48] (Figure 2).

We identified three categories of publications depending on the AIT’s use and they are (1) predicting behavior and the stage and progression of dementia, (2) screening and assessing clinical symptoms, and (3) managing dementia and the BPSD (Figure 3).

A total of 4 publications reported on the use of machine learning technology to predict dementia behavior and the stage and progression of dementia [34,36-38]. Three publications referred to the use of natural language processing for screening and assessing clinical symptoms [35,48,49] and 1 reported on the use of machine learning [50]. One publication described the use of wearable technologies [40], and 1 combined this type of AIT with machine learning [46]. Finally, 10 publications reported on the use of robotics as a psychosocial approach to managing dementia and BPSD [32,39,41,42,44,45,51-54] (Table 1).

One publication reported on the use of AITs to predict the stage and progression of dementia [31]. 1 described the detection and measurement of dementia’s clinical symptoms [48], and 6 examined dementia management [39,41,44,51-53]. As for the BPSD, 5 publications reported using AITs to predict behavior [33,36,37,46,47], 3 described the detection and assessment of clinical symptoms [35,40,49], and 5 looked at managing behavior [32,42-44,55] (Figure 4). In the context of the BPSD, behavior management refers to interventions carried out to identify and act on trigger factors.
Figure 2. Number of publications by type of artificial intelligence–based technology.

- Digital health: 11 publications
- Natural language processing: 2 publications
- Machine learning: 12 publications
- Artificial intelligence-based technologies

Figure 3. Number of publications by artificial intelligence–based technology use.

- Manage: 12 publications
- Predict: 8 publications
- Screen or measure: 5 publications
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>AIT types</th>
<th>AITs subtype</th>
<th>AITs use</th>
<th>Screen and measure</th>
<th>Manage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machine learning</td>
<td>Natural language processing</td>
<td>Digital health</td>
<td>Predict</td>
<td>Screen and measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Harrasi et al [48]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byeon [31]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [39]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Predictive modeling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [47]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cho et al [46]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Predictive modeling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demange et al [32]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eikelboom et al [33]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favela et al [40]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wearable technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filan and Llewellyn-Jones [51]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill et al [34]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsieh et al [41]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jøranson et al [44]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>König et al [49]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>König et al [35]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leng et al [52]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang et al [45]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallo et al [36]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar et al [38]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar et al [37]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyle et al [42]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu et al [53]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russo et al [55]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al [50]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tadokoro et al [43]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Facial expression recognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al [54]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)AIT: artificial intelligence–based technology.

\(^b\)N/A: not applicable.
Discussion

Principal Results

Despite the growing interest in AITs, most of those currently used take a psychosocial approach to treating and caring for patients with BPSD by using the clinical signs that are already present. AI remains largely unexplored in terms of its potential for the early, real-time detection of BPSD. Yet, in different health care settings and contexts, AITs could provide nurses with accurate and reliable systems for assessing, monitoring, planning, and supporting safe therapeutic interventions [27,56]. Based on our findings, it appears that the use of AITs has been explored more in acute care than in long-term care settings, which include community care and nursing homes. However, the prevalence of BPSD seems to be higher in the context of long-term care, particularly in nursing homes where 80%-90% of residents exhibit at least 1 of the BPSD and institutional resources tend to be more limited [37].

As mentioned above, BPSD has traditionally been assessed and monitored by health care professionals’ observations of patients’ behaviors [15,16]. However, this process may have limited success in the early detection of warning signs of the BPSD, and health care professionals perceive it to be another task or factor leading to work overload [11]. Therefore, it seems appropriate to anticipate symptom escalation and optimize staff and financial resources. Multimodal sensors for capturing physiological parameters, activity trackers, and facial expression recognition are all promising AITs that make the process of managing the BPSD more efficient and personalized [40,58-61].

By mining information from such devices, nurses could detect early warning signs of BPSD and their trigger factors. By combining this information with their clinical knowledge and experience, nurses could be equipped with a clinical decision-making support system enabling them to guide and personalize their therapeutic interventions [56,62-64]. Although nurses agree about the potential usefulness of AITs, most do not fully understand AI’s underlying principles, and they are concerned about the potential consequences of its use in clinical practice [65-68]. Other obstacles pertaining to AITs include the unknown cost-benefits of their use in health care settings, the current lack of use and data management protocols in those settings, and the lack of information technology capacity there to support them [69,70]. The published papers identified in this review reinforced these points as the involvement of nurses in designing studies and the use of AITs was low. However, in interdisciplinary contexts, nurses have key roles to play in the conception and design of AIT devices, verifying their effectiveness and adapting their use.

Strengths and Limitations

The characteristics of the publications retained in this narrative review revealed the countries and contexts where AITs have been integrated into settings dealing with the BPSD and have been investigated. It also demonstrated the types of technologies available and their intended purposes, as well as the clinical contexts in which they are deployed. These results, while not exhaustive, provide a preliminary overview of this emerging topic and identify AITs’ potential benefits for clinical practice and pathways for future research. This narrative review has
some limitations, nevertheless. The absence of an assessment of the quality and validity of the selected publications may bias the quality of their reported outcomes. Furthermore, including publications that address dementia could lead to confusion regarding this narrative review’s focus. Although the concepts of dementia and BPSD are frequently interrelated in the specialized literature, including the concept of dementia could lead readers to misunderstand the scope of the results presented.

Conclusions

AI has the potential to transform nursing practice, particularly in support of the diagnosis and management of BPSD, which are currently among the major challenges in caring for older adults with dementia. However, our literature review found little experimental evidence, data, or understanding of how these types of technologies could be applied advantageously to the early detection of BPSD by nurses. Furthermore, although these are preliminary findings, the results of this review showed that research on this topic has only been done in relatively few countries, despite the impact of the BPSD being a global phenomenon. Based on this fact and the review’s limitations, we would recommend that a more comprehensive examination be performed, such as a scoping review, to meticulously explore the research conducted on AITs for the early detection of BPSD. It also seems important that future experimental research investigates the effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability of using devices based on AITs for the prodromal detection of BPSD. Specific research in long-term care settings seems to be particularly lacking. Nurses are intimately involved in creating a vision of contemporary professional nursing practice and then applying that practice. Therefore, it seems appropriate that they should be involved in strengthening collaboration with information technology engineers and programmers. Nurses’ perceptions and experiences of using AITs to detect BPSD should also be explored, using a qualitative approach, as should how the data provided by these types of technologies contribute to nurses’ clinical reasoning and decision-making processes.
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Abstract
This viewpoint paper explores the pedagogical implications of artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-based chatbots such as ChatGPT in nursing education, examining their potential uses, benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations. AI and chatbots offer transformative opportunities for nursing education, such as personalized learning, simulation and practice, accessible learning, and improved efficiency. They have the potential to increase student engagement and motivation, enhance learning outcomes, and augment teacher support. However, the integration of these technologies also raises ethical considerations, such as privacy, confidentiality, and bias. The viewpoint paper provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of AI and chatbots in nursing education, offering insights into best practices and guidelines for their integration. By examining the impact of AI and ChatGPT on student learning, engagement, and teacher effectiveness and efficiency, this review aims to contribute to the ongoing discussion on the use of AI and chatbots in nursing education and provide recommendations for future research and development in the field.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e52105) doi:10.2196/52105

KEYWORDS
AI; artificial intelligence; ChatGPT; chatbots; nursing education; education; chatbot; nursing; ethical; ethics; ethical consideration; accessible; learning; efficiency; student; student engagement; student learning

Introduction
Artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-based chatbots such as ChatGPT have become popular in many industries, including health care. AI refers to the use of computer algorithms and machine learning techniques to enable machines to perform tasks that traditionally require human intelligence, such as perception, reasoning, and decision-making. AI has numerous applications in health care, including in nursing education. AI uses computers and specially designed software to perform tasks and reasoning in different areas of health care, including screening, diagnosis, education, telecommunications, data security, finance, research, and the legal system [1,2]. However, in the present era, the scope of AI is limited to carrying out specific tasks and solutions to predefined problems [3].

A chatbot is a computer program designed to simulate conversation with human users through text or voice-based interactions. Chatbots use natural language processing and AI technologies to understand and respond to user queries and requests in a conversational manner [4]. ChatGPT is one such state-of-the-art language processing models that generates human-like responses to text prompts. It is trained on vast amounts of text data and uses machine learning algorithms to predict the likelihood of a particular sequence of words. OpenAI, a Microsoft Corp–backed start-up, recently unveiled GPT-4, a highly advanced version of ChatGPT. GPT-4’s upgraded capabilities enable it to engage in dialogic conversations and...
provide more comprehensive answers, incorporating improved data, facts, and analytical insights. It predicts the next word in a given set of text based on patterns it learned from a massive amount of data during its training process. The AI processes user requests and responds based on available information. Likewise, AI is identical to machine learning and human learning and uses specially designed software and machine-based algorithms to complement human learning in education, learning, analysis, and other multifaceted medical and health care fields [5].

The scope of this viewpoint paper is to explore the pedagogical implications of AI and AI-powered chatbots in nursing education; it will examine the potential uses and benefits of AI and chatbots in nursing education and the challenges and ethical considerations that must be addressed when integrating these technologies into the classroom. By examining the impact of AI and chatbots on student learning, engagement, and teacher effectiveness and efficiency, this viewpoint paper aims to provide educators and researchers in nursing education with a deeper understanding of the potential benefits and challenges of integrating AI and chatbots into their teaching practice.

However, there are also challenges and ethical considerations that must be addressed. As such, it is crucial to examine the role of AI and chatbots in nursing education and explore its implications to prepare nursing students for the digital age. The objective of this viewpoint paper is to provide insights into navigating the pedagogical landscape of nursing education with AI and chatbots.

Understanding AI and Chatbots in Nursing Education

Chatbots have potential applications in health care education, research, and practice [6]. There is currently a surge in the development of desktop and mobile applications powered by AI and ChatGPT technology. Several chatbots have been created with specialized capabilities to perform specific tasks. These specialized chatbots are designed to excel in their specific area of expertise and are trained with data and algorithms that enable them to provide accurate and relevant responses to user queries related to their area of specialization.

In nursing education, AI and chatbots can potentially transform the learning experience for students and teachers alike. For example, ChatGPT can be used to summarize large amounts of text data such as research articles, clinical notes, and patient records, which could help nurses quickly identify key findings and insights from a large body of literature [7]. Chatbots help nursing students interact with AI-generated natural language prompts to better understand medical concepts. It has the potential to become a go-to assistant for nursing students who aspire to become more proficient in their field. Students can use chatbots to develop study schedules, create multifaceted questions and scenarios, and quiz themselves on topics they want to be prompted about. Recent developments have been made in this area, such as ChatGPT being integrated as a journal author for a publication titled, “Open Artificial Intelligence Platforms In Nursing Education: Tools For Academic Progress Or Abuse” [8]. AI can be used to analyze data and identify patterns to personalize learning material and provide student feedback. It can also be used to simulate patient scenarios and provide a safe and controlled environment for students to practice clinical skills. Chatbots using AI technology can also provide immediate responses to students’ questions and offer 24/7 support, enabling students to learn at their own pace and on their own schedule.

Additionally, AI can be used to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of administrative tasks such as scheduling, record-keeping, and grading. This can free up teachers’ time to focus on more meaningful student interactions, such as providing personalized feedback and support. The potential uses of AI and chatbots in nursing education are vast and varied, offering exciting opportunities for innovation and transformation in the field.

Benefits of AI and Chatbots in Nursing Education

Incorporating AI and chatbots in nursing education leads to a multitude of benefits, including personalized learning experiences achieved by analyzing data and identifying patterns. This tailoring of learning materials offers customized feedback based on each student’s needs, which, in turn, enhances engagement and motivation. AI-powered simulations provide a safe environment for practicing clinical skills, thus minimizing risks to real patients, while AI-driven chatbots, such as ChatGPT, offer continuous support and immediate responses to questions, thus promoting self-paced learning [9]. Furthermore, AI streamlines administrative tasks, such as grading and record-keeping, allowing educators to focus on meaningful student interactions. Real-time risk assessment and triage of patients are facilitated by machine learning–based systems such as Enlitic, which prioritize and direct cases to appropriate clinicians [10]. The influence of AI and chatbots on nursing education manifests in improved student learning and engagement. Interactional learning experiences, such as simulated patient scenarios and gamification, foster enhanced engagement and outcomes. Additionally, AI and chatbots improve access to resources by providing round-the-clock support, fostering a culture of continuous learning.

Pedagogical Implications of Integrating AI Into Nursing Education

The integration of AI and chatbots into nursing education brings forth several pedagogical implications, including increased engagement and motivation for students through personalized feedback, adaptation to individual learning styles, and prompt responses to queries. This enhanced learning experience improves students’ knowledge retention and problem-solving skills by offering real-time feedback, tailored assessments, and interactional simulations. Additionally, AI and chatbots augment teacher support by streamlining grading, feedback, and administrative tasks, allowing educators to concentrate on more meaningful interactions with their students. Finally, the development of clinical competencies and confidence is
facilitated by simulating realistic patient scenarios coupled with immediate feedback, better preparing students for encounters with actual patients in the clinical setting.

**Teaching Effectiveness and Efficiency**

AI and chatbots can significantly enhance teaching effectiveness and efficiency in nursing education in various ways. These technologies enable more efficient grading and feedback, reducing the workload for educators and offering students immediate feedback for faster learning and better retention. An AI-powered writing assistant can check student papers for grammar, spelling errors, plagiarism, and readability, providing instant feedback and allowing teachers to focus on more in-depth evaluations. Moreover, AI and chatbots can facilitate personalized teaching by adapting to individual learning styles and identifying knowledge gaps, resulting in improved learning outcomes. Adaptive learning systems can assess students’ strengths and weaknesses, tailoring teaching materials to their specific needs.

Furthermore, AI and chatbots can create realistic simulations for students to practice clinical skills and build confidence in their abilities. Virtual reality simulations can provide lifelike patient scenarios for practicing skills such as medication administration or vital sign monitoring. Additionally, these technologies can augment teacher support by identifying struggling students and offering targeted interventions to enhance their learning outcomes. Learning analytics tools can help educators track student progress and pinpoint areas requiring additional support.

**Integrating AI Into Nursing Education for Clinical Excellence**

The integration of AI into nursing education marks a transformative stride toward clinical excellence. As AI technologies become increasingly prevalent in health care, nurses are presented with new opportunities and challenges. This paradigm shift prompts a reevaluation of nursing education practices, emphasizing the need for a curriculum that incorporates AI competencies [11]. In a hospital setting, training on AI-assisted patient monitoring systems for nursing nurses may equip them to interpret AI-generated insights, enabling proactive interventions. For example, nurses using AI alerts to predict deteriorating patient conditions can respond swiftly, showcasing the interdependence of human expertise and AI assistance in ensuring patient safety. Nurse educators play a pivotal role in this transformation, guiding students to navigate AI applications within clinical settings. This holistic approach seeks to bridge the gap between traditional nursing practices and the advancements brought forth by AI, ultimately shaping a future where clinical excellence is synonymous with technological proficiency.

**Limitations**

Despite the significant benefits of incorporating AI and chatbots in nursing education, there are essential limitations to consider. One critical aspect is the lack of human interaction, as AI and chatbots cannot entirely replace the development of interpersonal skills and empathy in nursing students. Ethical considerations, such as privacy, confidentiality, and bias, must be thoroughly addressed to ensure the safe, effective, and ethical use of these technologies without harming patients or perpetuating inequalities.

The adoption of AI and chatbots in nursing education necessitates a significant financial investment. Institutions must allocate resources for acquiring advanced technologies, specialized software, and ongoing technical support. Moreover, the costs associated with staff training on these technologies should not be underestimated. This financial burden can be prohibitive for some institutions, potentially creating disparities in access to AI-enhanced education [12]. Another concern is the potential for error, as AI and chatbots are not infallible and may lead to incorrect diagnoses or treatment plans, requiring cautious use to avoid misdiagnoses in medical tests. By carefully considering and addressing these limitations, the integration of AI and chatbots into nursing education can be optimized for safety, effectiveness, and ethicality.

**Ethical and Social Considerations**

Although AI and chatbots offer numerous benefits to nursing education, they also bring about ethical and social concerns that must be considered [13]. Issues such as bias and discrimination, privacy and security, accountability and transparency, displacement of human labor, and dehumanization and depersonalization must be addressed [14]. For example, biased or incomplete data used to train AI systems could lead to skewed information on certain health conditions or patient populations. Biased or incomplete data used to train AI systems in nursing education may manifest in skewed information on specific health conditions or patient populations. For instance, let us consider an AI-driven module designed to teach nursing students about prevalent health issues in India. If the training data predominantly include information from urban health care settings, the AI system may unintentionally neglect health concerns prevalent in rural areas. In this scenario, the AI system, having learned from biased data, might emphasize urban-centric health challenges while overlooking issues specific to rural communities, such as unique infectious diseases or limited access to certain health care resources. As a result, nursing students exposed primarily to this skewed information may not be adequately prepared to address the diverse health needs of the entire population, leading to an unintentional bias in their education. To mitigate this, it is essential to ensure that the training data encompass a comprehensive representation of health care scenarios, including both urban and rural contexts. Thus, the AI system can offer a more balanced and inclusive educational experience, fostering a nuanced understanding of diverse health conditions prevalent across different regions of India.

In addition, sensitive data storage and processing raise privacy and security concerns, while the opaque nature of AI systems presents challenges for accountability and transparency. To address these considerations, it is vital to develop and use AI and chatbot systems ethically and responsibly. This includes
ensuring data diversity and representativeness, implementing robust privacy and security measures, promoting transparency and accountability, and creating policies and regulations to tackle potential social and economic implications. Involving stakeholders, such as educators, students, and patient advocates, in the development and implementation of AI and chatbot systems is essential to align them with ethical and social values, fostering the best possible outcomes for nursing education [15].

Challenges and Opportunities

Integrating AI and chatbots into nursing education can present significant challenges and barriers for nursing educators. Technical expertise and resources, such as programming skills and access to specialized hardware and software, may be required to integrate these technologies into teaching and learning practices successfully. Additionally, some educators and students may resist change, and nursing programs may need additional training and support to encourage adoption. The cost of implementing AI and chatbots may be prohibitive, and programs must carefully consider the long-term sustainability of such investments. Data quality and availability may also be challenging, as AI and chatbots require large amounts of data to be effective.

Concerns related to data theft and cybersecurity are the major challenges, as these technologies are vulnerable to breaches and unauthorized access to confidential patient information. Adherence to laws and guidelines concerning information technology use and implementation of stringent security measures is crucial to address this issue [16]. Additionally, incorporating AI and chatbots requires technical support, infrastructure, and expertise, which may be challenging for institutions with limited resources or technical capabilities. Since AI models and platforms are often developed by professionals outside the nursing and medical fields, end users such as nurses and health care professionals may struggle to understand the technical aspects, potentially leading to errors or inaccurate findings [17].

Although laden with challenges, integrating AI and chatbots into nursing education, presents numerous opportunities and potential solutions. One key aspect is providing technical support and training to both educators and students, ensuring their comfort with these new technologies. Adopting a collaborative approach with other nursing programs and institutions can alleviate cost and sustainability issues, as resources, knowledge, and expertise can be shared among them. Moreover, forging partnerships with technology companies and industry experts can grant access to specialized hardware, software, and proficiency in AI and chatbots’ implementation.

Another crucial factor is establishing clear data governance policies and protocols to tackle data quality and availability challenges while securing sensitive information. Developing ethical frameworks and guidelines can help address ethical and legal concerns, including data privacy, security, bias, discrimination, and accountability. By incorporating AI and chatbots into innovative pedagogical approaches, nursing education can create more personalized learning experiences for students, leading to improved health care delivery. By capitalizing on these opportunities and implementing potential solutions, nursing programs can successfully integrate AI and chatbots, reaping the numerous benefits and opportunities offered by these advanced technologies.

Best Practices for Integrating AI and Chatbots in Nursing Education

Integrating AI and chatbots in nursing education requires a strategic approach that considers the unique needs and goals of each institution. While some nursing programs have been slower in integrating AI and chatbots, others have successfully implemented these technologies to improve student learning outcomes and enhance teaching effectiveness. One such example is the use of virtual patient simulations, which use AI to create realistic patient scenarios for nursing students to practice their skills in a safe and controlled environment [18,19]. Another successful integration of AI in nursing education is the use of adaptive learning platforms, which use chatbots to provide personalized learning experiences for students based on their individual needs and learning styles. These platforms can help identify areas of weakness and provide targeted feedback to improve student learning outcomes. Additionally, some nursing programs have used AI-powered chatbots to provide 24/7 support to students, answering their questions and providing additional resources and support when needed. These examples demonstrate the potential benefits of integrating AI and chatbots in nursing education and outline best practices for other institutions looking to implement these technologies.

To ensure the effective and ethical integration of AI and chatbots in nursing education, a thoughtful approach and best practices are essential. It is crucial to define clear goals that align with the institution’s mission and educational objectives, fostering targeted and effective use of AI and chatbots for improved student learning outcomes. Involving key stakeholders, such as nursing faculty, educational technologists, and student representatives, in the planning process guarantees their needs and concerns are considered.

Adequate training and support for faculty and students are crucial for the successful integration of AI and chatbots into the curriculum, ensuring their effective use in enhancing learning outcomes. Continual evaluation and refinement of AI and chatbots’ implementation, based on data regarding their impact on student learning outcomes, enables institutions to make informed decisions about future adjustments.

As AI and chatbots transform nursing education, further research and development are needed to maximize their potential benefits. With increased integration, ethical considerations such as privacy, bias, and transparency must be tackled to ensure equitable use. For instance, AI algorithms could perpetuate health care biases if not properly designed and validated. Future research should explore ways to mitigate these biases, promoting social justice and equity in AI and chatbots’ usage.

Another area for future research is the long-term impact of AI and chatbots on nursing education. While early studies suggest that these technologies can enhance student learning outcomes and teaching effectiveness [20], it is important to evaluate their
long-term impact on the nursing profession. Future research can explore how integrating AI and chatbots in nursing education affects the nursing workforce and their ability to provide quality patient care.

**Conclusion**

The viewpoint paper discusses the various ways AI and chatbots can be used in nursing education, such as providing personalized learning experiences, facilitating clinical reasoning, and enhancing communication skills. Additionally, the review highlights the potential implications of these technologies on the nursing profession, including improving patient outcomes, advancing research, and promoting evidence-based practice. The impact of AI and chatbots on nursing education and the nursing profession as a whole can be significant. These technologies can provide nursing educators new tools to engage students and enhance their learning experiences. Moreover, they can help nurses improve their clinical practice and provide better patient care.

AI and chatbots have the potential to revolutionize nursing education and the nursing profession. Educators and researchers in nursing education should explore the potential of these technologies and incorporate them into their teaching practices. However, addressing the potential ethical implications of using AI in nursing education and practice is also important. Therefore, researchers and educators should collaborate to develop guidelines and best practices to ensure the responsible use of AI in nursing education and practice.
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Abstract

Background: Increased workload, including workload related to electronic health record (EHR) documentation, is reported as a main contributor to nurse burnout and adversely affects patient safety and nurse satisfaction. Traditional methods for workload analysis are either administrative measures (such as the nurse-patient ratio) that do not represent actual nursing care or are subjective and limited to snapshots of care (eg, time-motion studies). Observing care and testing workflow changes in real time can be obstructive to clinical care. An examination of EHR interactions using EHR audit logs could provide a scalable, unobtrusive way to quantify the nursing workload, at least to the extent that nursing work is represented in EHR documentation. EHR audit logs are extremely complex; however, simple analytical methods cannot discover complex temporal patterns, requiring use of state-of-the-art temporal data-mining approaches. To effectively use these approaches, it is necessary to structure the raw audit logs into a consistent and scalable logical data model that can be consumed by machine learning (ML) algorithms.

Objective: We aimed to conceptualize a logical data model for nurse-EHR interactions that would support the future development of temporal ML models based on EHR audit log data.

Methods: We conducted a preliminary review of EHR audit logs to understand the types of nursing-specific data captured. Using concepts derived from the literature and our previous experience studying temporal patterns in biomedical data, we formulated a logical data model that can describe nurse-EHR interactions, the nurse-intrinsic and situational characteristics that may influence those interactions, and outcomes of relevance to the nursing workload in a scalable and extensible manner.

Results: We describe the data structure and concepts from EHR audit log data associated with nursing workload as a logical data model named RNteract. We conceptually demonstrate how using this logical data model could support temporal unsupervised ML and state-of-the-art artificial intelligence (AI) methods for predictive modeling.

Conclusions: The RNteract logical data model appears capable of supporting a variety of AI-based systems and should be generalizable to any type of EHR system or health care setting. Quantitatively identifying and analyzing temporal patterns of nurse-EHR interactions is foundational for developing interventions that support the nursing documentation workload and address nurse burnout.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e55793) doi:10.2196/55793
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Introduction

Workload as a Contributor to Burnout

Nursing workload, representing the amount of time, physical, and cognitive effort needed to provide nursing care, is an ongoing concern in health care settings and can pose significant challenges for nurses [1]. Increasing cost pressures and the lingering effects of COVID-19 have resulted in nurses taking care of sicker patients than in the past [2]. Many health care facilities experience a shortage of qualified nursing staff, resulting from factors such as insufficient recruitment and retention efforts or high turnover rates due to burnout [2]. There is also a higher demand for nurses given the aging population so that supply no longer meets demand [2]. As more nurses continue to exit the workforce, the workload increases further for those who remain on the job [3].

Measuring Nursing Workload

Historically, the most commonly used measure of nursing workload is the nurse-patient ratio [4], referring to the number of patients assigned to a nurse during a specific shift or time frame [5]. These ratios play a role in determining the amount of direct patient care that each nurse must provide, which directly impacts workload and the quality of care nurses can deliver. Research on patient ratios provides evidence connecting nurse staffing to patient outcomes and more specifically to patient safety [4]. However, establishing a safe and effective nurse-patient ratio is an ongoing challenge for health care organizations and policy makers [6].

A major weakness to estimating nursing workload at this macro level is that this approach does not account for differences in patient illness or the amount of care needed by an individual patient, nor does it account for contextual and organizational characteristics that impact workload [3]. Additionally, recent studies found evidence that not all nurses, even within the same hospital unit, practice the same way; therefore, examining individual nurse practices becomes important in examining workload [4]. In addition to nurse-patient ratios, nursing workload has also been studied as a qualitative experience and estimated via time-motion studies, whereas the subjective and intrusive nature of these approaches suggests that more research is needed from a quantitative or mixed methods perspective [7,8].

Electronic Health Record Data as an Estimate of Workload

The health care industry has access to a massive amount of data that can be analyzed for trends, patterns, and insights [9]. Data regarding clinical care are encompassed within the electronic health record (EHR). Researchers have leveraged EHR interactions to track clinical work activities and associated workload for physicians, and EHR interactions or use patterns were used to predict physician departures and burnout [9-11]. Nursing clinical care and documentation are distinct from physician care; however, to date, nursing workload has not been similarly evaluated from EHR data. Although it is well-established that nursing work extends beyond what is recorded, we posit that EHR documentation could be a reasonable proxy for nursing workload.

As part of regulatory requirements, health care organizations must record and track EHR activity at the user level, including log-on attempts, what patient records are accessed, what documentation was entered, and the date and time of access [12]. These user-level metadata, stored as EHR audit logs, are an untapped resource that have potential to provide clinical insights [13]. Some nurse researchers have explored the suitability of EHR audit logs to understand the documentation burden [14]. However, these metadata are complex, change over time, and varied in how they are aggregated into higher-level measures [15]. To effectively use these data, it is necessary to structure the raw audit logs as part of a consistent and scalable logical data model that can be consumed by artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms.

Logical Data Models

A logical data model is a set of specifications that identifies the primary data concepts and relationships between them, serving as a blueprint or template on how information is organized for analysis [16]. Machine learning (ML) models typically organize data as vectors. In this context, a vector is a specific way to represent data as a matrix of values. In planning for ML, a logical data model can define the main categories of vectors that serve as model inputs or outputs, which can be implemented as a physical model consumable by any ML algorithm [16]. A logical data model is intentionally abstract, not constrained by either the data sources or the actual structure that will store the data [16]. Ultimately, the aspects of the logical data model will inform the physical implementation of the model [17].

Objective

We posit that gathering and modeling sufficient data that are reliable, reproducible, and generalizable, and that represent nursing contributions within the context of work activities and workload are achievable with data science–based research. We hypothesize that assessing the nursing workload requires objective measurement and a standardization of data elements that represent clinical activities and other nursing workload influences, which can be used as targets for modeling interventions at scale. This hypothesis is supported by the findings from a systematic review of studies using EHR audit logs to observe clinical activities [10]. Because EHR audit logs record all types of interactions with the health record, the logs may offer insights into how nurses interact with the EHR and the extent to which EHR interactions reflect workflow and workload. Our objective was to conceptualize a logical data model based on EHR audit log data as a first step toward analysis of nursing EHR documentation workflows. This is somewhat analogous to developing a conceptual framework before starting a traditional analysis.

Using concepts derived from our previous work in studying temporal biomedical data patterns [18,19], we formulated a data structure that can describe nurse-EHR interactions, nurse-intrinsic and situational characteristics, and nurse outcomes of interest in a scalable and extensible manner. We believe the selected features will allow for metadata aggregation
into EHR use measures that can be used for a variety of nurse-centric outcomes. We then conceptually instantiated the model with an analysis plan for a quantitative study of the characteristics and expected outcomes associated with nurse-EHR interactions using AI and temporal ML methods. Although our purpose is to focus on the EHR audit log data, we also provide details on additional data sources that will be needed to instantiate the logical data model. We conceptualize how the model could be used to support data science methods based on AI and ML approaches. The physical implementation of the model will be described in subsequent work.

**Methods**

**Study Design**
To develop this proposed conceptual framework, we began with a search of the literature, and expanded upon previous work that examined the workload of physicians using EHR data and researched components of nursing workload that can be extracted from other (non-EHR) health system databases [20]. Two experienced nurses iteratively refined the concepts and interactions until consensus was achieved. We conducted a preliminary review of the audit log from a commercial EHR vendor (Epic) implemented at an academic medical center to confirm that the conceptualized model corresponded to generalizable structures for audit log data.

**Ethical Considerations**
Given that EHR audit log data are user-centric and not patient-centric, we protected user identity by deidentifying users and aggregating activity according to the generic user role “nurse.” After consultation with the University of Utah Institutional Review Board, the protocol received an exemption determination.

**EHR Audit Log Metadata**
In our review of the EHR audit logs, we found that nursing interactions with the EHR extend beyond clinical data input. Within the EHR audit logs, we were able to distinguish between data review and data input, identify the particular section of the EHR accessed (eg, medication administration record, best-practice advisories, or notes), and determine the workflow activities such as navigation between records. We focused on data specific to nurse users. In their current form, these data lack a hierarchical data structure and do not contain a taxonomy related to specific user or task types.

**Results**

**Data Model: Concepts**
The data model, which we named RNteract, contains elements that describe the nurse tasks (NTask), nurse characteristics (NType), specific type of patient or patient panel (NPanel), and the resulting nurse-relevant outcomes (NOutcome). Each concept will be represented via a value set for physical implementation of the model. The value sets can be defined based on the purpose or goals of the analysis. As an example, the NTask value set can describe a general type of task (such as medication tasks) or can be more granular (eg, specific steps of medication administration) depending on the objectives of the model.

**Model Structure: Vectors**
This logical data model is intended to support temporal ML models. These approaches are grounded in the concept of vectors or numerical arrays. A vector is a mathematically based approach for expressing and organizing data in a predefined manner. Vectors in ML represent input data, including bias or weights. In the same way, output from an ML model can be represented as a vector. To perform a given ML task, the first step is to represent the input. For this logical data model, we provide a set of specifications and primary data structures with a focus on the NTask input.

**Model Component: NTask**

Our initial focus will be on defining the NTask element. For each nurse, ID=k, we define a vector <NTask(k, i)>, i=1 to N, as a vector of dimension N, where N is the number of time periods considered in the study. Any resolution (eg, day, hour, 30-minute intervals, each minute) can be used for the time periods. The individual nurse performing the task is represented by k and the i component of the vector corresponds to the time interval under study.

The value representing the specific task is taken from a value set that describes the nursing tasks considered in the model and can be as general or specific as desired. For instance, if a value set for tasks has been defined broadly such that 1=no EHR interaction, 2=read EHR data, and 3=input EHR data, if the nurse identified with ID 55 has been reading the EHR for the first time period, does nothing with the EHR for the next three periods, and then writes a note in the EHR for the fifth time period, the corresponding NTask vector will be (55, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3).

The NTask vector will allow the classification of activity patterns in EHR data for any finite number of nurses, for an arbitrary set of tasks, and for any finite time resolution. Instantiation of the model would result in a set of vectors that can then be classified with temporal ML methods to model nurse activity trajectories and other patterns of nurse-EHR interactions. Resulting nurse activity trajectories can be associated with quantitative descriptors of NType, NPanel, and NOutcome, which are defined in further detail below.

**Additional Components**

**NType**
NType describes the nurse user within the EHR audit log data. For each nurse with ID=k, the NType data element is a vector of dimension M in which the i component of the vector <NType (k, i)>, i=1 to M, is an integer, real, or categorical value defined by a value set that describes both intrinsic and situational characteristics of the nurse. The dimension of the vector, M, is defined by the number of characteristics we desire to model and to assign to a nurse.

Audit logs, by themselves, have only limited information about the nurse characteristics other than identification of individual users. From the EHR audit logs, we can determine the location
of where the EHR interaction occurred (inferred from the computer location), and consequently can infer additional information based on the most common location of documentation and characteristics such as the environment the nurse primarily practices in (eg, medical/surgical environment or an intensive care unit). However, we will need to access data from other sources to incorporate other nurse characteristics. These data may be available from the EHR scheduling system or other auxiliary systems such as credentialing systems or human resources databases. Examples of a desired model value set using these additional data sources may be:

1. Nurse employment length, integer (eg, number of years in the job, workplace).
2. Nurse’s highest professional accreditation, categorical variable (eg, licensed practical nurse, registered nurse [RN], nurse practitioner).
3. Average number of hours worked per week, integer, which is potentially derivable from the EHR audit log (eg, based on first and last EHR interactions in a 24-hour period).
4. Nurse primary assignment, categorical variable (eg, operating room [OR], intensive care, medical/surgical). This information can potentially be inferred from the EHR audit log based on the primary location of the computer used for documentation.

As an example, if a nurse with ID 55 has been in the organization for 5 years, is an RN, worked 48 hours per week, and is primarily assigned to the OR, the corresponding vector would be NType=(55, 5, RN, 3, OR).

**NPanel**

NPanel is a vector to describe the patient context for the tasks. The data element for the patient panel assigned to nurse with ID=k is a vector of dimension O in which the i element of <NPanel (k, i), i=1 to O, is an integer, real, or categorical value defined by a value set that describes the characteristics and the acuity of the patients in the panel. The dimension of the vector, O, is defined by the number of features used to describe the patient panel. For instance, this data element may accommodate the complexity level of the patients or the average length of stay of the patients. These data will not be available directly from EHR audit logs, but may be obtained from the admission, discharge, and transfer messages; a financial system; patient acuity; or other clinical sources. An example of a possible value set for a patient panel might be:

1. Admission from the emergency room; integer, number of patients admitted.
2. Admission from the OR; integer, number of patients admitted.
3. Discharged to home; integer, number of patients discharged.
4. Average length of stay; integer.

An example for this component is if nurse ID=55 has a panel of 12 patients with 1 admission from the OR, 2 discharges, and an average length of stay of 4, the corresponding vector would be NPanel=(55, 0, 1, 2, 4).

**NOutcome**

The NOutcome element for a nurse with ID=k is a vector of dimension P in which the i element of <NOutcome (k, i), i=1 to P, is an integer, real, or categorical value defined by a value set that describes long-term changes in the status of the nurse and P is the number of features included in the model to describe the nurse outcomes. This data element can accommodate events such as resignation, promotion, or salary increase. Other potential outcomes may include use of time off, patient safety events, and preventable harm. As with NType, these data may be available from the EHR or other ancillary systems. Examples of a value set may be:

1. Nurse salary increase; Boolean: YES, NO.
2. Nurse resignation; Boolean: YES, NO.
3. Nurse promotion; Boolean: YES, NO.
4. Nurse missed work; integer, number of days that the nurse missed work.

For example, if nurse ID=55 did not receive a salary increase, did not resign, was not promoted, but missed 6 days in the last month, the corresponding vector would be NOutcome=(55, NO, NO, NO, 6).

Such long-term outcomes will not be recorded in EHR audit logs and must be obtained from other data sources. However, audit logs may hint at intermediate outcomes and workflows, including workflows such as charting in a block of time (perhaps charting after the shift) versus charting throughout the shift. These intermediate outcomes may suggest hypotheses for further exploration, such as the extent to which charting in a block of time may reflect a high workload.

**Extensibility/Generalizability**

The definitions of NType, NPanel, and NOutcome are extensible and can accommodate any finite number of properties associated with any nurse. While we recognize that these properties may change over time, we chose to make NType, NPanel, and NOutcome independent of time because the variations in these categories are usually much slower than the variations of the time-dependent NTask vector. If necessary, categorical variables can be transformed into integers by defining a table transformation. This makes NType, NPanel, and NOutcome amenable to any ML approach that may be considered.

**Conceptual Instantiation for AI and ML**

Using temporal unsupervised classification [21-23], the <NTask (i, k)> nurse-EHR temporal interaction patterns can be classified through pattern recognition. The resulting archetypical activity patterns or clusters can be associated with any of the properties described in either NType, NPanel, or NOutcome, where the clusters can be described as enriched or depleted of any of the properties coded by these data elements. Using traditional statistics, the significance of the enrichments/depletions can be estimated.

Descriptive analysis of the NTask clusters can be used to gain insights from interaction patterns, which will allow for the development of predictive models for each cluster associated
Discussion

Clinical Implications

This paper describes the development of a scalable and extensible logical data model to represent interactions between nurses and EHR systems as recorded in EHR audit logs. We identified a general methodology for identifying concepts related to nursing workload and applied this methodology to EHR interactions as reflected in EHR audit logs.

AI-based systems have increasingly been incorporated into health care [24]. To date, research on AI in health care has largely neglected to consider real-world scenarios or real-world effect on outcomes [25]. Uses of AI in nursing span from virtual assistants to patient monitoring and predictive modeling [26]. AI can also contribute to nursing by helping to streamline workflows and analyze vast amounts of data for evidence-based recommendations, helping nurses make more informed decisions about patient care [26-28]. Nurses have had only limited engagement in workflow or workload modeling efforts, despite the potential for AI-based systems to contribute to advanced, effective, efficient care, such as through more effective ways to access and organize information from EHRs [25].

The metadata in audit logs can be complex and unstructured, making them difficult to interpret. Advanced analytics such as ML and data mining are necessary to identify patterns and insights in such complex data; however, to use these methods effectively, the data need to be structured in a way that it is consumable by AI and ML applications.

The logical data model presented herein provides a structured framework for organizing the vast amount of data generated in audit logs. This model can help in categorizing data elements and defining their relationships with a flow of logical reasoning, which is essential for understanding and using the data effectively. With a well-defined logical data model, it becomes easier to analyze audit log data by providing a clear representation of data flows and structures. We believe that this data model will provide a better understanding of nursing-related data elements and will assist others in using EHR audit log data to effectively model nursing workload or related outcomes of interest.

Prior to a physical implementation of the model (details will be described elsewhere), the non-EHR audit log data sources may require additional representation. However, as many of the other data sets have been described in the literature, our focus is to promote the broader use of EHR audit log data to understand the nursing workload [29,30].

Limitations

The data model was developed based on the literature and on the audit log of a single organization’s EHR. The audit logs of other organizations using the same or different EHR systems may suggest additions or modifications to the model. Consultation with the nursing research community may lead to further refinements of the model; to this end, we envision subjecting the model to an open discussion in relevant research venues.

Conclusion

Using concepts derived from our previous experience in studying temporal biomedical data patterns, we formulated a data model that can be used to describe nurse-EHR interactions, intrinsic and situational characteristics of nurses and patient panels, and nurse outcomes of interest in a scalable and extensible manner. The definitions of NTask, NType, NPanel, and NOutcome, as shown in Figure 1, this model allows for predictive modeling and is not constrained by the selection of AI method. This intersection of nurse types, patient panels, and tasks, aggregated over time and across patient encounters, can be analyzed using a range of state-of-the-art AI techniques for different purposes, revealing burnout markers such as charting, navigation, and searching patterns. Although we recognize that burnout is not entirely measurable from EHR interactions, we assumed a set of burnout markers with concrete measurements that can be obtained from the user-centric logs. The logical data model also allows for the inclusion of other contributors to burnout that can be incorporated prior to describing the outcome.

Figure 1. RNinteract logical data model. A: Any state-of-the-art AI model can be accommodated; B: electronic health record–derived time patterns for charting, navigation, or searching; C: allows for the inclusion of other contributors to burnout. AI: artificial intelligence; NOutcome: nurse-relevant observations or outcomes; NPanel: patient panel being cared for; NTask: nurse tasks; NType: nurse characteristics.
and NOutcome are extensible and can accommodate any finite number of properties associated with a nurse. Through the use of the logical data model, we conceptualize how nurse-EHR interactions could be studied using temporal unsupervised ML as well as any state-of-the-art AI methods. Future work may include extensions or modifications of the model as we test its applicability to different organizations and different EHR systems.
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Abstract

Background: Depression is one of the most common mental disorders that affects >300 million people worldwide. There is a shortage of providers trained in the provision of mental health care, and the nursing workforce is essential in filling this gap. The diagnosis of depression relies heavily on self-reported symptoms and clinical interviews, which are subject to implicit biases. The omics methods, including genomics, transcriptomics, epigenomics, and microbiomics, are novel methods for identifying the biological underpinnings of depression. Machine learning is used to analyze genomic data that includes large, heterogeneous, and multidimensional data sets.

Objective: This scoping review aims to review the existing literature on machine learning methods for omics data analysis to identify individuals with depression, with the goal of providing insight into alternative objective and driven insights into the diagnostic process for depression.

Methods: This scoping review was reported following the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines. Searches were conducted in 3 databases to identify relevant publications. A total of 3 independent researchers performed screening, and discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Critical appraisal was performed using the Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross-Sectional Studies.

Results: The screening process identified 15 relevant papers. The omics methods included genomics, transcriptomics, epigenomics, multiomics, and microbiomics, and machine learning methods included random forest, support vector machine, k-nearest neighbor, and artificial neural network.

Conclusions: The findings of this scoping review indicate that the omics methods had similar performance in identifying omics variants associated with depression. All machine learning methods performed well based on their performance metrics. When variants in omics data are associated with an increased risk of depression, the important next step is for clinicians, especially nurses, to assess individuals for symptoms of depression and provide a diagnosis and any necessary treatment.

(JMIR Nursing 2024;7:e54810) doi:10.2196/54810
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**Introduction**

**Significance of Depression**

Depression is one of the most common mood disorders, with a prevalence of approximately 20% in adults in the United States [1,2]. Among people with diagnosed depression, nearly half experience severe depression, and 40% experience moderate depression [1]. Between 2010 and 2018, the number of adults in the United States diagnosed with depression increased by 13%, and the associated health care costs also increased, including medical and pharmaceutical costs, workplace absenteeism, and suicide-related costs [3]. Despite a greater investment in mental health, approximately half of the people experiencing depression have been diagnosed and treated [4]. There have been limited improvements in the mental health care of depression during the past decade, primarily owing to the challenges in accurately diagnosing this complex illness [5]. Consequently, there is an urgent imperative to explore and establish more objective diagnostic approaches that can better identify individuals with depression and pave the way for more effective interventions and personalized treatment strategies.

**Diagnostic Methods for Depression**

The gold standard for depression diagnosis involves a structured psychiatric interview [2] that includes validated depression scales such as the Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale, and the Beck Depression Inventory [6]. While these validated scales can be administered by a trained interviewer, a licensed mental health provider is required to make a formal diagnosis [2]. This method, while routinely used, is subjective to the clinician conducting the interview, leading to potential variations in diagnosis.

There are several other barriers to the diagnosis of depression, which include limited access to health care services and societal stigma toward mental health diagnoses. The *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* defines depression as a heterogenous disorder that is diagnosed based on the core symptoms of depressed mood or anhedonia and at least 4 of the 9 other symptoms, including appetite changes, sleep changes, fatigue, difficulty in concentrating, feeling worthless, and suicidal ideation; depression is present if these symptoms last for at least 2 weeks [5]. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of symptoms in depression makes diagnosis difficult [7], and it is described differently across cultures [8]. In addition, there is social stigma and perceived conflict with normative social roles that prevent many patients from being honest about their thoughts and feelings [6].

**Nursing Care for Depression**

Second to social work, nursing is the largest profession in the mental health workforce [9]. In 2013, it was estimated that 4% of the total registered nursing workforce provided mental health care, and in 2015, the number was estimated by the National Nursing Workforce Survey to be 134,000 registered nurses [9]. Advanced practice registered nurses are a vital part of the mental health workforce, especially in rural areas where there are few licensed mental health professionals with prescribing capabilities [9].

**Genomics of Depression**

Owing to multilevel biases around diagnoses of depression, including implicit bias of providers, social desirability bias of patients, and bias introduced by data processing, alternative methods for an objective biologically informed diagnosis are being explored [10,11]. Currently, biomarkers, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), messenger RNA (mRNA), microRNA, proteins, and methylated DNA, are being sequenced and combined with scores on standardized depression instruments to evaluate whether they can improve the sensitivity and specificity of a depression diagnosis. Ideally, biomarker profiling would be performed on brain tissue, as it offers valuable insights into the underlying neurobiological mechanisms [6]. However, brain biopsies are dangerously invasive, so peripheral blood or saliva is often used as an alternative sample type [6]. Importantly, recent studies have shown a high correlation in gene expression and methylation patterns between blood and saliva samples and brain tissue, supporting the utility of peripheral samples as valuable surrogates for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying depression [12-14]. Therefore, this study focuses on studies that use blood or saliva sample types for the diagnosis of depression.

The heritability of depression is estimated to be 40%, and many studies have been performed to identify genetic variants or SNPs that are associated with depression [15,16]. Genomic analysis can be performed through genome-wide association studies (GWASs). The 2 types of GWAS are classical and functional. Classical GWAS identifies SNPs that are associated with specific traits or diseases [15]. Functional GWAS determines how SNPs overlap with regulatory elements such as enhancers and promoters and predicts how these SNPs function [15]. A GWAS of samples in the Taiwan Biobank identified SNPs in 17 different genes that were significantly associated with depression [16]. Results from GWAS analyses suggest that depression is a polygenic disorder, meaning many SNPs can affect the hereditary influence [4]. SNPs identified through GWASs can be used to compute polygenic risk scores [4]. Polygenic risk scores combine the effects of genetic variants into an overall score that reflects an individual’s propensity for a disease [17].

**Transcriptomics of Depression**

The transcriptome is all of the body’s mRNA and contains coding instructions for protein synthesis [18,19]. Transcriptome analysis is useful for measuring gene expression. Recently developed sequencing techniques allow the expression levels of thousands of transcripts to be measured simultaneously [19]. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in patients with depression and healthy controls have been identified in both peripheral blood samples and brain tissues [18].

**Epigenomics of Depression**

Epigenetics leads to heritable changes in gene expression without affecting the underlying genetic sequences [20]. Studies have shown that epigenetics may be as influential as genetic
variants in the development of depression [21]. Two types of epigenetic modifiers are DNA methylation (DNAm) and microRNA. DNAm occurs at sites in the genetic sequence where the nucleotides cytosine and guanine are bound together in clusters known as cytosine-phosphodiester bond-guanine (CpG) islands [21]. DNAm is responsive to environmental stimuli and can affect gene expression by inhibiting the transcription of affected genes [21]. MicroRNAs are small, noncoding RNAs up to 25 nucleotides in length [20]. Unlike mRNA, they are not translated into protein. Instead, they bind to mRNA to suppress protein translation, leading to decreased gene expression [20]. The effects of several microRNAs have been found to be upregulated or downregulated in individuals with depression [1].

In some studies, >1 sequencing method is used on the samples to produce different types of omics data. In the multiomics study by Bhak et al [6], blood samples were sequenced using Methyl-Seq to produce epigenomic data and RNA-Seq to produce transcriptomic data. Using these data, the authors were able to distinguish between people with depression who have attempted suicide, people with depression who have not attempted suicide, and healthy controls [6]. Combining >1 omics data type can improve prediction accuracy [6].

Microbiomes of Depression

The diversity of microbiota in the gut is influenced by genetics, development, and environment [22]. In the gut microbiome, the gut microbiota transmit signals to the brain through pathways associated with neural transmission and control of behaviors [22]. Depression has been associated with gut dysbiosis, an imbalance of the gut microbiota that is associated with adverse health outcomes [23,24]. Some strains of bacteria have been associated with depression in multiple studies, including Eggerthella, Subdoligranulum, Coprococcus, and Ruminococcaceae [25]. Furthermore, studies have found differences in metabolic pathways between individuals with depression and healthy controls [24].

Machine Learning Methods to Identify Individuals With Depression From Omics Data

Oms data are inherently complex and often too large for manual evaluation [26]. Machine learning, a form of artificial intelligence, is useful for detecting subtle patterns in large data sets, allowing it to predict multifactorial diseases [11,27]. By training algorithms on data, machine learning models identify patterns and make predictions that may be beyond human capabilities [28]. Machine learning algorithms can be supervised, where the algorithm learns from labeled training data to make predictions in unlabeled testing data, or unsupervised, where there is no labeling, and the algorithm categorizes the data into groups or finds complex patterns [29].

Machine learning models are being investigated to aid in the development of predictive algorithms to help understand how genetic variation can affect disease status [16]. A key aspect of machine learning is feature selection, which helps determine the importance of each feature and its contribution to the model’s performance during training; in omics data, features can encompass various entities, such as SNPs, DEGs, or DNAm sites [6]. Machine learning can be useful for analyzing transcriptomic data because traditional statistical methods may not fully capture molecular interactions between genes [30].

Through machine learning, researchers can not only identify genes associated with a specific disease but also explore linear and nonlinear gene interactions [30]. While there is great potential in using machine learning to advance omics knowledge on depression, no prior studies have summarized the machine learning methods used to analyze omics data for depression. Therefore, this scoping review aims to provide an overview of the existing literature on using machine learning methods to analyze omics data to identify individuals with depression.

Methods

This scoping review was reported following the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines [31].

Search Strategies

Searches were conducted in 3 databases between November and December 2022: PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus. The search strategy used terms representing machine learning; depression; and different types of omics, including genomics, transcriptomics, and epigenomics (Multimedia Appendix 1). Keywords were combined using Boolean operators.

Selection Criteria

After deduplication, 3 independent reviewers (BT, MH, and SN) conducted pairwise screening of titles and abstracts with specific inclusion and exclusion criteria using Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation) systematic review web software. This resulted in a set of papers for full-text review that were also reviewed pairwise, with disagreements resolved by consensus. Specific inclusion criteria consisted of studies published in peer-reviewed journals, English, and the past 5 years (ie, between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2022). Publication dates were limited to the past 5 years because genetic sequencing is constantly evolving, and older studies may have used outdated methods [32]. Furthermore, all studies had to include (1) an omics method involving the sequencing of genetic material to identify depression and (2) an approach that used machine learning or deep learning to analyze the omics data. Papers were excluded if they focused on omics methods that did not involve sequencing of genetic material, such as metabolomics and lipidomics. In addition, review papers; deep learning studies of medical images; and studies focusing on other disorders, such as bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, and schizophrenia, were excluded.

Any disagreements between screeners were discussed and resolved through consensus. After the initial screening, full texts of the remaining papers were reviewed. Reference lists were also screened to identify any additional papers meeting the inclusion criteria. Covidence software was used throughout the screening process. Data charting was completed for the eligible studies using Word (Microsoft Corp).
Data Extraction

Items extracted included author, year, study design, and sample size. Data extracted included the omics type, machine learning method, sample type, and depression screening instrument used. Charted data were synthesized by grouping studies according to their omics method (eg, genomics and transcriptomics).

Critical appraisal was performed using the Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross-Sectional Studies [33]. This checklist was chosen because the genomic data in the studies included in this review were analyzed at a single point in time [34]. The checklist appraises inclusion criteria, measurement of exposure and outcomes, confounding, and statistical analysis. Questions are answered as yes, no, unclear, or not applicable [33].

Results

Search Summary

The initial database search yielded 964 papers; 266 (27.6%) papers were removed as duplicates. Of the 964 papers, the titles and abstracts of 698 (72.4%) papers were screened for eligibility. A priori exclusion criteria were applied throughout the title and abstract screening of the 698 papers, and 668 (95.7%) papers were excluded. Of the 698 papers, 30 (4.3%) met the criteria for full-text review and were assessed for eligibility, of which 15 (50%) were included in this scoping review. This screening process is visualized in a PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow diagram (Figure 1).

![PRISMA Flow Diagram](image)

Summary of Study Characteristics

The included studies were published between 2017 and 2022. The studies were conducted in 8 countries: Germany (1/15, 7%), South Korea (1/15, 7%), Australia (1/15, 7%), China (1/15, 7%), Taiwan (1/15, 7%), Canada (2/15, 13%), United States (6/15, 40%), Japan (1/15, 7%), and India (1/15, 7%). All the studies were cross-sectional design studies. The studies addressed genomics (5/15, 33%), transcriptomics (5/15, 33%), epigenomics (3/15, 20%), multiomics (1/15, 7%), and microbiomics (1/15, 7%). Machine learning methods included random forest, support vector machine, k-nearest neighbor, artificial neural network, and deep learning. Study characteristics are further described in Table 1.
### Table 1. Study characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of omics and study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample size, n</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Depression diagnosis</th>
<th>Screening instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Composite International Diagnostic Interview–Short Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patient Health Questionnaire-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabnejad et al [35], 2018</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>922 (463 cases and 459 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>• Composite International Diagnostic Interview–Short Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patient Health Questionnaire-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arloth et al [15], 2020</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>3514 (1476 cases and 2038 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al [16], 2021</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>9828 (2457 cases and 7371 controls)</td>
<td>Mean 51.2 (SD 10.4) years</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>• Patient Health Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sekaran and Sudha [26], 2019</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>100 (66 cases and 34 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al [36], 2020</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6733 (185 cases and 6548 controls)</td>
<td>Mean 60 (SD 11) years</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Center for Epidemiological Studies–Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Geriatric Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patient Health Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Neuropsychiatric Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciobanu et al [30], 2020</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>521 (27 cases and 494 controls)</td>
<td>70 to 90 years</td>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>• Geriatric Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patient Health Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Neuropsychiatric Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le et al [37], 2020</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>157 (78 cases and 79 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>• Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvandeh et al [38], 2020</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>915 (463 cases and 452 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>• Composite International Diagnostic Interview–Short Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patient Health Questionnaire-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al [18], 2021</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2295 (1765 cases and 530 controls)</td>
<td>&gt;18 years</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verma and Shakya [19], 2022</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>59 (30 cases and 29 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigenomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al [27], 2021</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>391 (291 cases and 100 controls)</td>
<td>18 to 65 years</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>• Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payne et al [39], 2020</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>267 (54 cases and 213 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>• Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al [1], 2020</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>168 (140 cases and 28 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>• Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens et al [24], 2021</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>40 (20 cases and 20 controls)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>• None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhak et al [6], 2019</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>182 (95 cases and 87 controls)</td>
<td>19 to 46 years</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>• Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)DSM-IV: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fourth Edition).

**Genomics**

One study combined classical and functional GWASs and annotated SNPs based on their regulatory potential and combination with a functional unit (FU) [15]. This method is called a multivariate FU-wide association study (DeepWAS) [15]. A DeepWAS can identify SNPs associated with a disease (dSNPs) [15]. A DeepWAS successfully identified 61 dSNPs.
in 237 FUs that were associated with depression; 60 (25.3%) of these dSNPs were significant (Table 2) [15]. To validate these results, the dSNPs were compared to SNPs identified by other GWASs [15]. A total of 4 dSNPs overlapped with a large GWAS by the UK Biobank: the LARP6-LRRC49 gene, 2 intergenic regions near the WNT2 and ASZ1 genes, the ATG9B and ABCB8 genes on chromosome 7, and a site near the C1orf220 and MIR4424 genes on chromosome 1 [15]. In addition, the DeepWAS identified an SNP on the transcription factor binding site of MEF2C on chromosome 8 as a regulator for depression [15]. The GWAS using data collected from 2 prefectures in Japan included 102 SNPs in the model with the highest prediction accuracy [36]. However, none of these variants were significant at the 5.0×10^{-8} level, and the top 11 variants only explained 0.0036% of the variance in the validation data set, which is a very small effect size [36].

Using data from the Taiwan Biobank, a novel SNP, rs192922209, located in the intron region of the FBN1 gene on chromosome 15, was associated with depression [16]. In addition, a novel SNP was associated with depression in female individuals: rs114542799 in the intron region of the ALDH1LI1 gene on chromosome 3 [16]. Furthermore, this study identified 17 SNPs with potential roles as expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) [16]. Arabnejad et al [35] used GWAS data to identify significant SNPs and their associated genes to test for pathways that overlap with depression. They identified the top 500 SNPs using different feature selection methods and compared the number of genes detected to the biological pathways [35]. Pathways that previous studies have associated with depression were reported: axon guidance pathway, neuronal system pathway, and pathways related to G protein–coupled receptors, which affect neurotransmitter signaling [35].

Sekaran and Sudha [26] aimed to identify genetic variants related to depression by using DNA microarrays. Sample participants were classified into 3 categories: patients with depression with lipopolysaccharide treatment, patients with depression without lipopolysaccharide treatment, and healthy controls [26]. A total of 27 genetic biomarkers associated with depression were identified; the biomarker A_23_P109436, was able to classify the data with the highest precision [26].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of omics and study</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabnejad et al [35],</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• Detected pathways associated with depression, including axon guidance,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>neuronal system, and G protein–coupled receptor signaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arloth et al [15], 2020</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Identified 61 dSNPs(^a) in 237 FU(^b), 60 of the dSNPs were significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A total of 4 dSNPs were also found in a GWAS(^c) by the UK Biobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A SNP(^d) on the MEF2C gene was identified as a regulator for depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al [16], 2021</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• This study identified a novel SNP on the FBN1 gene associated with depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A novel SNP on the ALDH1L1 was associated with depression in female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A total of 17 SNPs with potential roles as expression quantitative trait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>loci were pinpointed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sekaran and Sudha [26],</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>• Identified 27 genetic biomarkers associated with depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>• A biomarker, A(_{23})_P109436, classified the data with the highest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>precision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al [36],</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• The model with the highest prediction accuracy included 102 SNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>• None of these SNPs were significant at the 5.0×10–8 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transcriptomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciobanu et al [30], 2020</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• Downregulation of the transferrin receptor gene is associated with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le et al [37], 2020</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• Identified 23 depression gene modules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvandeh et al [38],</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• The best performing model had a significant overlap of 959 genes with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>initial 7616 genes ((P&lt;.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al [18], 2021</td>
<td>Brain and blood</td>
<td>• Analysis of brain mRNA(^e) revealed 62 DEGs(^f) used to distinguish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cases from controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Analysis of blood mRNA found 1376 DEGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verma and Shakya [19],</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• A total of 624 transcripts correlated with the classification of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
<td>with depression who died by suicide, those who did not die by suicide, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epigenomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al [27], 2021</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• Identified 9 differentially methylated sites on the tryptophan hydroxylase-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payne et al [39], 2020</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• Found that DNAm(^g) in the first trimester could accurately predict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>depression in the third trimester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Third-trimester DNAm predicted postpartum depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al [1], 2020</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• A total of 4 microRNAs differed significantly, but these differences were</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbiomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens et al [24], 2021</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>• Found decreased amounts of Faecalibacterium, Ruminococcus, Lachnospiraceae,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Bacterioides species in the microbiomes of the individuals in the group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with depressive symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhak et al [6], 2019</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>• Identified 48 DEGs and 810 differentially methylated sites that significantly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>correlated with depression scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)dSNPs: single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with a disease.
\(^b\)FU: functional unit.
\(^c\)GWAS: genome-wide association study.
\(^d\)SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism.
\(^e\)mRNA: messenger RNA.
\(^f\)DEG: differentially expressed gene.
\(^g\)DNAm: DNA methylation.
Transcriptomics
Ciobanu et al [30] used transcriptomic data to identify a link between depression and the transferrin receptor gene on chromosome 3. When downregulated, this gene is associated with recurrent depression [30]. In the study by Verma and Shakya [19], differential gene expression was examined between patients with depression who died by suicide, those who did not die by suicide, and healthy controls. A total of 624 transcripts were found to be biologically and functionally related to classifying the 3 categories [19]. Most of these transcripts were associated with neurotransmitter receptors, postsynaptic signal transmission, synaptic depression, gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor activation, and glutamatergic synapse [19].

Using RNA sequence data, Parvandeh et al [38] aimed to classify patients with depression and healthy controls. They analyzed 7616 genes that are known to be associated with depression based on prior studies; these genes were compared to a repository of genes associated with mental disorders from the DisGeNET platform [38]. The best performing model had an overlap of 959 genes with the initial 7616 genes and P<.001, indicating significant overlap [38]. Using brain mRNA to discriminate between cases and controls, the best performing model identified 62 DEGs [18]. These genes were associated with upregulation of metalloaminopeptidase activity, downregulation of oxidoreductase activity, and upregulation of aminopeptidase activity [18]. Furthermore, this study used blood mRNA to identify 1376 DEGs associated with depression [18]. RNA-Seq Rdata was used to identify depression gene modules (DGMs), genes that are interconnected and coexpressed, and predict a clinical diagnosis of depression [37]. A total of 23 DGMs were identified; DGM-5 was most predictive of depression diagnosis and was significantly associated with depression severity [37].

Epigenomics
In the epigenetic study of postpartum depression by Payne et al [39], the authors used DNAm biomarker profiles on the TTC9B and HP1BP3 genes to predict antenatal and postpartum depression [39]. A total of 4 separate cohorts were included in this study, and blood samples were drawn during different trimesters of pregnancy [39]. They found that DNAm biomarkers from samples collected during the first trimester could accurately predict depression in the third trimester [39]. In addition, biomarker profiles in third-trimester samples predicted depression in the postpartum period [39].

The DNAm study by Fan et al [27] focused on methylation of the tryptophan hydroxylase-2 gene, which functions in the production of serotonin. They identified 9 CpG sites on the tryptophan hydroxylase-2 gene that differ significantly between patients with depression and healthy controls [27]. In the microRNA study by Qi et al [1], 4 microRNAs were found to differ significantly between patients with depression and healthy controls. However, none of these microRNAs remained significant after Bonferroni correction [1].

Microbiomics
One study used genomic variants in the microbiome to distinguish between individuals with depression and healthy controls [24]. After examining exact amplicon sequence variants, biological sequences that have been inferred through shotgun sequencing, the authors found decreased abundances of Faecalibacterium, Ruminococcus, Lachnospiraceae, and Bacteroides species in the microbiomes of the individuals in the depression group compared to those in the healthy group [24]. Furthermore, they found that pathways involved in the degradation of the neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid and the fatty acid butyrate were more prominent in individuals with depression [24].

Multiomics
The multiomics study using blood transcriptome and methylome data identified DEGs and differentially methylated sites (DMSs) in individuals with depression and controls [6]. This study included 3 cohorts: 56 individuals with depression who attempted suicide, 39 individuals with depression who did not attempt suicide, and 87 healthy controls [6]. A total of 80 DMSs were identified between individuals with depression who did not attempt suicide, and 95 DMSs and 7 DEGs were identified between individuals with depression who attempted suicide and controls [6]. Between individuals with depression who did and did not attempt suicide, 69 DMSs were found [6]. In addition, 48 DEGs and 810 DMSs were significantly correlated with scores on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17 [6]. A functional enrichment test was conducted to investigate pathways associated with the model input features. A difference in enrichment was detected between depressed individuals who did not attempt suicide “and controls in the Hippo signaling pathway, which includes the Protein Kinase C gene on chromosome 2 and the Frizzled Class Receptor 7 gene on chromosome 1 [6]. In addition, protocadherin genes were enriched in depressed individuals who attempted suicide compared to controls [6].

Supervised Machine Learning
In an epigenomic study, linear discriminant analysis and support vector machine were used to predict depression in the first, second, or third trimester of pregnancy [39]. Linear discriminant analysis predicted depression in the third trimester with an accuracy >70% and an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.72 (Table 3); similarly, support vector machine predictions for the same trimester had an accuracy of 72% and AUC of 0.83 [39]. Support vector machine also successfully identified women with depression in the postpartum period with an AUC of 0.78; an AUC >0.5 indicates the model has some level of discriminatory ability and can adequately distinguish between cases and controls better than random chance [39].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genomics</th>
<th>Type of omics, study, and machine learning method</th>
<th>AUC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabnejad et al [35], 2018&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Relieff</td>
<td>—&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lasso regression</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aloth et al [15], 2020</td>
<td>DeepWAS&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; or DeepSEA&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.59-0.66</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al [16], 2021</td>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decision tree</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistic ridge regression</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LogitBoost</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sekaran and Sudha [26], 2019</td>
<td>Bayesian network</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.96&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neural network</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linear discriminant analysis</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al [36], 2020&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Smooth-threshold multivariate genetic prediction</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genomics best linear unbiased prediction</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summary data–based best linear unbiased prediction</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bayes regression</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ridge regression</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptomics</td>
<td>Ciobanu et al [30], 2020</td>
<td>Fuzzy forest</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le et al [37], 2020</td>
<td>Tree-based pipeline optimization tool</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.48-0.65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme gradient boost</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.49-0.59</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvandeh et al [38], 2020</td>
<td>Consensus nested cross-validation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nested cross-validation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private evaporative cooling</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Elastic net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al [18], 2021</td>
<td>Extreme gradient boost</td>
<td>0.55-0.72</td>
<td>0.67-0.85</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistic regression</td>
<td>0.62-0.91</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verma and Shakya [19], 2022</td>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.39-0.61</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The GWAS of the Taiwan Biobank used 5 machine learning algorithms to build creative models incorporating SNPs and demographic information: logistic ridge regression, support vector machine, decision tree, LogitBoost, and random forest [16]. Logistic ridge regression and LogitBoost had the best performance with an AUC >0.82 and sensitivity and specificity >0.76 [16]. In the GWAS study by Takahashi et al [36], the authors aimed to decrease overfitting by decreasing the number of null variants included in the model. They compared the performance of 6 different models: smooth-threshold multivariate genetic prediction, polygenic risk scores, genomic best linear unbiased prediction, summary data–based best linear unbiased prediction, a Bayesian hierarchical model for the analysis of complex traits, and ridge regression [36]. The smooth-threshold multivariate genetic prediction had the highest prediction accuracy with a partial correlation of 0.05 and P value of <.005; this model also successfully reduced overfitting [36]. The study by Sekaran and Sudha [26] used 5 different machine learning algorithms to identify genetic biomarkers: Bayesian network, support vector machine, random forest, back propagation neural network, and linear discriminant analysis. The accuracy of the Bayesian network and support vector machine was >90%; the accuracy of the other algorithms was <75% [26].

The transcriptomic study by Ciobanu et al [30] combined a random forest classifier model with Weighted Gene Coexpression Network Analysis into an algorithm called fuzzy forest that identified an association between depression and the transferrin receptor gene. The fuzzy forest classifier was able to reduce the dimensionality of the transcriptomic data and allow a predictive marker of depression to be identified with a smaller sample size [30]. In a transcriptomic study using brain tissue, extreme gradient boost (XGBoost) was chosen for its feature selection and reduction characteristics and ability to rank features by importance [18]. The AUC for the best performing model was 0.72 [18]. Furthermore, XGBoost was used in the transcriptomic study by Le et al [37], and its performance was compared to 2 tree-based pipeline optimization tools (TPOTs). XGBoost produced an accuracy of 0.59, and the standard TPOT produced a similar accuracy of 0.60 [37]. The TPOT combined with a feature set selector and the ability to slice the data into smaller subsets, produced the highest prediction accuracy of 0.68 [37].

In the multiomics study by Bhak et al [6], the authors used a random forest model and feature selection to analyze blood transcriptome and methylome data; this model correctly predicted the labels for suicide attempters and nonsuicide attempters with depression and controls. Scores on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17 were also correctly predicted...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of omics, study, and machine learning method</th>
<th>AUC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epigenomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al [27], 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>0.79-0.91</td>
<td>0.69-0.78</td>
<td>0.65-0.74</td>
<td>0.81-0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>0.57-0.86</td>
<td>0.50-0.85</td>
<td>0.41-0.83</td>
<td>0.49-0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural network</td>
<td>0.78-0.99</td>
<td>0.75-0.97</td>
<td>0.78-0.98</td>
<td>0.49-0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payne et al [39], 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>0.77-0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear discriminant analysis</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al [1], 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clustering</td>
<td>0.49-0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbiomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens et al [24], 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>0.66-0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multimomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhak et al [6], 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random forest</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.87-0.93</td>
<td>0.59-0.98</td>
<td>0.85-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>AUC: area under the curve.

<sup>b</sup>Machine learning methods were evaluated based on the number of genes found in pathways implicated in mood disorders.

<sup>c</sup>Not reported.

<sup>d</sup>DeepWAS: multivariate functional unit–wide association study.

<sup>e</sup>DeepSEA: deep learning-based sequence analyzer.

<sup>f</sup>Italics represent the best performing models.

<sup>g</sup>The only performance metrics given were partial correlation coefficients.
by a linear regression model [6]. The microbiomic study by Stevens et al [24] used a random forest method to identify gut microbiome taxa and related metabolic pathways associated with depression. The R packages ALDEEx2, DADA2, and PIME (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) analyzed the DNA sequences of the microbiota in stool samples to produce exact amplicon sequence variants, identify taxa associated with those variants using a Naive Bayes classifier, and filter the results into unique amplicon variant sequence variants [24]. This approach differentiated between individuals with depression and healthy controls, and the results were supported by multivariate analyses with a P value of <0.001 and effect size >0.5 [24]. Machine learning predicted metabolic pathways associated with the individuals in the depression and control groups with AUCs ranging from 0.66 to 0.9 [24].

Verma et al [19] used random forest and k-nearest neighbor methods to analyze transcriptomic data and classify patients as depressed and died by suicide, depressed and did not die by suicide, and healthy controls. K-nearest neighbor stores all cases and classifies new cases based on their similarity [19]. Using random forest, the test data were classified with an accuracy of 61.11%, and the training data were classified with an accuracy of 97.56%; with k-nearest neighbor, the accuracy was 61.11% for test data and 76.6% for training data [19].

The GWAS using the top 500 SNPs to identify biological pathways associated with depression compared the performance of random forest; least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; and ReliefF; a nearest neighbors feature selection algorithm [35]. ReliefF was the best performing algorithm, likely due to its ability to detect statistical interactions, and this method identified most genes associated with biological pathways related to depression [35]. Furthermore, ReliefF was used in a transcriptomic study and was combined with different cross-validation methods [38]. The private evaporative cooling and general elastic net algorithms had the highest accuracy on the training data, but consensus nested cross-validation had the highest accuracy on the validation data as well as low overfitting [38].

In the study of microRNAs by Qi et al [1], a regularized gradient boosted method was used to classify individuals with depression and healthy controls. The models were trained with cross-validation and 2500 iterations of parameter searches [1]. The models were then retrained using the best parameters [1]. The best model achieved an AUC of 0.93 [1]. When classifying cases as normal to mild or moderate to severe, the best model achieved an AUC of 0.76 [1].

Unsupervised Machine Learning

The study of microRNAs by Qi et al [1] used an unsupervised clustering approach to differentiate individuals with depression from healthy controls. A total of 500 iterations of a k-means clustering method were applied to the data set [1]. They obtained 2 clusters with similar sample sizes, both with an AUC >0.70 [1].

Deep Learning

The DeepWAS study by Arloth et al [15] used a deep learning method called deep learning-based sequence analyzer to predict the function of SNPs. Of >8 million SNPs analyzed; this method predicted 40,000 regulatory SNPs based on their affinity with an FU [15]. The AUCs ranged from 0.59 to 0.66 [15]. A regularized linear regression was used to determine which SNPs were associated with depression [15].

The DNAm study by Fan et al [27] used a support vector machine, random forest, and a neural network to predict depression based on methylation of the tryptophan hydroxylase-2 gene. The neural network had the best performance with an AUC of 0.988, sensitivity of 98.3%, specificity of 95%, accuracy of 97.4%, and positive predictive value of 98.3% [27]. In addition, they found that models combining clinical variables with tryptophan hydroxylase-2 methylation performed better than models based on clinical variables or methylation alone [27].

Critical Appraisal

The studies’ strengths and weaknesses were identified using the Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross-Sectional Studies, as shown in Table 4. Of the 15 studies, only 2 (13%), Fan et al [27] and Qi et al [1], clearly defined the criteria for inclusion in the sample. However, in all 15 studies, the individuals and setting were described in detail. A total of 47% (7/15) of the studies classified participants as experiencing depression but did not report how depression was measured or diagnosed. This may be due to the authors using data from biobanks and not having access to specific data about the participants.

The authors did not identify possible confounding factors in 11 (73%) of the 15 studies. However, it is typical that confounding is addressed when processing variables and during feature engineering, but it may not always be described as it is such a regular process. Therefore, the questions addressing confounding factors were marked “not applicable.” The study did not investigate the cause of depression or any associated diseases or disorders. Furthermore, those 11 studies did not present strategies to deal with confounding factors. The genomic outcomes were measured in a valid and reliable way in all the studies. The statistical analyses used seemed appropriate in all 15 studies.

| Question                                                                 | Arabnejad et al [35], 2018 | Arloth et al [15], 2020 | Bhak et al [6], 2019 | Ciobanu et al [30], 2020 | Fan et al [27], 2021 | Le et al [37], 2021 | Lin et al [16], 2021 | Parvandeh et al [38], 2020 | Payne et al [39], 2020 | Qi et al [1], 2020 | Qi et al [18], 2021 | Sekaran and Sulha [26], 2019 | Stevens et al [24], 2021 | Takashashi et al [36], 2020 | Verma and Shakya [19], 2022 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?           | Unclear                     | No                       | No                   | No                       | Yes                   | No                   | No                   | Yes                      | No                   | Yes                   | Yes                          | Yes                          | No                          | Yes                          |
| Were study individuals and setting described in detail?                  | Yes                         | Yes                      | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                   | No                   | Yes                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                          | Yes                          | Yes                          | Yes                          |
| Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?                  | Yes                         | Unclear                  | Yes                  | Yes                      | Unclear               | Yes                   | Unclear              | Yes                      | Yes                   | No                    | Yes                          | Yes                          | No                          | Yes                          |
| Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?| Yes                         | Unclear                  | Yes                  | Yes                      | Unclear               | Yes                   | Unclear              | Yes                      | Yes                   | No                    | Yes                          | Yes                          | No                          | Yes                          |
| Were confounding factors identified?                                     | — a                         | —                        | —                    | —                        | —                     | —                    | —                    | —                        | —                     | —                     | —                             | —                             | —                            | —                             |
| Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?                | —                           | —                        | —                    | —                        | —                     | —                    | —                    | —                        | —                     | —                     | —                             | —                             | —                            | —                             |
| Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?                 | Yes                         | Yes                      | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                          | Yes                          | Yes                          | Yes                          |
| Was appropriate statistical analysis used?                                | Yes                         | Yes                      | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                          | Yes                          | Yes                          | Yes                          |

Discussion

Principal Findings

Machine learning can enable researchers to identify specific features that impact depression, allowing providers to screen for these features in a clinical setting. In this scoping review, 15 studies published in the past 5 years reported on machine learning analysis of omics data to identify individuals with depression. Owing to the diversity of the data sources and methods, there was minimal overlap in comparable study results, indicating that this field is still in exploratory stages but will provide new avenues for future prediction of which patients are at risk of developing depression.

Future studies could help with diagnosing depression using genomic data in a more reliable way, helping to mitigate the potential biases of screening interviews. However, while the genomic studies identified many genetic variants associated with depression, the lack of overlap in study results indicates low reproducibility, which could be related to the low 40% heritability of depression. It may also be associated with the
heterogeneity of depression symptoms, with different genetic variants correlating with different symptoms.

Genetic variants can be helpful in diagnosing depression, but they are not generally responsive to environmental stimuli. Most of the genomics studies in this review focused on identifying SNPs that differed between individuals with depression and healthy controls. One study focused on detecting pathways associated with depression, while another used gene probes as biomarkers [26,35]. With the varied outcomes, it was difficult to compare these 2 studies to the others and determine if the results were consistent.

Transcriptomics can identify transcripts associated with depression or genes that are differentially expressed in depression. Gene expression has some responsiveness to the environment, as does DNA methylation. Of the 5 transcriptomics studies, 1 (20%) used brain and blood samples, while the other 4 (80%) only used blood samples, so it was expected that the results may vary. One of the studies reported downregulation of a single gene; another study reported general dysregulation of a few 100 genes, and 1 study identified DEGs and upregulation or downregulation of related pathways [18,19,30]. Another study focused on DGMs, groups of genes that are coexpressed in individuals with depression [37]. The fifth transcriptomics study emphasized the machine learning models and reported how many genes were selected by each model [38]. It would be ideal for comparison if all the studies performed a transcriptome-wide analysis and reported upregulation or downregulation of each DEG identified.

The DNA methylation study of tryptophan hydroxylase-2 focused on the methylation of a single gene rather than an epigenome-wide approach, effectively limiting the results to that gene [27]. Similarly, the postpartum depression DNA methylation study focused on only 2 specific genes, making it impossible to compare the results of the 2 studies [39]. Epigenome-wide association studies would likely be more effective in identifying differentially expressed regions associated with depression and possibly replicating work across studies [40].

Microbiomics was an interesting approach, as it did not use blood or saliva samples to sequence genetic material from the human participant [24]. Analysis of microbiomics data obtained from stool samples found differences in the composition of gut microbiota between individuals with depression and healthy individuals [24]. Stevens et al [24] identified particular taxa that were more prominent or depleted in the 2 groups. Furthermore, they focused on identifying physiological pathways involving microbiota that were associated with depression [24]. The multiomics study identified many DEGs and DMSs related to depression [6]. This may be the most insightful method because of the volume of results. However, it might be challenging to determine which results are the most significant. In addition, in many studies, only 1 type of omics data is available, so the multiomics method is not feasible.

A total of 20% (3/15) of the studies focused on identifying biological pathways. The genomics pathways study used the top 500 genes determined through feature selection and found associations with pathways that regulate neurotransmitter signaling [35]. The transcriptomics study identified pathways related to neurotransmitter reception, postsynaptic signal transmission, synaptic depression, and receptor activation, while the multi-omics study identified the Hippo signaling pathway, which is involved in cell proliferation and affects antidepressant response [6,41]. The genomics and transcriptomics studies show relatively consistent results in finding associations with pathways affecting neurotransmitters. The multiomics study found a different type of pathway, which may reflect the heterogeneity of depression and could indicate that different mechanisms can lead to depression. Future omics studies could include pathways analysis to build upon the knowledge of which biological pathways are involved in depression.

All the machine learning methods performed well based on their individual performance metrics. However, supervised methods are preferred when attempting to identify biological features related to depression because of their interpretability. Of the 15 studies, 8 (53%) reported AUCs to indicate how well the machine learning models performed, while 5 (33%) only reported accuracy; 2 (13%) reported accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity; 1 (7%) reported partial correlation coefficients; and 1 (7%) only quantified the number of genes found in pathways related to mood disorders. A review of the literature found that the most common metric used to evaluate machine learning models was accuracy followed by sensitivity and specificity [42]. However, the use of AUC as a performance metric is increasing [42]. It was difficult to compare the performance of the machine learning models in this review due to the range of performance metrics; using a standardized metric could prove more useful when choosing a model and comparing results.

There are ethical considerations related to the prediction of depression, such as the possibility of increasing insurance premiums. The protection of patient privacy, confidentiality, and trust is central to using genomics data, especially given how sensitive the data are and how they could be used to predict the risk of future conditions. Moreover, if it becomes feasible to predict depression before an individual shows symptoms, providers will need to determine the appropriate timing for treatment. They could begin treating preemptively or wait for symptoms to manifest. Furthermore, the cost of analyzing omics data should be considered. Researchers should evaluate whether omics data have a higher predictive accuracy than formal psychiatric evaluation. If not, using omics data may not be the most cost-effective way to identify individuals with depression.

**Limitations**

Finally, this scoping review is not without limitations. First, many of the studies used data from biobanks, which did not provide detailed descriptions of the participants in the data sets. This makes it impossible to know the demographics and other sample characteristics. In addition, unknown sample characteristics make the generalizability of study results unclear. Moreover, some studies did not report how depression was screened or diagnosed among patients, so it is not known if validated screening measures or formal psychiatric diagnoses were used or only patient reports were used.
Future Work
In future research, it may be helpful to focus on machine learning methods that identify features rather than those that are more geared toward prediction. Identified features can include genetic variants, DEGs, or differentially methylated regions, which would provide more relevant information that could be used to identify depression. The long-term goal of this work is to be able to use these biomarkers for a more objective diagnosis of depression.

Nursing Implications
Nurses are in a unique position to provide mental health support to patients when they have received appropriate training and education in psychotherapy [43]. Nurses have been called the “gateway” for care because they are typically the first point of contact with the health system and are in a position to build therapeutic relationships with patients [44]. With their skills in establishing therapeutic relationships, building rapport, active listening, observing behaviors, and noticing the effects of medications, nurses serve an extremely important role in the health promotion of patients seeking mental health support [44].

In addition, machine learning–based prediction of depression will eventually become part of common nursing clinical workflow. Therefore, it is imperative that nurses bring their expertise to the creation, evaluation, and implementation of artificial intelligence approaches to depression prediction. Of note, none of the 15 studies had nurse researchers as members of their study team. Nursing involvement in the entire life cycle of artificial intelligence will positively impact the usability and usefulness of data tools in clinical practice.

Conclusions
This scoping review describes different types of omics data and machine learning methods used to analyze these data to predict and diagnose depression. The findings indicate that the omics methods had similar performance in identifying variants, differentially methylated sites, and differences in gene expression. All machine learning methods performed well based on the metrics provided. Further research is needed in omics methods to identify more variants and differential sites and gene expression. When variants in omics data indicate the possibility of depression, it is important for clinicians, especially nurses, to assess individuals for symptoms of depression and provide a formal diagnosis and treatment if appropriate.

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (TL1TR001875 [BT]) and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (RO1NS123639 [RMC]).

Conflicts of Interest
None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1
Search strategy and keywords.
[DO CX File, 23 KB - nursing_v7i1e54810_app1.docx]

Multimedia Appendix 2
Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist.
[DO CX File, 84 KB - nursing_v7i1e54810_app2.docx]

References


Abbreviations

- AUC: area under the curve
- CpG: cytosine-phosphodiester bond-guanine
- DeepWAS: functional unit–wide association study
- DEG: differentially expressed gene
- DGM: depression gene module
- DMS: differentially methylated site
- DNAm: DNA methylation
- dSNP: single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with a disease
- FU: functional unit
- GWAS: genome-wide association study
- mRNA: messenger RNA
- PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
- PRISMA-ScR: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews
- SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism
- TPOT: tree-based pipeline optimization tool
XGBoost: extreme gradient boost
AI-Assisted Decision-Making in Long-Term Care: Qualitative Study on Prerequisites for Responsible Innovation

Dirk R M Lukkien1,2, MSc; Nathalie E Stolwijk1, MSc; Sima Ipakchian Askari1,3, PhD; Bob M Hofstede1,3, MSc; Henk Herman Nap1,3, PhD; Wouter P C Boon2, Prof Dr; Alexander Peine4, Prof Dr; Ellen H M Moors2, Prof Dr; Mirella M N Minkman1,5, Prof Dr

1Vilans Centre of Expertise of Long Term Care, Utrecht, Netherlands
2Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands
3Human Technology Interaction, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands
4Faculty of Humanities, Open University of The Netherlands, Heerlen, Netherlands
5TIAS School for Business and Society, Tilburg University, Tilburg, Netherlands

Corresponding Author:
Dirk R M Lukkien, MSc
Vilans Centre of Expertise of Long Term Care
Churchilllaan 11
Utrecht, 3505 RE
Netherlands
Phone: 31 612416513
Email: d.lukkien@vilans.nl

Abstract

Background: Although the use of artificial intelligence (AI)–based technologies, such as AI-based decision support systems (AI-DSSs), can help sustain and improve the quality and efficiency of care, their deployment creates ethical and social challenges. In recent years, a growing prevalence of high-level guidelines and frameworks for responsible AI innovation has been observed. However, few studies have specified the responsible embedding of AI-based technologies, such as AI-DSSs, in specific contexts, such as the nursing process in long-term care (LTC) for older adults.

Objective: Prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice were explored from the perspectives of nurses and other professional stakeholders in LTC.

Methods: Semistructured interviews were conducted with 24 care professionals in Dutch LTC, including nurses, care coordinators, data specialists, and care centralists. A total of 2 imaginary scenarios about AI-DSSs were developed beforehand and used to enable participants articulate their expectations regarding the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making. In addition, 6 high-level principles for responsible AI were used as probing themes to evoke further consideration of the risks associated with using AI-DSSs in LTC. Furthermore, the participants were asked to brainstorm possible strategies and actions in the design, implementation, and use of AI-DSSs to address or mitigate these risks. A thematic analysis was performed to identify the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice and the associated prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area.

Results: The stance of care professionals on the use of AI-DSSs is not a matter of purely positive or negative expectations but rather a nuanced interplay of positive and negative elements that lead to a weighed perception of the prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making. Both opportunities and risks were identified in relation to the early identification of care needs, guidance in devising care strategies, shared decision-making, and the workload of and work experience of caregivers. To optimally balance the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making, seven categories of prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice were identified: (1) regular deliberation on data collection; (2) a balanced proactive nature of AI-DSSs; (3) incremental advancements aligned with trust and experience; (4) customization for all user groups, including clients and caregivers; (5) measures to counteract bias and narrow perspectives; (6) human-centric learning loops; and (7) the routinization of using AI-DSSs.

Conclusions: The opportunities of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice could turn into drawbacks depending on the specific shaping of the design and deployment of AI-DSSs. Therefore, we recommend considering the responsible use of AI-DSSs as a balancing act. Moreover, considering the interrelatedness of the identified prerequisites, we call for various actors, including
Background

For the long-term care (LTC) of older adults, technologies based on artificial intelligence (AI) are increasingly being developed and deployed to support the nursing process. Current AI technologies can support specific aspects of the nursing process, such as monitoring the behavior and vital signs of clients with the aim of identifying frailty, assessing dementia-related problems and suitable interventions, and triaging health deterioration before eventually transferring clients to an emergency department or institutional care setting [1,9-13]. Throughout the nursing process, nurses, care coordinators, and other care professionals need to navigate a complex web of diagnostic and therapeutic uncertainties, client preferences and values, and cost considerations [14,15]. Against the backdrop of a growing gap between the number of qualified caregivers and the number of people in need of care, AI-assisted decision-making by caregivers could help sustain and improve the quality and efficiency of care.

AI-based technologies can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input they receive how to generate outputs such as predictions, content, recommendations, or decisions that can influence physical or web-based environments [16-18]. AI-DSSs refer to information systems that acquire relevant data about care needs or processes; present relevant data to users, such as nurses; and possibly translate raw data into actionable information, such as alerts, risk assessments, or recommendations about care strategies [15,19-21]. AI-based technologies such as AI-DSSs combine preprogrammed, rule-based algorithms and data-driven, self-learning algorithms rooted in machine learning. While initially rule focused, AI-DSSs are increasingly incorporating machine learning. This enables them to extract patterns and new insights from data sets that may be challenging for humans to analyze and improve their performance (eg, recommendations) based on the new data [2,15,21-23]. Therefore, the anticipated progress in AI-DSSs suggests their growing role in proactively supporting nurses and other stakeholders in decision-making regarding person-centered care strategies by harnessing relevant data.

Notwithstanding the potential of AI-DSSs and other AI-based technologies to support caregivers and other stakeholders in LTC, their deployment creates ethical and social challenges. The long-term gathering of data on the health and well-being of individuals, along with the pivotal role of algorithms in interpreting these data to arrive at care-related decisions, raises concerns. These concerns encompass the potential erosion of the privacy, autonomy, and self-determination of individuals; depersonalization of the caregiver-client relationship; and discrimination, problematization, and stigmatization of old age [4,21,24-27]. Owing to the impact that the use of AI-based technologies may have on the lives of older adults and the work of caregivers and the potential resistance that might emerge during implementation, implications need to be assessed and addressed at an early stage of their development.

In recent years, a growing prevalence of guidelines and frameworks to provide guidance on responsible AI innovation for diverse stakeholders, such as researchers, legislators, technology developers, and technology users, has been observed. Studies that have compared responsible AI frameworks emphasize a general consensus around high-level principles, such as transparency, justice, fairness, and nonmaleficence [28-30]. However, the current guidelines are generally highly abstract and leave much room for the interpretation of how these principles can be practically applied and contextualized to specific technologies, such as AI-DSSs, and specific settings, such as LTC [30,31]. Although scholars recognize the importance of a more context-specific conceptualization of these principles, multiple literature reviews have shown that only a few studies specify practical approaches to responsible AI innovation for specific application domains, which is particularly true for AI applications in LTC [5,7,32,33].

This Study

This study aimed to fill this knowledge gap by presenting the results of an interview study on prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice, with a specific focus on the LTC domain. In-depth interviews were conducted with Dutch nurses and professional stakeholders (ie, care coordinators, data specialists, and care centralists) with whom nurses closely collaborate. This holds particular relevance because these stakeholders have firsthand experience and practical insights into the nursing processes where AI-DSSs are anticipated to play an increasing role. Thereby, they can contribute significantly to understanding both the potential impact of AI-DSSs and the factors that need to be addressed for the responsible embedding of these technologies in practice. While various studies have offered conceptual expert analyses and synthesized relevant literature on factors important to the responsible embedding of AI-DSSs in health care (eg, the studies by Heyen and Salloch [22], Hindocha and Badea [34], and Skuban-Eiseler et al [35]), few have investigated (future) user perspectives on responsible AI-assisted decision-making [36]. This study first examined the perspectives of nurses and other professional stakeholders in LTC on the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice, thereby laying the groundwork for the second and main objective: exploring prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area.
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The results can lead to recommendations for responsibly embedding AI-DSSs into nursing practice.

**Methods**

**Overview**

Semistructured interviews were conducted to explore the perspectives of nurses and other professional stakeholders in LTC regarding, first, the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice and, second, associated prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area. This approach enabled the researchers to delve deeply into specific areas of interest while also maintaining an open-ended format that encourages participants to share their perspectives freely. This was crucial to comprehending the rationale behind perceived opportunities and risks and, consequently, thoroughly exploring associated prerequisites for responsible innovation. The interviews were conducted as part of the Healthy Ageing Eco-system for People with Dementia (HAAL) project, which is part of the European Active and Assisted Living program (Active and Assisted Living Europe, 2021; project AAL-2020-7-229-CP). In HAAL, an international consortium consisting of care organizations, research institutes, and commercial firms from the Netherlands, Italy, Taiwan, and Denmark collaborates on the co-design, development, testing, and commercialization of an AI-DSS intended to provide actionable information to formal caregivers of frail older adults, particularly those with dementia, with the aim of reducing the caregiver workload and increasing the quality of care. The consortium acknowledges that innovators must anticipate, reflect on, and respond to the ethical and social implications of increasingly advanced AI-DSSs at an early stage of innovation. Therefore, in parallel with the iterative co-design, development, and field testing of a low-complexity AI-DSS, the empirical research presented in this paper was conducted to explore the prerequisites for responsible innovation in AI-DSSs.

As envisioning the potential impacts of using AI-DSSs can be challenging, we first used scenarios and then used principle-based probing themes as starting points to explore stakeholder perspectives on the potential impact of using AI-DSSs and prime interview participants toward reflecting on both opportunities and risks. A total of 2 distinct imaginary scenarios were developed as inputs for the interviews, outlining different roles of AI within AI-DSSs. The aim of the scenarios was to make abstract concepts such as AI and AI-DSSs more concrete, enabling interview participants to articulate their expectations and considerations regarding the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice more effectively [37-40]. The AI-DSS in the first scenario incorporates only descriptive analytical functions that examine data to uncover insights into past events or trends. This scenario was inspired by the AI-DSS developed in the HAAL project. The second scenario takes a more speculative and ambitious turn and involves a more advanced AI-DSS with descriptive, predictive, and prescriptive functions. Predictive functions analyze data to forecast future outcomes, and prescriptive functions analyze data to recommend specific actions or strategies to help achieve specific outcomes [41,42].

In addition to the scenarios, specific principle-based probing themes were used to evoke thorough consideration of the risks of using AI-DSSs in LTC, along with possible strategies and actions to address or mitigate these risks in the design, implementation, and use of AI-DSSs. These probing themes were based on the six principles for responsible AI, as proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) guidance on Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence for Health [43]: (1) protecting human autonomy; (2) promoting human well-being and safety and the public interest; (3) ensuring transparency, explainability, and intelligibility; (4) fostering responsibility and accountability; (5) ensuring inclusiveness and equity; and (6) promoting AI that is responsive and sustainable. This particular guidance was selected because it represented one of the latest guidelines issued by an authoritative body in the health care domain. Moreover, it was explicitly designed as a starting point for context-specific discussions involving diverse stakeholders [43].

**Participants**

In total, 24 participants took part in this study. Recruitment took place through email inquiries to care organizations involved in the HAAL project and other LTC facilities in the Netherlands. The researchers aimed to achieve a varied composition of participants with different roles in the LTC for older adults and varying degrees of experience with technology, data, and AI. The inclusion of diverse professional perspectives offers insights into different facets of care where AI-DSSs might play an increasing role and contributes to a multifaceted understanding of prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice.

Participants were broadly categorized into 4 groups: nurses (13/24, 54%), care coordinators (6/24, 25%), data specialists (3/24, 12%), and care centralists (2/24, 8%). Nurses had various roles and education levels, ranging from executive district nurses to quality nurses with responsibilities in the care coordination of different clients. The group of care coordinators, including dementia case managers (2/6, 33%), geriatric care coordinators (2/6, 33%), and specialists in geriatric care (2/6, 33%), primarily coordinated and oversaw various aspects of care for frail older adults, including medical, social, and support services. Data specialists play a central role within their care organization in using data and developing tools, such as dashboards, to support decision-making by care teams. Finally, nursing care centralists are positioned within care centers in the Netherlands that respond to alarms (eg, from active and passive alarm instruments) and care-related questions, for instance, by calling in a caregiver on-site when needed.

Of the 24 participants, 16 (67%) held a formal role in advancing digitization within their care organizations. This might imply that these participants had already made or could relatively easily make explicit representations of the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in LTC and prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area. More specifically, these participants consisted of 9 (69%) of the 13 participating nurses, including 4 (31%) nurses with dementia or dementia experience. Of the remaining participants, 3 were full-time visitors and 5 were visiting nurses who participated in the scenarios only. Of the 13 participating nurses, 10 (77%) had prior experience with technology. The remaining 3 (23%) had limited experience with technology, data, or AI.

The researchers aimed to achieve a varied composition of participants with different roles in the LTC for older adults and the inclusion of diverse professional perspectives offers insights into different facets of care where AI-DSSs might play an increasing role and contributes to a multifaceted understanding of prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice.
2 (33%) of the 6 participating care coordinators, all 3 (100%) data specialists, and both (2/2, 100%) care centralists. Furthermore, of the 24 participants, 18 (75%) were female, and 6 (25%) were male. The mean age of the participants was 41 (SD 12.8; range 21-61) years, and on average, the participants had 16 (SD 11.4; range 3-40) years of occupational experience in health care.

**Procedure and Materials**

All interviews were conducted digitally via video calls, with screen sharing used to provide visual support for the interview questions. The interviews were conducted between May 2022 and February 2023, with a mean duration of 79 (range 58-119) minutes. Of the 24 interviews, 17 (71%) were conducted by pairs of researchers, and 7 (29%) were conducted by a single researcher. A multidisciplinary group of researchers (DRML, NES, SIA, HHN, WPCB, and AP) developed the interview protocol. Minor adaptations were made to the protocol after pilot testing with the first 2 participants. The interviews were conducted in Dutch.

The interview protocol (Multimedia Appendix 1) was structured as follows. In the first part of the interviews, a general introduction was given about the AI-DSS developed in the HAAL project. This concerns a dashboard that acquires, presents, and uses data generated by various digital care and well-being technologies that can be deployed in the homes of older adults. When used, these technologies collect data on the physical activity, eating and sleeping patterns, cognitive functioning, mood, social contact, and medication intake of older adults. All technologies were explained and shown to participants using a visual illustration, and questions were asked about the perceived relevance of and the familiarity of participants with the various technologies and data.

In the second part, participants were invited to reflect on the opportunities of AI-assisted decision-making in LTC. A description and visual illustration were provided, and questions related to the 2 developed imaginary scenarios were asked. The first scenario describes a dashboard with descriptive analytical functions only. The dashboard provides an overview of the data collected over time via a tailored selection of digital care and well-being technologies. In the dashboard, specific collected data are marked by a color (red, orange, or green) to signify varying levels of risk or urgency associated with them. Apart from the application of this coloring scheme, the data are not interpreted by algorithms. The primary goal of this dashboard is to make the data generated by various technologies available to caregivers in one place to prevent them from looking at separate overviews and apps.

The second scenario describes a more advanced dashboard with descriptive, predictive, and prescriptive functions. In this scenario, the data generated by the selected care technologies are not only integrated into one system and color marked to signify risk levels but also automatically processed into actionable insights by algorithms. Actionable insights could entail predictions of the risk for future emergency situations, such as a fall, and recommendations about possible follow-up actions, such as stimulating the physical activity of a client if the data indicate a relatively inactive period.

Both scenarios left room for the interview participants to indicate whether, and for which types of caregivers and other stakeholders in LTC, the respective dashboard might be relevant and why. After questions in this regard, participants were asked which of the 2 dashboards they would prefer and why. In addition, a short explanation was provided about the term AI, including everyday examples, after which the participants were asked what role they hope AI will play in the future of LTC.

In the third part, the participants were asked about the risks related to the use of AI-DSSs in LTC, as well as mitigation strategies. Participants were first invited to openly discuss any risks or concerns linked to both scenarios and consider whether they perceived any explicit differences in the risks associated with more advanced AI-DSSs compared to low-complexity AI-DSSs. Subsequently, targeted questions about risks were asked by using the 6 probing themes based on the responsible AI principles from the WHO [43]. After a brief explanation of each principle, participants were asked about their views on the respective principle in the context of AI-assisted decision-making in LTC. During discussions of potential risks, participants were encouraged to brainstorm possible strategies and actions to address or mitigate these risks in the design, use, and implementation of AI-DSSs. Finally, the participants were asked whether they had any other suggestions or topics that they wanted to discuss regarding the implications of using AI-DSSs in LTC.

**Ethical Considerations**

Before the interviews, general information about the goal and procedure was provided, and the participants were asked to read and sign an informed consent form. The authors of this study followed the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki and the Dutch code of conduct for scientific integrity. Ethical approval for the interviews, not subject to the medical scientific research act involving human subjects, was granted by an independent board of the lead author's department (Vilans), including a privacy officer and legal expert [44]. The recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim using a professional transcription service. The transcripts were thereafter coded for confidentiality, and identifying information was removed.

**Analyses**

A thematic analysis was independently performed by 4 researchers using the MAXQDA 2022 (VERBI GmbH) analysis software. One researcher (DRML) analyzed all 24 transcripts, and 3 researchers (NES, SIA, and BMH) analyzed 8 transcripts each. While distributing tasks, the goal was to give each researcher the broadest possible view of the data set. Therefore, NES and SIA, who were involved in conducting some of the interviews, analyzed transcripts of interviews in which they had not been involved themselves. The transcripts were analyzed through a stepwise construction of codes. On the basis of our research objective, three initial main codes were established: (1) opportunities for AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice, which were represented by potential supportive roles of AI-DSSs in this context; (2) risks of AI-assisted decision-making, which provide indications of factors that need to be addressed for the responsible embedding of AI-DSSs in practice; and (3) associated prerequisites for responsible
AI-assisted decision-making, which were represented by strategies to mitigate specific risks in the design, implementation, and use of AI-DSSs. Our in-depth analysis of the transcripts followed the 6 steps outlined by Braun and Clarke [45] and comprised a largely inductive thematic analysis to identify, analyze, and report repeated patterns across the interview transcripts [46]. The WHO principles provided a predefined theoretical framework that informed our thematic analysis, yet, apart from the 3 initial main codes, the development of codes and subcodes was largely inductive and reflective for the pertinent issues raised by the data. During the coding process and after initial coding, all 4 researchers engaged in 3 consultation sessions to exchange and cross-validate interpretations and coding decisions among themselves, thereby fostering intercoder reliability. Some of the results were presented through illustrative quotes, which were translated from Dutch to English and carefully selected to represent the arguments presented in the interviews and justify the various perspectives shown in the interviews. During the selection process, we considered whether the quotes could be understood without the context in which they were originally uttered.

Results

Overview

This section presents the perspectives of participants on prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice. First, we thoroughly discuss the anticipated opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice, as these established a foundation for the participants in exploring prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area. Thereafter, we discuss the associated prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice that were inductively identified.

Opportunities and Risks of AI-Assisted Decision-Making in Nursing Practice

Overview

On the basis of their substantial experience and domain knowledge of LTC, all participants were able to make explicit representations of potential supportive roles of AI-DSSs in the nursing process. Most participants also discussed a diverse array of risks of using AI-DSSs in nursing practice, even though multiple participants shared that they lacked experience in contemplating the risks and disadvantages of using AI-DSSs and AI more broadly. Comments about risks were frequently raised spontaneously when participants were prompted to reflect on the 2 imaginary scenarios outlining different types of AI-DSSs. However, in most cases, these comments were shared as a response to either open or targeted (principle-based) interview questions about risks. Through our thematic analysis, involving open coding, it became evident that the identified opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice coexist as complementary yet contradictory elements within 4 (interrelated) thematic domains: the early identification of care needs, guidance in devising care strategies, shared decision-making, and the workload and work experience of caregivers. For each of the domains, we discuss the opportunities and risks in the subsequent subsections.

Early Identification of Care Needs

Most participants anticipated that AI-DSSs could support caregivers in the remote and early anticipation of care needs, thereby enabling them to proactively initiate appropriate interventions. As multiple participants discussed, various existing care technologies enable caregivers to monitor the health, well-being, and behavior of clients remotely. The data generated by such technologies can provide insights into the changing care needs of specific clients. Such data could not only be remotely accessed and evaluated by caregivers but might also be automatically processed through AI into actionable insights, such as signals and alarms for caregivers about increased risks. Given that an increasing amount of data is being collected through various care technologies, multiple participants explicitly expressed optimism that AI could enable and optimize the use of these increasing amounts of data, thereby enhancing the already implemented and more stand-alone forms of remote monitoring. Furthermore, some participants perceived that insights gained through continuous technology-based monitoring might contribute to more adequate and complete information about care needs because, for instance, clients may not always (be able to) share all relevant information, the observations of caregivers when visiting clients generally provide only a limited view of the entire situation, and caregivers might inconsistently report on the same situation. One of the nurses shared the following:

If you think there is a specific care need but you are not sure what is actually happening in the client’s room or house, we now often still ask about the nurse’s gut feeling, which is often correct, of course, but now [with an AI-DSS] we can check with data what is really the case. [Participant 14]

In this line, some participants suggested that AI-DSSs could assist caregivers in targeted risk assessments or attempts to gain insights into specific unexplained behavior of clients. Furthermore, some participants anticipated that AI, with its ability to discern subtle patterns from data, could swiftly uncover emerging trends or potentially overlooked areas of attention regarding the health, well-being, or behavior of a client.

Notwithstanding these opportunities, participants shared multiple concerns regarding the identification of care needs based on personal data. For instance, multiple participants stated that a false sense of security may be created when caregivers heavily rely on or excessively trust the outputs of AI-DSSs, assuming that these outputs encompass all relevant patterns regarding the health, well-being, and care needs of clients. In addition, some participants stated that numerous issues or concerns related to older adult data could be flagged as potentially problematic. As suggested, this might result in caregivers adopting care interventions, possibly under pressure from other stakeholders, such as the families of clients. However, these interventions may be perceived as unnecessary or even undesirable by stakeholders such as the clients themselves. Therefore, the use of AI-DSSs might lead to the over-problematization of old age and stigmatizing stereotypes, impacting both the quality of life.
of older adults and the workload of caregivers. One of the care coordinators stated the following:

The system may ignore the norms and values of a particular client...Sometimes things that may seem very problematic may actually not be that problematic to a client. [Participant 22]

In addition, multiple participants commented that potential misuse of or unauthorized access to personal data could jeopardize the individual privacy of older adults; their ability to make their own decisions (ie, autonomy); and, consequently, their trust in their care network. Moreover, some participants suggested that the potential opacity of AI algorithms may complicate the understanding of both clients and caregivers of certain outcomes of AI-DSSs and care-related decisions made with the assistance of AI-DSSs. As suggested, this may diminish their trust and confidence in the collection and use of personal data. Furthermore, some participants commented that shifts toward data- and AI-assisted remote care might not be widely accepted. According to 2 participants, this raises questions regarding the extent to which enforcing these changes on clients or caregivers who are hesitant or unwilling to adopt these new approaches can be justified. One of the nurses expressed this as follows:

It [using AI-DSSs] becomes part of the foundation of your profession...It becomes an important part of determining your actions. But if someone does not want that, then you suddenly need your old-fashioned skills again, which requires a different way of caregiving that may no longer fit in with regular work processes or the zeitgeist...And then it could also be that the health insurer says: “We will no longer pay for that, because there is a better alternative.” [Participant 20]

Guidance on Devising Care Strategies

Multiple participants anticipated that by pointing caregivers to possible care needs and providing inspiration or substantiation for suitable care strategies, AI-DSSs might increasingly guide or direct caregivers in decision-making regarding person-centered care strategies. As some participants commented, AI-DSSs might thereby act as a type of personal coach, mentor, or advisor with 3 apparent, related functions. First, multiple participants suggested that AI-DSSs may offer inspiration or evidence for tailored person-centered interventions aimed at improving the health and well-being of a client, thereby helping caregivers devise care strategies to address specific issues. Second, multiple participants envisioned that AI-DSSs could facilitate the substantiation and validation of the initial ideas of caregivers about care strategies by using objective data to reinforce why these strategies should be implemented or explored further. Third, some participants anticipated that AI-DSSs might increasingly support caregivers in evaluating whether certain person-centered interventions were, in retrospect, suitable and whether adjustments should be made. Thus, the AI-DSSs were anticipated to enable iterative data-informed deliberation on person-centered care strategies. Some participants suggested that AI-DSSs may be particularly useful for relatively inexperienced caregivers who may overlook certain matters or possible care strategies owing to a lack of experience or for temporary substitute workers who are less familiar with the behavior, daily rhythm, and personal needs or preferences of a client. Others stated that more experienced caregivers may also find value in such AI assistance because of their potentially deeply rooted approaches to understanding care needs and implementing care strategies that could be challenged by the output of AI-DSSs.

Despite these potential benefits, most participants also shared concerns that guidance by AI-DSSs in devising care strategies could lead to the overreliance of caregivers on these systems. Multiple participants stated that heavy reliance on AI-DSSs by caregivers may gradually diminish their capacity for independent decision-making and critical thinking about person-centered care. One of the nurses said the following:

What I find a bit scary when a system is many times more intelligent than you is that it does not always necessarily make you smarter...The more you are facilitated with knowledge and interpretations and so on, the less you have to think for yourself. [Participant 21]

In addition, some participants suggested that caregivers who rely heavily on AI-DSSs may insufficiently consider broader contextual factors or crucial nuances in the characteristics and needs of individual clients. One of the nurses explained this as follows:

For instance, a male client who is very autistic may often retreat to his room and feel good about that. I can imagine that the system would then say: “This client rarely leaves his room, there is a risk of loneliness.” Then you may think that is a good conclusion, while it is actually good for this man that he often withdraws himself. Otherwise, he would be seriously overstimulated. [Participant 11]

As some participants expressed, heavy reliance on AI-DSSs might result in misguided toward unsuitable care strategies and negative impacts on the overall quality of care owing to the reduced adaptability of caregivers and the care system as a whole to unforeseen circumstances or erroneous or suboptimal recommendations by AI-DSSs.

Shared Decision-Making

Several participants anticipated that AI-DSSs would support shared decision-making by older adults and their formal and informal caregivers. Multiple participants mentioned that AI-DSSs could support caregivers in conversations with clients and their care network, including informal and other formal caregivers, by helping clarify care needs, identify unaddressed care needs, and reveal and substantiate necessary adjustments in the care plan. Similar to the broader spectrum of data and technology, AI-DSSs are perceived as potential conversational tools, fostering a more collective approach to decision-making in nursing practice. A few participants also mentioned that the use of AI-DSSs could support the shared responsibility of different caregivers in providing good care. One of the nurses suggested the following:
A psychological side effect of sharing information amongst all care professionals is that care coordinators no longer feel solely responsible for difficult decisions such as scaling down care. It is increasingly becoming a shared responsibility. By sharing information and anchoring it in the process, there is much more support for difficult measures. [Participant 3]

Simultaneously, it emerges from the comments of some participants that, instead of using data and AI-DSSs outcomes as input for shared decision-making, people might also intentionally or unintentionally use these outcomes against one another. As some participants expressed, in contexts where AI-DSSs collect, store, and use sensitive personal data, multiple interests could be intertwined and conflicting, such as the interest of a client in protecting their dignity and personal boundaries, the interest of professional caregivers in anticipating and understanding care needs, the interest of informal caregivers in monitoring (the quality of) formal care provided, and the interest of health insurers in exercising control over the care provided. Ultimately, conflicts of interest can result in mistrust.

**Workload and Work Experience**

Most participants suggested that the use of AI-DSSs might alleviate the cognitive load of caregivers and improve their work experience. Most participants envisioned that AI-DSSs could relieve caregivers of or even enable the processing of large amounts of pertinent data gathered in the care context. Some participants perceived it to be increasingly unrealistic to expect caregivers to invest time in tasks involving the analysis of substantial amounts of data, considering the high workload, the increasing amount of data gathered in the care context, and the lack of analytical skills to interpret these data. Accordingly, multiple participants suggested that AI-DSSs could relieve the workload of caregivers by automating routine tasks such as monitoring the daily rhythm or medication intake of the clients. In addition, some participants stated that by AI-DSSs taking on data-intensive and repetitive tasks, caregivers might experience a substantial decrease in mental strain and a more sustainable work environment. Furthermore, a few participants mentioned that a decrease in cognitive load resulting from the use of AI-DSSs might allow caregivers to dedicate more time and attention to empathetic aspects of caregiving and nuanced decision-making about person-centered care, rooted in thorough research into the specific care needs of clients.

In contrast, multiple participants suggested that the use of AI-DSSs might lead to an increased workload and deteriorate the work experience of caregivers. Some participants anticipated that caregivers using AI-DSSs might be unable to comprehend (some of) the outcomes of the systems or feel overwhelmed by the number of AI-generated insights, alarms, and recommendations for follow-up. Some participants also stated that caregivers might feel pressured to follow-up on the outcomes of the AI-DSSs. One of the nurses commented on this as follows:

> I see the risk that if you as a care professional decide to ignore a system, like “I’ll let this one go” or “I don’t recognize this [problem] at all,” then it could become a difficult story... To what extent will you, as a care professional, still have the right to say: “I will not do this,” or “I see it differently?” [Participant 20]

Furthermore, multiple participants mentioned that the heavy reliance of caregivers on AI-DSSs might diminish their active role and autonomy in investigating care needs and devising person-centered care strategies. Consequently, as some participants suggested, job satisfaction and the sense of professional fulfillment or purpose that caregivers could derive from person-centered and empathetic aspects of caregiving might be reduced.

**Prerequisites for Responsible AI-Assisted Decision-Making in Nursing Practice**

**Overview**

Building upon the anticipated opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making, participants discussed a broad array of factors that should be considered to responsibly embed AI-DSSs in nursing practice and optimally balance opportunities and risks. These factors can be roughly divided into 7 interrelated categories of prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice.

**Regular Deliberation on Data Collection**

Stakeholders in data practices, including clients, should regularly deliberate on the data required as inputs for AI-DSSs. Despite the potential of AI-DSSs to provide better insights as they acquire more (eg, more diverse or more long-term) data, most participants stressed that only essential data should be acquired to, for instance, limit privacy infringements, counteract the over-professionalization of old age, and prevent the cognitive overload of caregivers. One of the nurses stressed this as follows:

> What I personally find troubling is that we want to keep an eye on people all day long... I would rather like us to look more closely at specific points about which we say: we might want some extra attention on that. So, for example you might want to know more about—I’ll name it—the medication moment around ten o’clock. What happens around that moment that makes that the client may or may not do something with it? Or a fall incident, what happens before that makes the person fall every time? [Participant 21]

Along this line, multiple participants advocated that the collection of data should always relate to specific objectives (ie, care needs or life goals) agreed upon by clients and caregivers. Some participants also proposed regular deliberation by stakeholders, including clients, on the necessity and implications of specific data collection, as care needs, the personal values of stakeholders, and technological possibilities change over time.

**A Balanced Proactive Nature of AI-DSSs**

AI-DSSs should have a balanced proactive nature, implying that they should proactively support the nursing process while avoiding decision automation. On the one hand, multiple participants stressed that AI-DSSs should ease data-intensive analytical tasks by processing data into actionable insights that...
encourage caregivers to implement certain care strategies or delve deeper into identified concerns. Some participants proposed that it is crucial to avoid overwhelming caregivers with excessive insights that, from a practical perspective (e.g., owing to limited time and resources), cannot be acted upon or are not necessarily problematic.

On the other hand, there was a broad consensus among participants that human agency in decision-making should not be overshadowed and that ample space should be created for caregivers to devise person-centered care strategies by themselves. Multiple participants suggested that the need for users to think critically for themselves should be explicitly communicated to users during implementation. Some participants proposed that users could also be informed about this via the user interface of AI-DSSs. Furthermore, multiple participants noted that it could be meaningful if AI-DSSs point caregivers to specific areas of concern but that caregivers should largely retain and take the responsibility to develop person-centered approaches to address specific issues. One of the nurses stated the following:

If you see that a client has been less mobile the entire week, I think you should look at it like: “okay, what have we observed ourselves in recent weeks?”...And what actions you take in response, I think, always depends on the client...Let caregivers think for themselves about the interventions that are appropriate, because of course you do not always have to implement the same interventions in a certain situation. [Participant 7]

**Incremental Advancements Aligned With Trust and Experience**

Advancements in AI-DSSs should involve incremental steps that align with users’ and other stakeholders’ evolving trust in, and experience with, these systems. Despite the perceived need for proactive AI-DSSs that can transform potentially unmanageable data sets into actionable insights, multiple participants stressed that their operation and use should provisionally not entail excessive complexity or opacity. Caregivers, clients, and other stakeholders should gradually build trust as AI-DSSs prove their value during use. Multiple participants envisioned that, as trust in and experience with AI-DSSs deepens, gradual advancements in these systems could be implemented. For instance, it may be useful to introduce more advanced predictive and prescriptive analytical functionalities provided that users can interact with the system without diminishing their autonomy and critical thinking abilities. In addition, some participants posited that before broader deployment, significant adjustments to algorithms and the underlying logic within AI-DSSs may first need to be extensively tested in a secure setting and evaluated by an independent body. One of the data specialists stated the following:

I think we need a quality mark to establish that trust and that we as sector must agree that if such a system does not have such a quality mark and it is still under development, we will not use it. [Participant 12]

**Customization for All User Groups**

The design and implementation of AI-DSSs should involve customization for all user groups, including clients and caregivers, such that users’ interactions with AI-DSSs are tailored to their personal needs. Some participants stated that no one-size-fits-all approach exists for clients when deploying care technologies or collecting data related to their health, well-being, and care needs. Differences between clients regarding their views on what is important in life and what contributes to quality of care (e.g., the best possible curative care, safety, freedom, and privacy) may need to translate into variations regarding the choice of care technologies to be deployed, the data collected as input for AI-assisted decision-making (see also prerequisite 1), and who can access the resulting insights. Similarly, multiple participants suggested that some degree of customization should also be applied to caregivers. The interaction of AI-DSSs with caregivers, for instance, the type of insights provided and the extent to which recommendations by the systems have already been concretized, and the training of caregivers to use AI-DSSs optimally may need to be tailored to the specific role, level of education, problem-solving capacities, and ability for critical reflection of the caregivers. One of the care coordinators stated the following:

I think it depends on the resolving power of the person viewing it...Non-medical caregivers level two can often care for people very kindly and can help with washing, dressing and providing pills. But you cannot expect that when a client is ill, they will understand what needs to be changed with those medicines. So then maybe there must be a signal [by an AI-DSS] saying “maybe you should discuss with the nurse or doctor what should be done with the medication.” But if you make that suggestion to a higher educated nurse, she will say “yes, duh, I know that. That is my profession.” It might quickly cause irritation if things go like that. [Participant 1]

**Measures to Counteract Bias and Narrow Perspectives**

During the design and practical deployment of AI-DSSs, measures should be taken to counteract bias and narrow perspectives. In respect to the design of AI-DSSs, multiple participants suggested that transparency should be provided regarding the underlying functioning of AI-DSSs to ensure that caregivers can properly understand the generation of AI-based insights and assess the applicability and relevance of these insights in the context of an individual client. Simultaneously, some participants posited that, although a certain level of transparency is essential, it should not entirely hinder the advantages offered by advanced and potentially opaque AI analytics. Multiple participants suggested that transparency about AI-based outcomes could be fostered through explanations via the user interface of AI-DSSs about underlying trends in the data that led to a specific outcome or about the types of data and client characteristics considered to achieve certain outcomes. In addition, multiple participants proposed that the output of AI-DSSs be framed as advice rather than compelling information to prevent users from following AI-based outcomes without critical reflection. In addition, some participants suggested that,
in cases where AI-DSSs provide caregivers with recommendations about interventions to address specific care needs, multiple possible strategies could be presented to prevent caregivers from fixating on a specific solution. Furthermore, some participants advocated incorporating contextual information about client characteristics, such as cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds, as well as the observations or interpretations of caregivers. Such information could provide a broader perspective on the relevance of specific AI-generated insights and might be crucial for caregivers to develop a nuanced understanding of the situation and care needs of a client. Moreover, multiple participants suggested that it might be relevant if AI-DSSs not only provide insight into areas of attention in the health and care of clients but also highlight positive trends that indicate, for instance, that a certain care intervention has been successful.

In respect to the practical deployment of AI-DSSs, most participants stressed that caregivers require training on the responsible use of these systems. For instance, multiple participants proposed training to critically evaluate the relevance of AI-generated insights and resist a potential tendency to accept supposedly “evidence-based” outputs from AI-DSSs as the truth. In addition, some participants stressed that training should counteract the possibility that caregivers overconcentrate on specific facets of health and well-being or particular care interventions to which AI-DSSs have guided their attention. One of the nurses stated the following:

I think it is important to indicate very clearly in the training, for example, that options are presented for what you can do, but that you are supposed to think for yourself about what fits. Are you going to adjust the action slightly, are you going to take a completely different action, or aren’t you going to anything at all? [Participant 17]

**Human-Centric Learning Loops**

AI-assisted decision-making should involve human-centric learning loops, meaning that caregivers should be involved in both the design of AI-DSSs and their implementation and use in practice. One suggested aspect of such involvement is that caregivers could assist designers in determining and iteratively improving the underlying logics of AI-DSSs during both the initial design and practical use of these systems. Multiple participants advocated that caregivers with domain-specific knowledge and an affinity to technology assist designers, who may lack such contextual knowledge, in drawing up and testing assumptions regarding the conversion of specific data into meaningful insights to support nursing practice. In addition, a few participants suggested that caregivers could be involved in labeling or annotating data in the training data sets for AI-DSSs. Furthermore, some participants proposed that caregivers could reinforce the learning process of AI by assisting designers in ensuring that adaptive AI-DSSs adequately refine their outputs based on new data and user feedback. Similarly, multiple participants mentioned that caregivers who actually use AI-DSSs in practice should have the option to review AI-generated outcomes and provide feedback that reinforces their learning capabilities. For instance, some participants suggested enabling caregivers to set the specific threshold values from which a certain alarm should be generated for specific clients, indicate how they followed up on specific AI-generated insights and why, and manually enter relevant matters overlooked by the system. A nurse stated the following:

It may be good to have the possibility to also add information as a professional, important data that may affect the client and care...If someone does absolutely not want physiotherapy, but that is recommended by the system every time, then you want to be able to indicate somewhere that this is no longer an option, so that the system can take that into account, and look for a second best option. [Participant 6]

Another suggested aspect of human-centric learning loops is that caregivers can support each other in the use of AI-based insights in practice. Several participants commented that caregivers who are progressive with and at the forefront of using AI-DSSs could be assigned the responsibility of facilitating the use of AI-DSSs by other caregivers who may lack experience, be hesitant to use AI-DSSs, or not know how to handle certain outcomes. Similarly, some participants suggested that, in the context of AI-assisted decision-making, it might be relevant or necessary to involve interdisciplinary care professionals who act as intermediaries between care and technology. As suggested, these professionals could assist less data-savvy caregivers in interpreting data and AI-based outputs to formulate care strategies.

**Routinization of Using AI-DSSs**

Finally, the use of AI-DSSs should become routine, promoting a commitment to naturally consider AI-based insights when making decisions. Several participants posited that caregivers are responsible for critically examining what care is needed and appropriate in the context of an individual client and for using all available inputs, including insights generated by AI-DSSs. This might imply that consulting AI-DSSs might become the norm over time as more evidence becomes available about the added value of these systems for the quality and efficiency of care and trust increases. Multiple participants mentioned that AI-DSSs should be adequately integrated into the broader work processes of caregivers to optimally use AI-based insights. A data specialist put this as follows:

I think you should arrange implementations of algorithms in such a way that caregivers cannot work around them. You have to make the process foolproof. For example, as we have done here...We have arranged that every client with a positive outcome on the algorithm must be discussed by the coordinating practitioner and the manager. Then caregivers are still the ones who decide about what happens and the manager is the one who asks questions. [Participant 23]

In addition, the participants mentioned multiple factors that are important for the routinization of AI-DSSs. For instance, several participants mentioned that caregivers should have the freedom to deviate from or disregard the outcomes of AI-DSSs, provided that they do so thoughtfully. Accordingly, some participants...
suggested that it might be essential for caregivers to comprehensively report their decisions and actions in the care process. It was also suggested that care protocols and agreements within care organizations, or the care sector more broadly, regarding the authority and decision-making power of caregivers should be regularly evaluated.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This study aimed to gain insights into the perspectives of nurses and other professional stakeholders in LTC on prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice. By first examining stakeholders’ perspectives on the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making, the groundwork was established for exploring their perspectives on prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area. As our results demonstrate, the stances of LTC professionals toward the use of increasingly advanced AI-DSSs are not a matter of purely positive or negative expectations but rather a nuanced interplay of positive and negative elements that lead to a weighed perception of prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice. Our findings provide insights into potential supportive roles of AI-DSSs in nursing practice. For instance, AI-DSSs can elevate the remote and early anticipation of care needs by harnessing data from various sources (e.g., care technologies) and swiftly uncovering overlooked issues or emerging trends related to the health, well-being, or behavior of a client. In addition, AI-DSSs are expected to foster adaptive, data-informed decision-making about person-centered care strategies as well as shared decision-making by clients and their formal and informal caregivers. Furthermore, the use of AI-DSSs is expected to alleviate the cognitive load of caregivers and improve their work experience by saving time that would otherwise be spent on repetitive, intricate, and burdensome analytical and monitoring tasks. AI-DSSs are not regarded as potential decision makers in the nursing process but rather as instruments, and by some even as anthropomorphized agents, such as personal coaches or mentors, that could proactively aid caregivers in becoming aware of certain care needs and adaptively responding to these needs. While these perspectives do not necessarily cover the entire spectrum of opportunities of AI-assisted decision-making, they correspond with previous studies on the expectations, opportunities, and applications of AI in LTC (e.g., the studies by Mukaetova-Ladinska et al [2], Seibert et al [7], Buchanan et al [8], and Neves et al [47]).

Our findings also provide insight into perceived risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice. Notwithstanding the positive perspectives regarding the opportunities of using AI-DSSs, the care professionals generally expressed caution about its potential impacts. Despite their limited prior knowledge and expertise regarding the risks of AI, the care professionals shared a diverse array of interrelated concerns about risks associated with AI-assisted decision-making, which mirror findings from previous studies on the ethical implications of using AI-DSSs in health care (e.g., the studies by Sutton et al [21], Skuban-Eiseler et al [35], and Schlicht and Räker [36]). For a large part, these concerns revolved around the heavy reliance of caregivers on AI-DSSs, which might, for instance, cause caregivers to overlook crucial nuances that are beyond the grasp of AI-DSSs. AI-DSSs might also perpetuate or exacerbate biases or cause a false sense of security, as certain people and care needs might not be adequately represented in the data and rules that are fed to AI-DSSs. Ultimately, caregivers who heavily rely on AI-DSSs might be led astray toward unsuitable care strategies. These perspectives tie in with how Nyholm [48] sketches the dual effects of AI on human intelligence: the prospect that AI technologies might serve as a form of cognitive enhancement and the cautionary notion that heavy reliance on AI technologies might make people less intelligent. Furthermore, in our study, concerns were expressed related to privacy infringements, conflicts of interest, and the deterioration of the work experience of caregivers owing to increased cognitive load or a reduced sense of professional fulfillment.

Expanding on both the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice, the care professionals participating in this study were able to articulate factors that might be important for responsibly embedding AI-DSSs into nursing practice. Overall, the reasoning of care professionals about the responsible design, implementation, and use of AI-DSSs in nursing practice centered on seven interrelated categories of prerequisites: (1) regular deliberation on data collection; (2) a balanced proactive nature of AI-DSSs; (3) incremental advancements aligned with trust and experience; (4) customization for all user groups, including clients and caregivers; (5) measures to counteract bias and narrow perspectives; (6) human-centric learning loops; and (7) routinization of using AI-DSSs. These findings extend beyond merely mitigating the risks of AI-DSSs deployment in nursing practices, as they provide insights into the envisioned interactions between people and technology and how these interactions can be responsibly shaped and reshaped as both technology and the needs and values of people evolve.

Implications for Research and Practice

An overarching lesson to be learned from the identified prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice is that care professionals perceive that despite the advancing capabilities of AI, AI-DSSs should serve as tools that support shared decision-making by clients and their care networks. Responsible AI-assisted decision-making hinges on mutual reinforcement between users and technology. To maximize the benefits and minimize the negative implications of AI-assisted decision-making, the ways in which AI-DSSs support nursing practice and interact with caregivers and other stakeholders require continuous refinement “in context.” This implies the need to iteratively tailor the design, implementation, and use of increasingly advanced AI-DSSs to the interests, experiences, and roles of individual clients and caregivers in the care process and to the physical care environment. The prevailing perspective suggests that inundating nurses, care coordinators, and other care professionals with excessive (aggregations of) data could impede, rather than enhance, their decision-making capabilities. This aligns with previous studies.
that show that too much information [49,50] and insufficient time can lead to information overload [51]. In this line, it is anticipated that the use of AI-DSSs can ease caregivers from data-intensive analytical tasks, proactively direct their attention to issues and trends in data that may need their attention, and possibly even guide them toward certain care strategies (see prerequisite 2). These findings align with previous studies that posit the use of AI as a “technical fix” to mitigate existing risks related to the remote monitoring of older adults, such as the potential cognitive overload of caregivers [32,52]. However, the anticipated utility of proactive AI-DSSs must be carefully balanced against the predominant perspective that the automation of decision-making in nursing practice should be avoided (prerequisite 2); that AI-DSSs might be introduced in practice only through incremental steps that are aligned with users’ evolving trust in, and experience with, using these systems (prerequisite 3); and that vigilance is required to prevent caregivers from becoming overly reliant on AI-DSSs and being led astray toward unsuitable care strategies (see also the studies by Parasuraman and Riley [53] and Goddard et al [54]). In this regard, our findings highlight the importance of and ways to actively counteracting bias and narrow perspectives during both the design and use of AI-DSSs (prerequisite 5; see also the studies by de Hond et al [55], Fosch-Villaronga et al [56], and Rubeis [57]). These findings complement previous studies showing that AI tools can contribute to the over-problematization and overdiagnosis of health issues [58] and perpetuate racial, gender, and age-related biases [24,47,59,60]. Moreover, in close connection to this, our findings emphasize the importance of establishing human-centric learning loops through which caregivers can actively contribute to the meaningful and responsible design, implementation, and use of AI-DSSs (prerequisite 6) [57,61]. These findings resonate with Hindocha and Cosmin Badea [34], who suggested that care professionals can act as moral exemplars for the virtuous machine and will, therefore, be integral to the responsible design, deployment, and use of AI in health care. Moreover, caregivers play an important role in collecting data that might eventually be used by AI tools [61]. Overall, these findings underscore the notion that responsible AI-assisted decision-making requires an approach that extends beyond merely the design and technical aspects of AI-DSSs. The development and use of AI-DSSs should be supported by caregivers capable of adeptly interacting with these technologies (see also the study by Sand et al [62]). The enhancement of capabilities calls for effective educational strategies to prepare caregivers for this evolving technological landscape [63]. However, as our findings suggest, caregivers may not contribute equally to responsible innovation in this area. Although all caregivers are obliged to justify their own decisions and actions [64], some may need practical assistance in the optimal and responsible use of AI-DSSs. Meanwhile, other caregivers can take on active intermediary roles between care and technology [61] by providing practical assistance to fellow caregivers and supporting designers in shaping and iteratively improving AI-DSSs.

Although our findings suggest that the overall potential of AI and AI-DSSs grows with the availability of pertinent data, they also show reservations against the unrestrained collection and use of data by AI-DSSs. The predominant perspective of care professionals was that specific data and associated AI-based insights should be generated only in accordance with established goals agreed upon by key stakeholders, including clients (prerequisite 1). The collection and use of specific data should be proactively and continuously balanced against potential harms, such as privacy infringement, cognitive overload, and the over-problematization of old age (see also the studies by Wang et al [65], Blasimme and Vayena [66], and Palmer and Schwam [67]). Although our findings emphasize the importance of generating only relevant data as input for AI-DSSs, they also suggest that once it has been decided to generate certain data and have them processed by AI-DSSs, it should be routine practice to use the resulting insights (prerequisite 7). In this context, Heyen and Salloch [22] stressed that the more routinized the use of AI-DSSs becomes in practice, the more critically caregivers need to focus on soft factors in individual client cases that cannot be comprehensively considered by AI-DSSs, such as the personality, life situation, or cultural background of a client (see also prerequisite 5). Similarly, a notable skepticism was present among the care professionals participating in this study regarding the future capacity of AI to comprehensively anticipate the care needs of people. After all, it may be difficult or even impossible to fully capture in data and decision rules for AI what contributes to good care and quality of life for an individual person [35,36,68]. Hence, in the context of AI-assisted decision-making, it may become increasingly important to engage in shared decision-making to get to know clients and respond optimally to their personal needs, goals, interests, preferences, and values [22,69]. Simultaneously, the shared decision-making model is subject to pressure, for instance, owing to the potential opacity of algorithms, leading to an insufficient understanding of the rationale behind AI-based insights into care needs and possible interventions [64]. Moreover, shared AI-assisted decision-making may be particularly challenging in the care of older adults, particularly those with cognitive impairment. This may hinder the ability of older adults to express their feelings and wishes and amplify the risk that nurses and other formal and informal caregivers consciously or unconsciously enforce what they think is right [35,36,70,71]. A fruitful direction for future studies could be to explore the effective integration of AI-DSSs into shared decision-making processes with older adults and their formal and informal caregivers.

**Responsible Innovation: A Balancing Act**

As our findings and the implications drawn earlier indicate, initial opportunities for AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice could turn into drawbacks, contingent upon the specific shaping of both the design and deployment of AI-DSSs. The interrelatedness of the identified prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making suggests that addressing one factor alone may not be sufficient because of its tight link with others. Moreover, addressing risks such as privacy infringement, for instance, by limiting data collection, affects the possibilities of remote care and prevention supported by AI. Hence, we call for technology developers; caregivers using AI-DSSs; and other stakeholders, including older adults, to engage in ongoing public discourse (see also the study by Buhmann and Fieseler [72]).
and work together to cohesively address different factors important to the responsible embedding of AI-DSSs in practice. In doing so, we recommend viewing the responsible use of AI-DSSs as a balancing act (see also the study by Wehrens et al [52]). Potential or proven positive and negative impacts could be carefully weighed against each other, or stated differently, trade-offs could be made among the effects of using AI-DSSs on values such as quality of life, autonomy, privacy, transparency, and fairness (see also the study by Sanderson et al [73]). Further research could explore at what level and by which means such trade-offs can be made effectively.

While trade-offs need to be made in context, in the care of individual clients, there are also trade-offs to be made at a higher level between the interests of individual people and broader public interests. Our findings suggest that responsible AI-assisted decision-making requires customization, for instance, regarding specific care technologies to be used and data to be collected [74], the processing of these data by AI, who gets access to the data and AI-based insights, the explanation of AI-based insights to users [75], and the extent to which AI-DSSs proactively advise caregivers about care needs and strategies (see prerequisite 4). In other words, there might be a desire to comprehensively address context-specific needs and preferences regarding privacy protection, transparency about the outcomes of AI-DSSs, and the protection of caregivers from potential overreliance on AI-DSSs and the erosion of professional autonomy (eg, the studies by Egelman and Peer [76] and Wilkinson et al [77]). One might suggest that the responsible deployment and use of AI-DSSs in practice requires customization at the level of individual clients and caregivers. Simultaneously, full customization might be at odds with the need to offer somewhat standardized solutions, universalize applicability, and foster scalability [78-80]. Future studies could explore how trade-offs could be made between the seemingly contrasting needs for contextualization and customization and for the decontextualization and standardization of AI-DSSs. In addition, it would be valuable to examine the implications of such trade-offs for the development of AI-DSSs and their deployment in practice.

Several studies have been conducted on the (potential) supportive roles of AI-based technologies in nursing practice [5-8] and the high-level requirements for responsible AI innovation [28-30]. This study builds upon previous studies in both research fields by examining the perspectives of various experienced nurses and other LTC professionals on the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice, thereby laying the groundwork for exploring associated prerequisites for responsible innovation in this area. This is particularly relevant because nurses and other caregivers do not always have a say in the design of AI tools, while they play a pivotal role in their implementation and use [5,8,57,61]. Along this line, we recommend that future studies continue to engage with the perspectives of caregivers and other stakeholders on striking a balance between the opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making. This could contribute to a more comprehensive analysis and deeper understanding of ways to ensure the responsible embedding of AI-DSSs and other AI-based technologies in specific contexts. Another avenue worth exploring in future studies involves the demonstration of effective methodologies and metrics for an in-depth evaluation of the positive and negative impacts of AI-DSSs on the dynamics of nursing practices and the tensions between these impacts. Research endeavors of this nature could offer initial steps for diverse stakeholders in working together on the responsible embedding of specific AI-DSSs in practice.

**Study Limitations**

No study comes without limitations, and the main limitations of this study are related to the participants involved. For instance, by focusing only on the perspectives of LTC care professionals, this study does not consider the perspectives of other key stakeholders in AI-assisted decision-making in LTC, such as older adults and informal caregivers. Moreover, within LTC, an increasing number of caregiving responsibilities may transition to informal care networks. This highlights the need for future studies to include both formal and informal caregivers and care recipients to gain a comprehensive understanding of the prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice [36]. In addition, our findings may guide responsible innovation in AI-DSSs outside the context of Dutch LTC, but caution should be exercised in generalizing our findings, given the diversity of health care systems across countries. The results obtained from this study can be further examined in future studies using a quantitative approach or a larger and more diverse sample of LTC stakeholders from different geographic and cultural backgrounds, thereby evaluating and enhancing their robustness. Furthermore, despite the diverse group of care professionals participating in this study, biases may exist owing to varying experiences with digital innovation, potentially skewing views toward the desirability and implications of AI-assisted decision-making. In addition, the targeted (principle-based) interview questions may have influenced the responses of participants by guiding specific conceptualizations of risks. While this guidance may have positively contributed to gaining in-depth insights into prerequisites for responsible innovation, it may also have caused omissions of crucial factors, such as the impact of AI on the environment, digital inequality, and the caregiver-client relationship, which should also be considered in contexts of AI-assisted decision-making. Finally, to enhance the comprehension of the prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making, future studies might also consider and enlighten sociotechnical biases and potentially skewed perceptions of care professionals and other stakeholders about the opportunities and risks presented by AI-DSSs (eg, see the study by Neves et al [47]).

**Conclusions**

This study provides insights into prerequisites for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice from the perspectives of nurses and professional stakeholders with whom they closely collaborate. While care professionals see broad opportunities in the use of AI-DSSs to improve the quality of care and workload and experience of caregivers, positive perspectives on AI-assisted decision-making are generally accompanied by a wide array of concerns about risks. Our findings indicate that opportunities for AI-assisted
decision-making in nursing practice could turn into drawbacks depending on the specific shaping of the design and deployment of AI-DSSs. To optimally balance opportunities and risks of AI-assisted decision-making, seven interrelated categories of prerequisites were identified for responsible AI-assisted decision-making in nursing practice: (1) regular deliberation on data collection; (2) a balanced proactive nature of AI-DSSs; (3) incremental advancements aligned with trust and experience; (4) customization for all user groups, including clients and caregivers; (5) measures to counteract bias and narrow perspectives; (6) human-centric learning loops; and (7) routinization of using AI-DSSs. These prerequisites emphasize that regardless of their advancing capabilities, AI-DSSs should be used as tools to support shared decision-making by clients and their care network, and the ways in which AI-DSSs support the nursing process need continuous contextual refinement. Although this study focuses on the use of AI-DSSs in LTC, the findings may also be relevant to different sectors, contexts, and AI-based technologies. Finally, this study demonstrates the relevance of engaging care professionals in exploring the opportunities and risks of AI, as well as factors important to the responsible embedding of AI-based technologies into practice. These actors not only play a pivotal role in the future use of AI-based technologies in care practice but can also actively contribute to the articulation of strategies that ensure meaningful, responsible, and sustainable embedding of technologies in practice.
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